DOCUMENT RESUME

05332 - [B0735646]

Amtrak's Costs and Operating Results. Barch 10, 1978. 8 pp. + 4 appendices (8 pp.).

Testimony before the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: Surface Transportation Subcommittee; by Henry Eschwege, Director, Community and Economic Development Div.

Contact: Community and Boonomic Development Div.
Organization Concurred: National Railroad Passeager Corp.
Congressional Relevance: Senate Cormittee on Commune, Science, and Transportation: Surface Transportation Subcommittee.
Authority: Rail Passenger Services Act.

From Amtrak's beginning in May 1971 through September 1977, it incurred deficits totaling \$1.85 billion. Amtrak placed its Government operating subsidy requirements for fiscal year 1979 at \$613 million. but the Administration proposed \$510 million. If Amtrak's subsidy is to be reduced, substantial reductions in service will be necessary, entailing discontinuation of the least-used routes. Areas in which greater management efficiency could be achieved are: development of productivity standards to control maintenance costs. improvements in food and beverage service and sanitary conditions, and a more rational approach to work rules. However, improved efficiencies in these and other areas would not appreciably reduce subsidy heeds. Although Amtrak has grown since it began operations, passenger siles per train have decreased. Astrak identified routes which it thought warranted development, but prospects for economic success on these routes are not promising. Benefits such as fuel savings, convenience, and reduced air pollution also depend on increased ridership. The most successful operation has been in the Mortheast corridor which accounted for 55% of midership in 1977. It is up to the Congress to choose the level of subsidy and resulting service to provide to Amtrak. The Congress has approved "Boute and Service Criteria" designed to require consideration of all factors involved in rail passenger services. (RTE)

Ebillo

UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

FOR RELEASE ON DELIVERY EXPECTED AT 9:00 A.M. EST FRIDAY, MARCH 10, 1978

STATEMENT OF
HENRY ESCHWEGE, DIRECTOR
COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION
BEFORE THE

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION SUBCOMMITTEE
SENATE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION
ON

AMTRAK'S COSTS AND OPERATING RESULTS

MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE:

WE ARE HERE TODAY AT YOUR REQUEST TO DISCUSS OUR ONGOING WORK AT AMTRAK. WE HAVE JUST COMPLETED A REVIEW OF AMTRAK'S OPERATING COSTS, ITS POUTE PROFITABILITY SYSTEMS, AND SOME ASPECTS OF ITS ROUTE SYSTEMS. OUR REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF THE REVIEW WILL BE RELEASED SHORTLY. WE DID NOT ASK AMTRAK TO RESPOND FORMALLY TO THE REPORT BECAUSE OF THE TIME CONSTRAINTS INVOLVED, BUT HAVE DISCUSSED OUR FINDINGS WITH AMTRAK OFFICIALS.

WE HAVE ALSO COMPLETED OUR ANNUAL REVIEW OF AMTRAK'S PERFORMANCE REQUIRED BY SECTION 805 OF THE RAIL PASSENGER SERVICE ACT. THIS YEAR WE REVIEWED AMTRAK'S LONG-RANGE GOALS TO DEVELOP HIGH-SPEED CORRIDOR SERVICE OUTSIDE THE NORTHEAST. THE RESULTING REPORT SHOULD BE AVAILABLE IN THE NEAR FUTURE.

MOREOVER, WE RECENTLY PUBLISHED A SPECIAL ANALYSIS
OF AMTRAK'S 5-YEAR PLAN AND COPIES HAVE BEEN PROVIDED TO
THE SUBCOMMITTEE. WE ARE ALSO REVIEWING SOME OF AMTRAK'S
EIGH COST ROUTES AND HOW THE ROUTE CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES
APPROVED BY THE CONGRESS IN 1976 HAVE BEEN APPLIED. OUR
REPORT ON THAT WORK SHOULD BE AVAILABLE IN JUNE. A LIST
OF OUR PRIOR REPORTS ON AMTRAK IS INCLUDED AS APPLINDIX I
TO THIS STATEMENT. APPENDIX IV EXPLAINS OUR BECOMMENDATIONS IN THOSE REPORTS AND AMTRAK'S ACTIONS IN RESPONSE
TO OUR RECOMMENDATIONS.

BACKGROUND

UNDER THE PROGRAM AUTHORIZED BY THE RAIL PASSENGER SERVICE ACT, AMTRAK IS CHARGED WITH DEVELOPING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING A SAFE, MODERN AND EFFICIENT NATIONAL RAIL PASSENGER SYSTEM. IT OPERATES, BOTH DIRECTLY AND THROUGH CONTRACTS WITH OPERATING RAILROADS, ABOUT 1,500 TRAINS PER WEEK OVER ABOUT 27,000 ROUTE MILES. IT ALSO MANAGES A CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DESIGNED TO UPGRADE EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES.

FROM AMTRAK'S BEGINNING IN MAY 1971 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1977, IT GENERATED REVENUES OF ALMOST \$1.5 BILLION, BUT INCURRED OPERATING EXPENSES OF MORE THAN \$3.3 BILLION. THE RESULTING DEFICITS TOTALED \$1.85 BILLION. DURING THE SAME PERIOD THE GOVERNMENT PROVIDED OPERATING SUBSIDIES OF ABOUT \$1.6 BILLION, LOAN GUARANTEES OF \$900

MILLION AND GRANTS OF MORE THAN \$229 MILLION FOR AMTRAK'S CAPITAL ACQUISITIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS.

VENUE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1978 WILL BE \$323.1 MILLION AND THAT OPERATING EXPENSES OF \$901.1 MILLION WILL BE INCURRED. THE FEDERAL OPERATING SUBSIDY FOR THE YEAR IS EXPECTED TO BE \$536 MILLION. SINCE ONLY \$506.5 MILLION HAS BEEN APPROPRIATED, AMTRAK NEEDS A SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION THIS YEAR OF \$29.5 MILLION TO CONTINUE ALL EXISTING ROUTES AND SERVICES.

AMTRAK HAS PLACED ITS GOVERNMENT OPERATING SUBSIDY REQUIREMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1979 AT \$613 MILLION. THE ADMINISTRATION'S BUDGET, HOWEVER, PROPOSES \$510 MILLION, A DIFFERENCE OF \$103 MILLION.

OUR WORK AT AMTRAK HAS CONVINCED US THAT IF AMTRAK'S SUBSIDY IS TO BE REDUCED SIGNIFICANTLY FROM THE AMOUNTS AMTRAK HAS ASKED FOR, SUBSTANTIAL REDUCTIONS IN SERVICE WILL BE NECESSARY. THESE REDUCTIONS WOULD ENTAIL DISCONTINUATION OF SOME OF AMTRAK'S LEAST-USED AND MOST HEAVILY SUBSIDIZED ROUTES.

WE CAREFULLY CONSIDERED AMTRAK'S COSTS IN COMING
TO THIS CONCLUSION, AND FOUND A FEW AREAS IN WHICH WE
BELIEVE AMTRAK'S MANAGEMENT MAY BE ABLE TO ACHIEVE BETTER
EFFICIENCY. FOR EXAMPLE, MAINTENANCE IS AMTRAK'S LARGEST
AREA OF EXPENSE. TWO YEARS AGO WE RECOMMENDED THAT AMTRAK

DEVELOP PRODUCTIVITY STANDARDS FOR THESE ACTIVITIES SO

MANAGEMENT COULD BETTER CONTROL COSTS. AMTRAK STILL NEEDS

TO DEVELOP THESE STANDARDS.

AMTRAK LOST MCRE THAN \$40 MILLION ON FOOD AND BEVERAGE SERVICE IN 1977. ALSO SANITARY CONDITIONS WERE NOT ALWAYS MAINTAINED. AMTRAK MANAGEMENT SHOULD WORK TO REDUCE LOSSES AND SHOULD STRIVE TO PROVIDE EXEMPLARY SERVICE THAT MEETS ALL SANITARY AND SAFETY STANDARDS.

DIRECT LABOR COSTS FOR OPERATING LOCOMOTIVES ARE HIGH BECAUSE NEGOTIATED WORK RULES OFTEN PERMIT A DAY'S PAY FOR LESS THAN A DAY'S WORK. FOR EXAMPLE, CONRAIL WORK RULES REQUIRE THAT AMTRAK PAY THE EQUIVALENT OF ABOUT 4 PEOPLE TO OPERATE THE LOCOMOTIVE BETWEEN DETROIT AND CHICAGO. A SINGLE BUS DRIVER MAKES THE SAME 6-HOUR TRIP. ALTHOUGH AMTRAK SEEMS TO BE ABLE TO DO LITTLE ABOUT THESE WORK RULES, IT SHOULD CONTINUE TO WORK TOWARD A MORE RATIONAL APPROACH.

ALTHOUGH WE HAVE IDENTIFIED THESE AND OTHER AREAS
THAT WARRANT MANAGEMENT ATTENTION, WE WANT TO EMPHASIZE
THAT WE DID NOT IDENTIFY ANY AREAS OF MISMANAGEMENT WHERE
EFFICIENCIES COULD BE ACHIEVED THAT WOULD APPRECIABLY
REDUCE AMTRAK'S SUBSIDY NEED.

AS PART OF OUR REVIEW OF AMTRAK'S OPERATING COSTS,
WE ALSO REVIEWED THE ROUTE BY ROUTE COSTS AND REVENUES
AMTRAK REPORTED IN ITS MOST RECENT 5-YEAR PLAN AND AMTRAK'S

ESTIMATES OF THE SAVINGS THAT WOULD RESULT IF A ROUTE OR SERVICE WERE DISCONTINUED. WE FOUND THE ASSUMPTIONS USEL TO PREPARE THESE ESTIMATES TO BE REASONABLE AND THE METHODS OF GATHERING DATA RELIABLE AND ACCURATE.

AMTRAK HAS GROWN SUBSTANTIALLY SINCE IT BEGAM OPERATIONS IN 1971. THE NUMBER OF AMTRAK ROUTES HAS INCREASED FROM 25 TO 40, THE NUMBER OF TRAINS PER WEEK IS UP 20 PERCENT, AND THE TRAIN MILES PER WEEK ARE UP 40 PERCENT. YET, RIDERSHIP HAS NOT KEPT PACE WITH THE SYSTEM'S EXPANSION. AMTRAK CARRIED 19.2 MILLION PASSENGERS IN 1977 COMPARED TO 16.6 MILLION IN 1972, AN INCREASE OF ONLY 15.6 PERCENT.

AMTRAK'S LOAD FACTORS, EXPRESSED AS PASSENGER MILES

PER TRAIN HAVE ALSO GONE DOWN STEADILY, FROM 126.81 IN

LATE 1974 AND EARLY 1975, TO 103.81 IN FISCAL YEAR 1976.

THE LATEST DATA SHOW THAT THIS STATISTIC IS NOW BELOW 100.

AMTRAK HAS IDENTIFIED SEVERAL ROUTES AS BEING

POTENTIAL CORRIDORS WHICH MAY WARRANT DEVELOPMENT ALONG

THE SAME LINES THAT THE CONGRESS AUTHORIZED FOR THE

NORTHEAST CORRIDOR. OUR REVIEW CONVINCED US THAT AMTRAK'S

PROSPECTS FOR ECONOMIC SUCCESS ON THESE ADDITIONAL CORRIDORS

ARE BLEAK. ALTHOUGH AMTRAK CONSIDERS THEM TO BE SOME OF

ITS BEST ROUTES, THERE SIMPLY ARE NOT ENOUGH PEOPLE RIDING

THE TRAINS TO PAY FOR THE SERVICES.

AMTRAK BELIEVES SOCIAL BENEFITS SUCH AS SAFER INTERCITY TRAVEL, IMPROVED AND MORE CONVENIENT SERVICES TO THE PUBLIC, LOWER FUEL CONSUMPTION, AND LOWER AIR POLLUTION IN HIGHLY POPULATED AREAS JUSTIFY THE ECONOMIC COST OF RAIL PASSENGER SERVICE. WE FOUND, HOWEVER, THAT THESE BENEFITS DEPEND ON INCREASED RIDERSHIP. FOR EXAMPLE, A TRAIN CAN BE FUEL EFFICIENT WHEN MEAVILY LOADED AND MOVING OVER RELATIVELY LONG DISTANCES, BUT AMTRAK IS NOT FUEL EFFICIENT BECAUSE IT DOES NOT CARRY ENOUGH PASSENGERS. WE HAVE INCLUDED A CHART IN AFPENDIX II THAT ILLUSTRATES THE RELATIVE FUEL EFFICIENCY OF DIFFERENT TRANSFORTATION MODES. OUR REVIEW OF AMTRAK'S CURRENT OPERATIONS LEADS US TO BELIEVE THAT PASSENGER LOADS ARE NOT LIKELY TO GO UP UNLESS A DISRUPTION OCCURS IN ANOTHER TRANSPORTATION MODE.

AMTRAK'S 7-LAR EXPERIENCE SHOWS CONCLUSIVELY THAT

UNDER CURRENT CONDITIONS, ALL BUT ABOUT 1 PERCENT OF INTERCITY TRAVELERS IN THE UNITED STATES PREFER OTHER MODES OF

TRANSPORTATION. WE THINK THE REASONS ARE STRAIGHT-FORWARD.

AIR TRAVEL IS MUCH QUICKER AND MORE CONVENIENT FOR TIMESENSITIVE TRAVELERS, SMOOTHER AND MORE COMFORTABLE (ESPECIALLY
CONSIDERING THE COMPARATIVELY SHORT TIME THE TRAVELER

OCCUPIES THE AIRPLANE), AND, ON LONGER TRIPS, AIR TRAVEL
IS IN THE SAME PRICE RANGE AS AMTRAK. BUSSES GO MORE

PLACES THAN AMTRAK, AND BUS TRAVEL IS SOMEWHAT CHEAPER.

AUTOMOBILES GIVE TRAVELERS MORE CONTROL OVER WHERE AND WHEN THEY GO, ARE CONVENIENT TO HAVE AT THE DESTINATION POINTS, AND ARE PERCEIVED AS BEING MUCH CREAPER THAN THE TRAIN, PARTICULARLY WHEN MORE THAN ONE TRAVELER IS INVOLVED. THESE FACTORS ARE ILLUSTRATED IN APPENDIX III. UNDER CURRENT CONDITIONS, AMTRAK CANNOT OFFER MOST INTERCITY TRAVELERS A SERVICE THAT IS AS GOOD AS THE AVAILABLE ALTERNATIVES.

THE EXCEPTION THAT SEEMS TO PROVE THE RULE IS THE NORTHEAST CORRIDOR, WHERE THE TRAIN OFFERS COMPARATIVELY HIGH SPEED, LOW FARES, AND WHERE THE MAJOR CITIES ALONG THE ROUTE HAVE ADEQUATE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MINIMIZING THE CONVENIENCE VALUE OF THE AUTGROBILE. IN 1977, NORTHEAST CORRIDOR OPERATIONS ACCOUNTED FOR 57 PERCENT OF AMTRAK'S TOTAL RIDERSHIP, 31 PERCENT OF AMTRAK'S REVENUES AND ONLY 24 PERCENT OF AMTRAK'S COSTS.

GIVEN THESE FACTS, CONGRESS' CHOICES ARE LIMITED.

IT CAN (1) GIVE AMTRAK THE SUBSIDY IT HAS ASKED FOR AND ALLOW THE PRESENT SYSTEM TO CONTINUE; (2) GIVE AMTRAK LESS SUBSIDY THAN IT ASKED FOR AND ALLOW THE SYSTEM TO BE REDUCED; OR (3) GIVE AMTRAK A LARGER SUBSIDY THAN IT ASKED FOR AND ALLOW EXPANDED SERVICE. THERE ARE, OF COURSE, VARIATIONS AVAILABLE WITHIN THESE CHOICES.

VIEWED SOLELY IN ECONOMIC TERMS, AMTRAK'S RATHER
BLEAK OPERATING RESULTS WOULD SUGGEST LITTLE JUSTIFICATION

FOR CONTINUING MOST RAIL PASSENGER SERVICE. THE CONGRESS, EOWEVER, HAS APPROVED "ROUTE AND SERVICE CRITERIA" WHICH ARE DESIGNE! TO REQUIRE CONSIDERATION OF ALL THE ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS THAT RAIL PASSENGER SERVICES PRODUCE. IF CHANGES ARE TO BE MADE IN AMTRAK'S ROUTE SYSTEM, WE THINK THE ROUTE AND SERVICE CRITERIA SHOULD BE USED.

THIS COMPLETES MY PREPARED STATEMENT. I WILL BE GLAD TO RESPOND TO ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

APPPENDIX I

LIST OF PRIOR GAO REPORTS ON THE NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION (AMTRAK)

- Amtrak Needs To Improve Train Conditions Through Better Repair And Maintenance, B-175155, June 21, 1973
- Railroad Reservation, Information And Ticketing Services Being Improved, B-175155, August 22, 1973.
- Fewer and Fewer Amtrak Trains Arrive On Time--Causes Of Delays, B-175155, December 28, 1973
- Information On Loan Guarantee Programs Under The Rail Passenger Service Act And The Regional Rail Reorganization Act, RED-75-329, February 26, 1975
- How Much Federal Subsidy Will Amtrak Need?, RED-76-97, April 21, 1976
- Quality Of Amtrak Rail Passenger Service Still Hampered By Inadequate Maintenance Of Equipment, RED-76-113, June 8, 1976
- Amtrak's Incentive Contracts With Railroads--Considerable Cost, Few Benefits, CED-77-67, June 8, 1977

APPENDIX II APPENDIX II

FUEL EFFICIENCY AND SAFETY OF MAJOR INTERCITY TRANSPORTATION MODES

The following table illustrates the passenger miles per gallon of fuel and passenger fatalities per 10 billion passenger-miles for the various intercity transportation modes:

| | Passenger miles/gallon fuel | Patalities per 10 billion passenger miles (note b) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Bus | 11.6 | 3 |
| Amtrak | 56 | 1 |
| Automobile | 40 | 140 |
| Airlines | 20 | 6 |
| a/ 1976 b/ 3 year av | erage (1974-1976) | |

AMTRAK FARES ON POTENTIAL CORRIDOR ROUTES COMPARED WITH OTHER TRANSPORTATION MODES

| | TRAMBPORT | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------------------|---------|
| | Fare Necessary for | • | | | Automobile Incre- mental | |
| | Amtrak to break even | Amtrak | Bus | Air 1/ | Full Cost | Cost |
| ari Wil | \$ 38.75 | \$ 6.25 | \$ 5.50 | \$25.00 | \$14.45 | \$ 4.25 |
| Chi-Mil Chi-Det | | 20.50 | 21.40 | 40.00 | 47,43 | 13.95 |
| L.AS. | | 9.00 | 8.35 | 11.45 | 21.76 | 6.40 |
| Marit 012. | | _ | | | | |

^{1/} Lowest existing day coach fare.

^{2/} Round-trip ticket reduces one-way cost by approximately 5 percent.

AMTRAK ACTIONS ON RECOMMENDATIONS FROM PRIOR GAO REPORTS

AMTRAK'S INCENTIVE CONTRACTS WITH RAILROADS--CONSIDERABIE COST, FEW BENEFITS (CED-77-67, Julie 8, 1977)

When Amtrak began service they contracted with 20 railroads to operate the trains. These cost-reimbursement contracts did not produce satisfactory performance by the railroads, which were paid as much for poor service as for excellent service.

To encourage better performance, Amtrak negotiated incentive contracts with 10 railroads in 1974. Incentives were paid for good performance and penalities assessed for poor performance.

GAO found that the incentive provisions had major deficiencies and that in some cases it was impossible to be sure that the railroads complied with the provisions. GAO concluded that the incentive payments had little effect on performance.

To improve incentive provisions in Amtrak's future contracts GAO recommended that;

- --- Railroads be penalized for poor on-time performance,
- -- Arrival times be reported by Amtrak staff,
- --On-time performance be measured at major intermediate points especially for schedules that are not properly structured,
- -- Amtrak penalize railroads for unsatisfactory car cleaning,
- --Amtrak reward railroads for doing more maintenance work than expected and penalize them for not doing what is expected.

GAO also found deficiencies with the flat rated (fixed amount) provisions of the contracts. Flat rates frequently exceeded the railroads' actual cost for providing the service. GAO recommended that in renegotiating flat rates, Amtrak consider what a service should cost in addition to actual historical cost.

Amtrak Action On Recommendations

Amtrak applied most of these general principles in negotiating subsequent contracts with railroads. GAO agrees Amtrak's latest incentive contracts are substantially improved.

QUALITY OF AMTRAK RAIL PASSENGER SERVICE STILL HAMPERED BY INADEQUATE MAINTENANCE OF EQUIPMENT (RED-76-113, JUNE 8, 1976)

GAO recommended that Amtrak:

-- Take equipment out of service when necessary to insure that scheduled maintenance is done and give sufficient leadtime notice to refurbishment contractors.

According to an Amtrak official fewer cars are being overhauled today because of budget restrictions. Reduced funding resulted in a 205 car backlog on October 1, 1977, of equipment needing overhauls. Amtrak estimates that at September 30, 1978, this backlog will rise to 319 cars.

--Develop specific inspection guidelines and staffing criteria for field inspectors.

Amtrak has developed guidelines for inspectors, however, no staffing criteria exists for determining the number of inspectors needs at each facility. The number of inspectors required is determined by foremen based upon the work demands at any particular location.

--Make periodic, formal evaluations of the individual railroads' performance and use these evaluations as the basis for taking action, including legal action, if necessary, to get the railroads to comply with the contract verms.

A contract audit group has been established to periodically review railroad performance to ensure they are providing services as outlined in the contracts. This group is responsible for identifying and reviewing excessive costs paid to the railroads. In some cases litigation has been brought against railroads to recover these costs.

-- Assign a high priority to completing the automated maintenance system; to avoid further delays, and to insure completion at the earliest possible date.

Amtrak has implemented an automated system for inventory control with computer terminals located at major stocking facilities. In the future, Amtrak plans to use the system to procure all parts and supplies.

-- Include work productivity standards, after Amtrak develops them, in its contracts with the railroads.

Amtrak told us it is currently developing productivity standards, however, these standards have not been implemented. As a result, Amtrak does not know what opportunities for improvement exist.

HOW MUCH FEDERAL SUBSIDY WILL AMTRAK NEED? (RED-76-97, APRIL 21, 1976)

GAO's study showed Amtrak's projected revenues were optimistic, expenses understated, many items were not supported by documentation, and that the 5-year plan should have shown a need for greater Federal assistance than it did.

To improve these deficiencies GAO recommended that Amtrak make an effort to base projections on each route's market potential taking into consideration actions necessary to attract potential ridership.

In our recent report entitled, "An Analysis of Amtrak's Five Year Plan" (PAD-78-51, March 6, 1978) we further discuss Amtrak's planning and the changes they have made.

FEWER AND FEWER AMTRAK TRAINS ARRIVE ON TIME--CAUSES OF DELAY (B-175155, DECEMBER 28, 1973)

GAO reported that Amtrak's on-time performance was poor and getting worse.

We concluded that Amtrak's contracts with the railroads needed to be amended to include reasonable, definitive and enforceable on-time performance standards to provide a basis for obtaining cooperation from the railroads in achieving improved performance. Amtrak's objective was to have trains on time on 90 percent of their trips.

Our work indicates that, although Amtrak has taken suggested actions to improve, it has not achieved its goals for on-time performance. In fiscal 1977 Amtrak trains were on time only 62 percent of the time. Amtrak believes speed restrictions placed on SDP40F locomotives and severe winter weather are the primary causes for their poor on-time performance.

RAILROAD RESERVATION, INFORMATION AND TICKETING SERVICES BEING IMPROVED (B-175155, AUGUST 22, 1973)

GAO recommended that Amtrak establish a monitoring program to evaluate the effectiveness of measures taken to improve its reservations and ticketing operations. Amtrak has initiated a monitoring program that provides daily and weekly reports on the number of calls received, answered, lost and the number of wire messages received. Amtrak uses this system to monitor the effectiveness of its improvement program.

AMTRAK NEEDS TO IMPROVE TRAIN CONDITIONS THROUGH BETTER REPAIR AND MAINTE ANCE (B-175155, JUNE 21, 1973)

GAO recommended that Amtrak should:

- -- Take direct responsibility for maintaining and repairing its passenger cars and loco-motives.
- --Establish procedures for inspecting car maintenance and repairs and increase the number of employees assigned to inspection of cars and locomotives.
- --- Enforce train crews' use of car condition trip reports.
- -- Establish a maintenance record system for passenger cars.
- --Expedite establishment of a parts inventory control system for passenger cars.

- -- Award refurbishment contracts on the basis of open competition.
- --Schedule passenger cars in advance for refurbishment.
- --Prepare detailed specifications for refurbishment.
- --Hold contractors responsible for defective refurbishment.

Amtrak has taken action on all these recommendations. Most are addressed in our subsequent report entitled, "Quality of Amtrak Rail Passenger Service Still Hampered By Inadequate Maintenance of Equipment" (RED-76-113, June 8, 1976) while others are discussed in our draft report "Amtrak Cannot Operate Its Present Route System For Less."