133101

GAO

United States General Accounting Office Report to the Honorable William D. Ford, Chairman, Committee on Post Office and Civil Service, House of Representatives

April 1988

FEDERAL WORKFORCE

Effects of Public Pension Offset on Social Security Benefits of Federal Retirees





135761

35761

GAO/GGD-88-73



GAO	United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548
	General Government Division
	B-230518
	April 27, 1988
	The Honorable William D. Ford Chairman, Committee on Post Office and Civil Service
	House of Representatives
	Dear Mr. Chairman:
	This report responds to your request for an analysis of the impact of the public pension offset provisions of the Social Security Act on federal retirees. Specifically, we were asked to develop information on the number of federal retirees subject to the offset, including their gender, their Civil Service pension and Social Security benefit amounts, and the amounts by which their Social Security benefits were reduced because of the offset. Additionally, we were asked to describe how Social Security benefits are determined when both spouses have worked solely under Social Security covered employment (dual entitlement).
Background	Individuals are eligible for Social Security benefits if they meet the age and length-of-service requirements in employment covered by the pro- gram. The amount of the benefit, called a worker's benefit, is calculated using the individual's earnings during the covered employment. Spouses and surviving spouses of covered workers may also receive Social Secur- ity benefits even if they did not have covered earnings. A spouse receives the greater of any benefits earned from his/her own covered employment or one-half the benefit amounts received by his/her spouse. When either spouse dies, the survivor receives the greater of the benefit amounts each had previously received.
	The public pension offset provision was enacted by the Social Security Amendments of 1977. The provision applies to federal, state, and local government retirees who were not covered by Social Security during their government employment, but become eligible for Social Security benefits as the spouse or surviving spouse of a Social Security recipient. ¹
	¹ Federal civilian employees hired before January 1, 1984, were covered by the Civil Service Retire- ment System or one of the several other retirement systems for specific groups of federal employees. As a rule, these retirement systems did not include Social Security coverage. The Social Security Amendments of 1983 required that all federal civilian employees hired after December 31, 1983, former employees returning to federal employment after a break in service of 1 year or more, and elected and politically appointed office holders would be covered by Social Security. In most cases, these employees are under the new Federal Employees Retirement System. Retirement programs for employees of 43 state governments also provide Social Security coverage. Information on Social Secur- ity coverage of local government employees was not readily available.

Page 1

GAO/GGD-88-73 Public Pension Offset

10

	In enacting the offset provision, Congress wanted to prevent the spouses of Social Security recipients from receiving a full spousal benefit in addition to a pension from their own work in government employment not covered by Social Security. The offset provision requires a spousal (or survivor) Social Security benefit to be reduced by \$2 for every \$3 of public pension received. The offset does not apply to any Social Security benefits the government retiree earned from his or her own covered employment. For example, a spouse who is entitled to a worker's benefit from his/her own covered employment would not have the benefit reduced if he/she also receives a public pension.
Results in Brief	As of January 1988, the offset provision was being applied to 104,608 retired government employees, 74 percent of whom were men. About 69,000 were federal retirees, 79 percent of whom were men. These fed- eral retirees' average monthly Civil Service annuity was \$1,412. Social Security spousal or survivor benefits for most of the federal retirees (95 percent) were completely eliminated by the offset provision. On the average, their Social Security benefits would have been \$178 a month if the offset had not been applied.
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	To identify federal retirees affected by the offset provisions and their benefit levels, we matched annuitant tapes obtained from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) with beneficiary tapes obtained from the Social Security Administration (SSA). We interviewed officials at those agencies and reviewed applicable procedures to get an understanding of how the public pension offset and Social Security spousal and survivor benefits are determined. SSA officials cautioned that their data only reflected instances where retirees were entitled to and applied for Social Security benefits. They said they could not estimate how many retirees were eligible but did not apply because the retirees knew their Social Security benefits would be eliminated by the offset.
	We discussed this report with SSA officials and they agreed with our explanations of the provisions of the law and our methodology and said they too believed the public pension offsets would usually eliminate spousal or survivor benefits. We did our work between October 1987 and March 1988 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards except that we did not verify the data provided by SSA and OPM. (See app. I for more details on our methodology.)

۰. ۹_۳

- 1

Retirees Subject to the Public Pension Offset	Data maintained by SSA in its master beneficiary record dated January 1988 showed that 104,608 retired federal, state, and local government employees were having their benefits subjected to the public pension offset provisions. A total of 77,253, or 74 percent, were men, and 27,355, or 26 percent, were women. Ninety-two percent of these retirees had their Social Security spousal or survivor benefits totally offset, while the remaining 8 percent received a partial Social Security spousal or survivor benefit.				
	Of the 104,608 retir sion offset was bein numbers for 7,695 in 96,913 of the retiree Service retirees. Tak federal retirees and	g applied, SSA reco ndividuals. Consec es to OPM's data ba ble 1 shows that m	rds did not co quently, we co se to see if the	ontain Social ould match o ey were fede	Security only eral Civil
Table 1: Retirees Subject to the Public Pension Offset Provisions	Public retirees		M		Tatala
	Federal		Men 54,794	Women 14,267	69,06
				·····	
	State and local ^a		16 852	11 000	27 853
	State and local ^a Totals	we assumed these individ	16,852 71,646	11,000 25,267	27,852 96,913
Impact on Federal Retirees		vice retirees. However, so uch as the Foreign Service ercent of the federa vor benefits totally n on the gender an	71,646 luals were state and me could have been e Retirement System al retirees had r eliminated b ad degree of o	25,267 d local governmen n retirees from oth n. d their Socia y the offset. ffset (total e	96,913 ht retirees her, smaller l Secur- . Table 2 elimina-
-	Totals ^a For purposes of our review, since they were not Civil Ser- federal retirement systems su We found that 95 pe ity spousal or surviv provides information tion or partial reduc	vice retirees. However, so uch as the Foreign Service ercent of the federa vor benefits totally n on the gender an etion) for federal re Total	71,646 Juals were state and me could have been Retirement System al retirees had v eliminated b ad degree of o etirees affecte Partial	25,267 d local governmen n retirees from oth n. d their Socia y the offset. ffset (total e ed by the off	96,913 ht retirees her, smaller I Secur- Table 2 elimina- Eset
Retirees	Totals ^a For purposes of our review, since they were not Civil Ser- federal retirement systems su We found that 95 pe ity spousal or surviv provides information tion or partial reduc provision.	vice retirees. However, so uch as the Foreign Service ercent of the federa vor benefits totally n on the gender an etion) for federal re Total elimination	71,646 Juals were state and me could have been Retirement System al retirees had reliminated b ad degree of o etirees affected Partial reduction	25,267 d local governmen n retirees from oth n. d their Socia y the offset. ffset (total e ed by the off Total	96,913 ht retirees her, smaller I Secur- . Table 2 elimina- Set Percent
Retirees	Totals ^a For purposes of our review, since they were not Civil Serv federal retirement systems so We found that 95 pe ity spousal or surviv provides information tion or partial reduc provision.	vice retirees. However, so uch as the Foreign Service ercent of the federa vor benefits totally n on the gender an etion) for federal re Total	71,646 Juals were state and me could have been Retirement System al retirees had v eliminated b ad degree of o etirees affecte Partial	25,267 d local governmen n retirees from oth n. d their Socia y the offset. ffset (total e ed by the off	96,913 ht retirees her, smaller I Secur- Table 2 elimina- Eset

 ${\rm sh}$

 ${}^{*},$

Average Civil Service Annuity for Federal Retirees Subject to the Offset OPM's data showed that, in October 1987, approximately 1.5 million retirees (71 percent men) were receiving Civil Service retirement benefits averaging \$1,133.25 a month. The average monthly Civil Service annuity for the 69,061 federal retirees to whom the offset was being applied was \$1,412.45. Table 3 shows the average monthly Civil Service annuity for federal retirees by gender.

Table 3: Average Monthly Civil Service Annuity for Federal Retirees by Gender

	Average month	Overall	
Category	Men	Women	average
Subject to offset	\$1,613.52	\$640.18	\$1,412.45
Not subject to offset	1,253.11	813.49	1,120.15

Average Monthly Social Security Benefit Subject to the Offset Provision

The average Social Security spousal or survivor benefit for federal retirees subject to the offset provision was \$190.20 a month. Table 4 provides the average monthly Social Security benefit amount subject to offset by gender.

Table 4: Average Monthly Social Security			
Benefit Prior to Offset	Gender	No. of retirees	Average Social Security benefits prior to offset
	Men	54,794	\$172.70
	Women	14,267	257.50

Average Monthly Social Security Benefit Actually Offset

Table 5 shows the gender and the average monthly Social Security benefit amount actually eliminated or reduced for the 69,061 federal retirees impacted by the public pension offset provisions. In 65,943 cases, the average monthly benefit of \$177.70 was completely eliminated.

 Table 5: Average Monthly Social Security

 Benefit Amounts Eliminated or Reduced

			Benefits r	educed
Gender	Benefits eliminated Average			Average monthly
	No. of retire es	monthly benefits	No. of retirees	benefit reduction
Men	54,630	\$171.40	164	\$461.80
Women	11,313	207.90	2,954	223.20

Effect of Dual Entitlement Provisions on Dual-Income Couples

As provided by the Social Security Amendments of 1939, a person who is entitled to both a worker's and a spousal or survivor benefit cannot receive both benefits in full but gets the worker's benefit plus the amount, if any, by which the spousal or survivor benefit exceeds the worker's benefit. The dual entitlement provision has the overall effect of providing the beneficiary with an amount equal to the higher of the two Social Security benefits.

Table 6 gives an example of how benefits for dual-income couples are affected.

Table 6: Illustration of Monthly Benefitsfor Dual-Income Couples

Monthly benefits as		
Worker	Spouse	Amount paid
\$642	\$129	\$642
258	321	321
	Worker \$642	\$642 \$129

In this illustration, the husband receives his worker's benefit of \$642, and the wife receives her worker's benefit of \$258 plus an additional \$63 to bring her total benefit to one-half the husband's benefit amount. (See p. 1 for details of how these benefits are determined.) The wife would have received the same amount as a spousal benefit without ever having worked in covered employment.

If the wife dies first, the husband continues to receive his worker's benefit. If the husband dies first, the wife's benefit is increased to the husband's benefit amount.

As arranged with your office, we are sending copies of this report to the Director, OPM; the Commissioner, SSA; and will make it available to others upon request. If you have any questions, please contact me on 275-6204.

Sincerely yours,

Forshyn S. Kluman

Rosslyn S. Kleeman Senior Associate Director

Page 5

Appendix Additional Details on Our Methodology

	In doing our review, we interviewed OPM and SSA officials to obtain an understanding of the data bases needed to generate the statistical infor- mation to answer the request. We obtained the Civil Service pension data base from OPM, and SSA provided a tape of its master beneficiary record (MBR). Among other things, the MBR contains data on spousal ben- efit entitlement, as well as public pension receipt (if provided by the individual retiree). We created four data bases as follows:
•	 A file of persons eligible for Social Security spousal or survivor benefits who also received public pensions. This included federal as well as state and local retirees. A file of recipients of Social Security spousal or survivor benefits who also received Civil Service pensions. A file of persons eligible for Social Security spousal or survivor benefits who received a public pension other than Civil Service. A file of Civil Service pension recipients who were not eligible for Social Security spousal or survivor benefits.
	We used the MBR tape of all active cases as of January 1988 where enti- tlement to a spousal or survivor benefit had been determined and a pen- sion offset requirement existed. We matched this information by beneficiary Social Security number to the October 1987 OPM file of all active Civil Service pension recipients. We did not verify the complete- ness and accuracy of the data provided by OPM and SSA. In addressing the question about the dual entitlement provisions, we
	interviewed SSA officials and reviewed applicable SSA regulations.
Data Limitations	Statistical data provided by SSA only reflected those federal, state, and local government retirees who were entitled to and applied for Social Security spousal or survivor benefits. SSA officials said they were unable to estimate the number of federal retirees entitled to Social Security ben- efits as spouses but who did not apply. SSA officials said that some gov- ernment retirees do not apply for such benefits because they know the public pension offset would eliminate any benefits to which they other- wise would have been entitled.

Requests for copies of GAO reports should be sent to:

U.S. General Accounting Office Post Office Box 6015 Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877

Telephone 202-275-6241

The first five copies of each report are free. Additional copies are \$2.00 each.

There is a 25% discount on orders for 100 or more copies mailed to a single address.

Orders must be prepaid by cash or by check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents.

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 First-Class Mail Postage & Fees Paid GAO Permit No. G100