GAO

United States General Accounting Office Report to the Clerk of the House of Representatives

January 1994

FINANCIAL AUDIT

House Office Systems Management—Three Months Ended 9/30/92 and Fiscal Years Ended 6/30/92 and 6/30/91



• •

GAO	United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548
	Comptroller General of the United States
	B-114865
	January 14, 1994
	The Honorable Donnald K. Anderson Clerk of the House of Representatives
	Dear Mr. Anderson:
	As you requested, we have audited the House Office Systems Management's Statements of Equipment and related Statements of Receipts and Costs as of the dates and for the periods shown on the accompanying statements. We found
	 the financial statements were reliable in all material respects; internal controls in effect on September 30, 1992, and June 30, 1992, provided reasonable assurance that losses, noncompliance with laws and regulations, or misstatements material to the financial statements would be prevented or detected; however, controls over automated financial data need improvement; and no material noncompliance with laws and regulations we tested for the periods ended September 30, 1992 and June 30, 1992.
	Our audits also identified a matter that we believe should be brought to your attention, although it is not material to the financial statements. Specifically, House Office Systems Management lacked certain control procedures to prevent or detect all unauthorized changes to its automated financial data.
	The following sections outline each of our conclusions in more detail and discuss the scope of our audits.
Opinion on Financial Statements	The House Office Systems Management's financial statements were prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting and are not intended to be a presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The statements referred to above present fairly, in conformity with the basis of accounting described in note 2, the House Office Systems Management's
	 equipment and receipts and costs.

	B-114865
	However, misstatements may nevertheless occur in other financial information reported by the House Office Systems Management as a result of the internal control weakness described later. As discussed in note 2, the statements of receipts and costs present only the results of activities financed from funds allocated or reimbursed to the House Office Systems Management. They do not include costs of space, building repairs, utilities, and office furniture financed from other legislative appropriations.
Opinion on Internal	The internal controls we evaluated were those designed to
Controls	 safeguard assets against loss from unauthorized use or disposition; assure the execution of transactions in accordance with laws and regulations; and
	 properly record, process, and summarize transactions to permit the preparation of financial statements in accordance with the basis of accounting described in note 2 and maintain accountability for assets.
	Internal controls in effect on September 30, 1992 and June 30, 1992, provided reasonable assurance that losses, noncompliance, or misstatements material to the financial statements would be prevented or detected. Our report on the internal control structure for fiscal year 1991 is presented in GAO/AIMD-93-12, dated August 6, 1993.
	However, our work identified the need to improve certain internal controls over automated financial data. Specifically, House Office Systems Management did not have control procedures to prevent or detect all unauthorized changes to its equipment usage fees and depreciation data files. Computer programmers who provide support to the Office had uncontrolled access to the data files. As a result, they could make unauthorized changes to the data. While we found no instances of unauthorized changes, they could occur and not be detected. We believe that the House Office Systems Management should develop and implement procedures to ensure that all changes made to its automated equipment data files are authorized.
	In a letter dated December 6, 1993, the Clerk stated that the Chief of House Office Systems Management has arranged to receive copies of the daily reports which list changes made by the computer programmer for her review. (See appendix I.) We believe, if properly implemented, this review process will strengthen the internal controls over the Office's automated

And the second

	B-114865
	equipment data files. We plan to assess the effectiveness of these new procedures while performing our fiscal year 1993 audit of House Office Systems Management.
	This weakness in internal controls, although not considered material, represents a deficiency in the design or operations of internal controls which could adversely affect House Office Systems Management's ability to meet the internal control objectives listed above. The weakness could also result in misstatements in other financial information reported by House Office Systems Management.
Compliance With Laws and Regulations	Our tests for compliance with selected provisions of laws and regulations disclosed no material instances of noncompliance for the periods ended September 30, 1992 and June 30, 1992. Also, nothing came to our attention in the course of our other work to indicate that material noncompliance with such provisions occurred. Our report on compliance with laws and regulations for fiscal year 1991 is also presented in GAO/AIMD-93-12, dated August 6, 1993.
Objectives, Scope, and Methodology	 The Office's management is responsible for preparing the financial statements in conformity with the basis of accounting described in note 2, establishing and maintaining internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the internal control objectives mentioned above are met,
	andcomplying with applicable laws and regulations.
	We are responsible for obtaining reasonable assurance about whether (1) the financial statements are reliable (free of material misstatement and presented fairly in conformity with the basis of accounting described in note 2) and (2) relevant internal controls are in place and operating effectively. We are also responsible for testing compliance with selected provisions of laws and regulations.
	In order to fulfill these responsibilities, we
	 examined, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements;

1

÷,

- assessed the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management;
- · evaluated the overall presentation of the financial statements;
- evaluated and tested relevant internal controls, including those over equipment inventory, receipts, disbursements, and financial reporting; and
- tested compliance with selected provisions of 2 U.S.C. 112e and 117e, 48 U.S.C. 1715 and 1735, and regulations on the Office's operations contained in the House of Representatives edition of the <u>Congressional</u> Handbook.

We limited our work to accounting and other controls necessary to achieve the objectives outlined in our opinion on internal controls. Because of inherent limitations in any system of internal control, losses, noncompliance, or misstatements may nevertheless occur and not be detected. We also caution that projecting our evaluation to future periods is subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with controls may deteriorate.

We performed our work in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. We completed our audit work on June 30, 1993.

Sincerely yours,

kuned & Okopen for

Charles A. Bowsher Comptroller General of the United States

GAO/AIMD-94-18 House Office Systems Management

Contents

Opinion Letter		1
Financial Statements	Statements of Equipment Statements of Receipts and Costs Notes to Financial Statments	8 8 9 10
Appendix I Comments From the Clerk of the House		14

GAO/AIMD-94-18 House Office Systems Management

Statements of Equipment

	September 30,	Jur	<u>18 30,</u>
	<u>1992</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1991</u>
Washington offices' equipment at cost Less accumulated depreciation	\$73,898,315 <u>50,569,901</u>	\$73,488,571 <u>49,036,808</u>	\$65,586,372 46,550,233
Net Washington offices' equipment	23,328,414	24,451,763	19,036,139
District offices' equipment at cost Less accumulated depreciation	27,663,566 <u>21,079,777</u>	27,732,654 <u>21,045,780</u>	22,973,218 <u>17,753,145</u>
Net district offices' equipment	6,583,789	6,686,874	5,220,073
Net Equipment (note 3)	\$ <u>29,912,203</u>	\$ <u>31,138,637</u>	\$ <u>24,256,212</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Statements of Receipts and Costs

	Three months ended	Fiscal year ended June 30,	
	September 30, 1992	<u>1992</u>	<u>1991</u>
Receipts			
Equipment usage fees	\$ 4,289,335	\$29,552,703	\$27,387,248
Miscellaneous	93,301	420,291	236,757
Total Receipts	4,382,635	<u>29,972,994</u>	27,624,00
Disbursements and Other Costs (note 2)			
Disbursements			
Vendor services (note 4)	4,900,492	11,267.311	12,701,68
Salaries and benefits	551,850	2,091,689	1,807,89
Data processing services	388,190	1,420,206	1,256,54
Equipment leasing (note 5)	166,558	1,028,318	1,219,58
Equipment usage	22,229	257,700	64,20
Quarterly payments to the			
General Services Administration	Ð	250,487	289,61
Equipment repair parts (note 4)	4,799	136,686	144,76
Office supplies	8,353	27,885	10,87
Telephone services	4,298	16,288	15,38
Property supplies	6,869	5,778	12,820
Miscellaneous	8,840	55,434	39,65
Total disbursements	6,062,478	16,557,782	17,563,020
Other costs			
Depreciation (note 2)	3,934,971	14,543,348	13,419,64
Book value of disposed equipment	<u>131,944</u>	1,895,489	502,41
Total other operating costs	4,066,915	<u>16,538,837</u>	13,922,06
Total Disbursements and Other			
Costs (note 2)	<u>10,129,393</u>	33,096,619	31,485,08
Excess of (Costs Over Receipts)	\$(5,746,757)	\$(3,123,625)	\$ <u>(3,861,075</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Notes to Financial Statments

Note 1. Description of the Office

Until April 1, 1993, the House of Representatives Office Systems Management operated under the jurisdiction of the Clerk of the House of Representatives in accordance with Committee on House Administration regulations. Effective April 1, the operational and financial responsibility for the House Office Systems Management was transferred from the Clerk of the House of Representatives to the Director, Non-Legislative and Financial Services. The Director is subject to policy, direction, and oversight by the Committee on House Administration.

Under 2 U.S.C. 112e and 117e and 48 U.S.C. 1715 and 1735, Members (including the resident commissioner from Puerto Rico, and delegates from the District of Columbia, Guam, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa), officers, or committees of the House of Representatives may be furnished with office equipment by the Clerk, subject to legislative limits and regulations prescribed by the Committee on House Administration. Other qualified individuals and organizations may also be provided office equipment either directly by the Clerk or through their sponsoring Members. The Committee on House Administration approves the list of equipment, services, and usage fees compiled by the Clerk. The House edition of the <u>Congressional Handbook</u> describes the Office's activities which, in general, include purchasing, leasing, servicing, and disposing of House office equipment.

Note 2. Significant Accounting Policies

The Office's activities are financed through various appropriations available to the House of Representatives, and operating costs are reported under those appropriations in the quarterly reports of the Clerk. The Office's receipts and disbursements are accounted for through the appropriation account for Contingent Expenses of the House (Allowances and Expenses).

The statements of receipts and costs are presented on a modified cash basis. Under this basis of accounting, receipts are recognized when received rather than when earned, and costs are recognized when paid rather than when incurred. Depreciation and the book value of disposed equipment are also included as costs. Total costs do not include certain expenses paid from appropriated funds of the Clerk or the Architect of the Capitol. These expenses include costs for space, building repairs, utilities, office furniture, and equipment installation and freight which cannot be readily determined.

Equipment is depreciated using a straight-line method with no salvage value. Computer items are depreciated over a 3-year life, and other equipment, furniture, carpets, drapes, and blinds are depreciated over a 5-year life.

In fiscal year 1992, the Office discontinued separately identifying operating and administrative costs and now classifies all costs under one category. For comparability, the statement of receipts and costs for fiscal year 1991 has been restated to reflect the new classification.	
Note 3. Equipment	
The following table shows the changes in equipment at acquisition cost.	

x.

Equipmen	t Changes at Acquisition Cost

	Washington offices	District offices	<u>Total</u>
Balances, June 30, 1991			
Computer equipment	\$45,237,547	\$ 8,651,805	\$ 53,889,35
Copiers	8,271,854	2,286,864	10,558,71
Original General Services			
Administration items	0	7,068,202	7,068,20
Other office equipment	5,467,432	2,067,374	7,534,80
Television and video equipment	2,951,658	72,998	3,024,65
Furnishings	0	2,617,373	2,617,37
Miscellaneous	3,657,881	208,602	<u>3,866,48</u>
Balances, June 30, 1991	\$ <u>55,586,372</u>	\$ <u>22,973,218</u>	\$ <u>88,559,59</u>
Acquisitions	19,600,555	4,584,702	24,185,25
Disposais	(10,353,481)	(1,170,141)	(11,523,62
Transfers	<u>(1,344,875</u>)	1,344,875	
Subtotal	7,902,199	4,759,436	12,661,63
Balances, June 30, 1992			
Computer equipment	50,887,882	11,785,024	62,672,90
Copiers	9,282,540	3,024,130	12,306,67
Original General Services			
Administration items	0	6,738,608	6,738,60
Other office equipment	5,596,850	2,502,733	8,099,58
Television and video equipment	3,028,101	105,223	3,133,32
Fumishings	0	3,332,742	3,332,74
Miscellaneous	4,693,198	244,194	4,937,39
Belances, June 30, 1992	\$ <u>73,488,571</u>	\$ <u>27,732,654</u>	\$ <u>101,221,22</u>
Acquisitions	2,295,822	786,086	3,081,90
Disposals	(1,625,154)	(1,116,098)	(2,741,25
Transfers	(260,924)	260,924	
Subtotal	409,744	(69,088)	340,65
Balances, September 30, 1992			
Computer equipment	51,361,244	11,826,533	63,187,77
Copiers	9,393,784	3,099,261	12,493,04
Original General Services			-
Administration items	0	6,458,220	6,458,22
Other office equipment	5,547,575	2,508,310	8,055,88
Television and video equipment	2,951,141	107,212	3,058,35
Furnishings	0	3,412,474	3,412,47
Viscellaneous	4,644,571	251,556	4,896,12
Balances, September 30, 1992	\$73,898,315	\$27,663,566	\$101,561,88

i

1

Note 4. Vendor Services and Equipment Repair Parts Vendor services are payments (usually service contract payments) made for servicing and repairing equipment. Parts used by the in-house repair shop are charged to the equipment repair parts account when purchased. Note 5. Equipment Leasting Members, committees, officers, and qualified individuals and organizations have the option of leasing equipment items listed in an authorized users' guide. At September 30, 1992 and June 30, 1992 and 1991, 481, 477, and 631 items, respectively, were being leased.

Appendix I

Comments From the Clerk of the House

Bonnald R. Anderson I. Larmond Coller Benty Clerk Cierts Office of the Clerk **U.S. House of Representatives** Mashington, 30C 20515-6601 December 6, 1993 Mr. Charles A. Bowsher Comptroller General of the United States U.S. General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548 Dear Mr. Bowsher: Our review of the draft Financial Audit for Office Systems Management (OSM) for the three months ended 9/30/92 and Fiscal Years Ended 6/30/92 and 6/30/91 is complete. Although OSM is now under the authority of the Director of Non-Legislative and Financial Services, it was under the authority of the Clerk of the House during these audit years. This is to make a statement for the record with regard to the audit comment that "controls over automated financial data need improvement", even though, as the report indicates, this "lack of controls" is not material to the financial statements themselves. Since the beginning of automation within the House of Representatives, computer programming essentially has been under the auspices of House Information Systems (HIS). With very few exceptions, the departments under my jurisdiction have operated with programmers employed by HIS. In several cases, these longterm relationships have evolved into professional relationships of great trust and mutual benefit. In the specific case of OSM, Mr. Jim Buchanan, the HIS employee who is their programmer, is viewed with the same trust as any OSM employee. OSM management is certain he would never make unauthorized changes to the database, and I join them in their confidence. It is my understanding that Ms. Mary Ann Wise, Chief of OSM, has now made arrangements to receive copies of the daily audit trail for review. I trust this action meets GAO expectations with regard to this matter. Sincerely yours, Unnald Anderse DONNALD K. ANDERSON, Clerk U.S. House of Representatives cc: Mary Ann Wise, Chief Office Systems Management

Ordering Information

The first copy of each GAO report and testimony is free. Additional copies are \$2 each. Orders should be sent to the following address, accompanied by a check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents, when necessary. Orders for 100 or more copies to be mailed to a single address are discounted 25 percent.

Orders by mail:

U.S. General Accounting Office P.O. Box 6015 Gaithersburg, MD 20884-6015

or visit:

Room 1000 700 4th St. NW (corner of 4th and G Sts. NW) U.S. General Accounting Office Washington, DC

Orders may also be placed by calling (202) 512-6000 or by using fax number (301) 258-4066.

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548