

United States-General Accounting Office Report to Congressional Committees

June 1986

TAX POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

1985 Annual Report on GAO's Tax-Related Work



. ٠ •

GAO

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

General Government Division B-137762

June 6, 1986

The Honorable Dan Rostenkowski Chairman, Committee on Ways and Means House of Representatives

The Honorable Bob Packwood Chairman, Committee on Finance United States Senate

The Honorable Bob Packwood Chairman, Joint Committee on Taxation Congress of the United States

The Honorable Jack Brooks Chairman, Committee on Government Operations House of Representatives

The Honorable William Roth, Jr Chairman, Committee on Governmental Affairs United States Senate

This is our annual report for calendar year 1985 on our work on tax policy and tax administration matters. The report is submitted in compliance with 31 U S C 719(d) and consists of the following appendixes:

I Open recommendations to the Congress from reports issued during calendar year 1985 Actions taken and/or pending as of March 31, 1986, are included

II Open recommendations to the Congress from reports issued before calendar year 1985 Actions taken and/or pending as of March 31, 1986, are included

III Tax-related recommendations made during calendar year 1985 to the Internal Revenue Service and the Social Security Administration and their responses to those recommendations.

IV GAO products on tax matters issued during calendar year 1985.

V. Testimonies given on tax matters by GAO officials before various committees of the Congress during calendar year 1985.

VI. Tax-related assignments initiated by GAO pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 713 during calendar year 1985.

VII. GAO Order relating to audit assignments involving access to tax information.

We are pleased to report that the Internal Revenue Service and the Social Security Administration have taken, or plan to take, action on most of the tax-related recommendations we made during calendar year 1985. Also, various congressional members and committees used our products on tax policy and administration matters in overseeing tax operations and considering tax reform

We look forward to continuing to work closely with the Congress in its oversight of tax matters and to assist it in considering our legislative recommendations. Also, we would be glad to discuss any of the matters included in the appendixes if you, your colleagues, or staffs believe it would be beneficial

We are sending copies of this report to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, and the Commissioner of Social Security. We are also sending copies to other interested congressional committees and will make copies available to others upon request.

D.J.anderson

William J. Anderson Director

Contents

| Letter | | 1 |
|--|--|----|
| Appendix I Open Recommendations to | Congress Should Consider Whether to Amend the Tax Code to Allow Discounting of Property/Casualty Insurance Companies' Loss Reserves for Tax | 8 |
| the Congress From Reports Issued During Calendar Year 1985 | Purposes Congress Should Consider Whether to Amend the Tax Code to Allow Acquisition Costs to Be Allocated Over the Life of Property/Casualty Insurance Contracts | 9 |
| | Congress Should Consider Whether to Retain the Special Tax Preference for Mutual Property/Casualty Insurance Companies in Its Present Form | 10 |
| | Congress Should Amend the Internal Revenue Code Regarding Transactions Between Foreign-Owned U.S. Corporations and Their Noncorporate Foreign Owners | 11 |
| Appendix II | | 12 |
| Open Decommon dations to | Key Issues Affecting State Taxation of Multijurisdictional Corporate Income Need to Be Resolved | 12 |
| Recommendations to the Congress From | Congress Should Adopt a Tax Treatment Which Better Recognizes Changes in Some Electric Cooperatives | 14 |
| Reports Issued Before Calendar Year 1985 | Legislative Change Needed to Enable IRS to Assess Taxes Voluntarily Reported by Taxpayers in Bankruptcy | 16 |
| | Legislative Change Relating to the Issuance of Tax Deficiency Notices Could Improve Administration of the Crude Oil Windfall Profit Tax | 17 |
| | Congress Should Amend the Crude Oil Windfall Profit Tax Act of 1980 to Establish a Consolidated Appeals Process | 19 |

| Appendix III | | 20 |
|------------------------|--|-----|
| Tax-Related | IRS Managers and Staff Should Refamiliarize Themselves | 20 |
| Recommendations | With the Operating Guidelines and Procedures of the | |
| | Claims for Rewards Program | 21 |
| Made During Calendar | IRS Needs Controls and Procedures to Help Assure That | 21 |
| Year 1985 to the | Claims for Rewards Are Processed Quicker Better Instructions Are Needed on What Information IRS | 22 |
| Internal Revenue | Needs to Initiate and Develop Cases Under Its Claims | |
| Service and the Social | for Rewards Program | |
| | IRS Needs to Establish Procedures to Ensure That | 23 |
| Security | Rewards Are Fully Paid to Claimants Under the | |
| Administration and | Claims for Rewards Program | 24 |
| Their Responses to | IRS Should Consider Raising the Minimum Reward | 24 |
| Those | Allowable Under the Claims for Rewards Program | 05 |
| | Some Claimants Could Receive Rewards Sooner If Greater | 25 |
| Recommendations | Use Were Made of the Claims for Rewards Program's Partial Payments Provision | |
| | IRS Information on Revoked Charitable Organizations | 26 |
| | Needs to Be More Accurate and Consistent | 10 |
| | IRS Needs to Publish Information That Identifies Those | 27 |
| | Organizations Which Are Appealing Revocation | |
| | While Remaining in a Tax-Exempt Status | |
| | IRS and SSA Need to Ensure That All Self-Employment | 28 |
| | Records Are Processed | |
| | IRS and SSA Could Do More to Identify Individuals Who | 29 |
| | Did Not Receive Proper Credit for Their Earnings | • • |
| | More Could Be Done to Ensure That Self-Employment | 30 |
| | Earnings Are Accurately Being Recorded in | |
| | Individuals' Social Security Accounts | 01 |
| | Wages Erroneously Claimed on Self-Employment | 31 |
| | Schedules Resulted in Reporting Errors Taxpayers Are Not Adhering to Certain Restrictions for | 32 |
| | Using an Optional Method of Computing Net Self- | 02 |
| | Employment Earnings | |
| | Computational Errors on Self-Employment Schedules | 34 |
| | Resulted in Inaccurate Social Security Earnings and | |
| | Taxes | |
| | IRS Needs to Improve Its Examination Selection Process | 36 |
| | for Exempt Organizations Having Unrelated Business | |
| | Income | |

| Appendix IV GAO Products on Tax Matters Issued During Calendar Year 1985 | 38 |
|--|----|
| Appendix V Testimonies Given on Tax Matters by GAO Officials Before Various Committees of the Congress During Calendar Year 1985 | 39 |
| Appendix VI Tax-Related Assignments Initiated by GAO Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 713 During Calendar Year 1985 | 40 |
| Appendix VII GAO Order Relating to Audit Assignments Involving Access to Tax Information | 42 |

Contents

Abbreviations

- APO Army Post Office
- FPO Fleet Post Office
- GAO General Accounting Office
- IRS Internal Revenue Service
- NAIC National Association of Insurance Commissioners
- PAL Protection Against Loss
- SE Self-Employment
- SSA Social Security Administration
- UBI Unrelated Business Income

Open Recommendations to the Congress From Reports Issued During Calendar Year 1985

| Congress Should | (GAO/GGD-85-10, 3/25/85) |
|--|---|
| Consider Whether to Amend the Tax Code to Allow Discounting of Property/Casualty Insurance Companies' Loss Reserves for Tax | About half the business of the property/casualty insurance industry is constituted by insurance contracts involving claims that are paid out over a considerable period of time. For example, 50 percent of the amount of medical malpractice claims and 30 percent of general hability claims incurred during 1977 remained unsettled 5 years later. Loss reserves are needed to ensure that a company has adequate funds to make future payments on claims. |
| Purposes | While the concept of tax deductions for such reserves seems appro- priate, the current practice overstates the amounts needed to satisfy future claims. The amounts being reserved are not reduced by the investment income being earned on the reserves from the time they are established to the time they are paid out, thus resulting in the under- statement of taxable income Furthermore, for those property/casualty companies whose reserves for loss payments are growing, the current practice will increasingly understate taxable income One way to remedy this problem is to discount reserves at a rate based on each company's investment return |
| Recommendation | We recommended that if the Congress wishes to assure that the prop- erty/casualty insurance industry's revenues and expenses are more closely matched for purposes of measuring taxable income, it should consider amending the tax code to provide that in calculating the loss reserve deduction for tax purposes, loss reserves are discounted. |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | The substance of our recommendation was included in a March 11, 1986, option paper drafted by the Senate Finance Committee staff The staff proposal was awaiting action by the Committee as of March 31, 1986 |

| Congress Should | (GAO/GGD-85-10, 3/25/85) |
|---|---|
| Consider Whether to Amend the Tax Code to Allow Acquisition Costs to Be Allocated Over the Life of Property/ Casualty Insurance Contracts | One income measurement issue in the property/casualty insurance industry is the proper allocation of business expenses related to the sale and renewal of insurance policies. These expenses are considered part of the acquisition costs and include agent and broker commissions, salaries of certain employees involved in underwriting and issuing policies, and medical and inspection fees. The National Association of Insurance Com- missioners (NAIC), whose accounting method was adopted for federal tax computations, currently permits these costs to be deducted immediately regardless of the life of the policy. On the other hand, premium income on insurance contracts is included in revenues only on a pro-rata basis each year. Assuming that a 1-year contract is issued on July 1, for example, only 6 months or one-half of the annual premium would be included as income in the first year, and the balance would not enter into taxable income until the following year. |
| | We believe that, for the proper measurement of taxable income, expenses should be allocated over the same period in which the corre- sponding income is recognized. Therefore, using NAIC's regulatory accounting practices to measure taxable income is inappropriate because it does not match expenses with associated revenues, thereby resulting in misstated taxable income. |
| Recommendation | We recommended that if the Congress wishes to assure that the prop- erty/casualty insurance industry's revenues and expenses are more closely matched for purposes of measuring taxable income, it should consider amending the tax code to provide that acquisition costs be allo- cated over the life of related contracts |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | H R 3838, which was passed by the House on December 17, 1985, and referred to the Senate Finance Committee, included an amendment to section 832(b) of the tax code that would generally implement our recommendation regarding acquisition costs on an industrywide basis. The substance of our recommendation was also included as a proposal in a March 11, 1986, option paper drafted by the Senate Finance Committee staff. Both H R 3838 and the staff option paper were awaiting action by the Committee as of March 31, 1986. |

| Congress Should Consider Whether to Retain the Special Tax Preference for Mutual Property/Casualty Insurance Companies in Its Present Form | (GAO/GGD-85-10, 3/25/85) The Revenue Act of 1962 established a protection against loss (PAL) account to confer a tax preference to mutual property/casualty insurance companies. The reason for the PAL account was concern about mutual companies' lack of access to capital markets in the event that they sustained catastrophic losses. The PAL account operates to defer taxes on a portion of a mutual company's income For tax purposes, a mutual company sets aside funds based on the size of its incurred losses and underwriting income. These funds, subject to certain statutory limitations, are deductions against current period underwriting gains. The rationale for the PAL account is debatable because if catastrophic losses were to occur, the account would not necessarily ensure the company's ability to satisfy its contract obligations. Moreover, it is questionable whether stock companies faced with a catastrophic loss could successfully access capital markets. Thus, the rationale for the PAL account may be based on questionable economic assumptions |
|--|--|
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Congress consider whether the special tax preference for mutual property/casualty insurance companies should be retained in its present form |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | H.R. 3838, which was passed by the House on December 17, 1985, and referred to the Senate Finance Committee, would eliminate the PAL account. A proposal, which would also eliminate the PAL account, was included in a March 11, 1986, option paper drafted by the Senate Finance Committee staff Both H R 3838 and the staff option paper were awaiting action by the Committee as of March 31, 1986 |

| Congress Should Amend the Internal Revenue Code Regarding Transactions Between Foreign-Owned U.S. Corporations and Their Noncorporate Foreign Owners | (GAO/GGD-86-19, 11/1/85) Under section 6038A of the Internal Revenue Code, certain foreign- owned U.S. corporations are required to submit an information return to IRS if they conduct transactions with a related domestic or foreign corpo- ration. This was intended to enhance compliance However, we expressed concern that the present language of section 6038A is not sufficiently broad. For example, according to IRS, some for- eign-owned U.S. corporations may have transactions with related for- eign individuals and related noncorporate foreign entities that presently would not have to be reported to IRS under section 6038A. Although the extent of these transactions could not be quantified, IRS felt that the transactions should be reported even if the number is relatively small. Therefore, an amendment to section 6038A is needed to help IRS enforce the tax laws by identifying transactions between foreign-owned U.S. corporations and their noncorporate foreign owners |
|--|---|
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Congress amend section 6038A of the Internal Revenue Code to help IRS enforce the tax laws by identifying transac- tions between foreign-owned U S corporations and their noncorporate foreign owners |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | The change suggested in our report was included in the March 1986 markup of the Senate Finance Committee's tax reform proposal. No fur- ther action had been taken as of March 31, 1986. |

| Key Issues Affecting | (GAO/GGD-82-38, 7/1/82) |
|---|--|
| State Taxation of Multijurisdictional Corporate Income Need to Be Resolved | At present, state taxation of multijurisdictional corporate income is administratively unwieldy. Forty-five separate political jurisdictions attempt to equitably divide the income of often complex and geographi- cally dispersed taxable entities, and each jurisdiction formulates its own specific rules for determining how much of an entity's total income is attributable to operations in that jurisdiction. The resulting lack of uni- formity is extensive |
| | The problems of nonuniformity are even more critical today than they were when the special House subcommittee issued the Willis report in 1964 extensively documenting the lack of uniformity in interstate tax provisions. The issues have become more complex and controversial as the number of corporations has grown, and certain states have expanded their taxing efforts to take foreign operations into account |
| | The issues which have developed in recent years have broad policy implications potentially affecting international tax policy Furthermore, the issues are at the center of the longstanding constitutional debate over the balance between state sovereignty and congressional commerce clause powers. Moreover, lack of uniformity among the states causes problems for states and corporate taxpayers The problems—higher return preparation costs, potential overtaxation or undertaxation, and numerous disputes—result in a tax system which is unduly uncertain, mefficient, and often inequitable |
| Recommendation | None While we made no recommendation, we concluded that the key issues affecting state taxation of multijurisdictional corporate income need resolving Since the Willis report was issued in 1964, little progress has been made to increase the uniformity with which states tax corpo- rate income The states have made some voluntary efforts, but substan- tial nonuniformity still exists |
| | The Supreme Court has attempted to deal with some of the issues affecting state taxation of multijurisdictional corporate income. For example, the Court recently ruled that a state can take into account a corporation's worldwide income when taxing that corporation But, in the past the Court has also recognized the inherent limitations of the judicial approach to solving the interstate and international policy issues and has acknowledged that the Congress is the appropriate body to resolve such issues |

| | Appendix II Open Recommendations to the Congress From Reports Issued Before Calendar Year 1985 |
|--------------------------------|---|
| | The Congress appears to be in the best position to fully evaluate the multiple factors and assess the arguments surrounding the policy issues involved in state taxation of multistate and multinational corporate income, especially foreign source income. Also, because the Congress can fully consider the states' rights and foreign policy issues, it can best devise a comprehensive solution which adequately and fairly balances the competing interests of the states and corporate taxpayers |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | In response to concerns of foreign governments and U.S and foreign- based multinational corporations, the President directed the Secretary of the Treasury to form a special working group on unitary taxation to recommend solutions to the problems resulting from state taxation of multinational corporate income The working group was formed in October 1983 and consisted of representatives from states, corporations, and key interest groups In November 1983, we made an extensive pre- sentation before the task force of the working group based on issues covered in our report on state taxation and in a related report on federal taxation of multinational corporations (GGD-81-81, Sept 30, 1981) |
| | In August 1984, the working group on unitary taxation issued its report containing several recommendations, including one which would provide for a federal law that would require a corporate taxpayer to file infor- mation with IRS disclosing the taxpayer's tax hability and method of cal- culation for each state in which it operates IRS would then share this information with the individual state in which the corporation did business |
| | The substance of the working group's recommendation was included in H.R 3980, which was introduced in the House on December 18, 1985, and was referred to the House Ways and Means Committee. A similar proposal, S 1974, was introduced in the Senate on the same date and was referred to the Senate Finance Committee Further action was pending on both bills as of March 31, 1986 |

| Congress Should Adopt | (GAO/GGD-83-7, 1/5/83) |
|---|---|
| a Tax Treatment Which Better Recognizes Changes in Some Electric Cooperatives | Under section 501(c)(12) of the Internal Revenue Code, electric coopera- tives are provided tax-exempt status and are permitted to earn substan- tial untaxed income from nonmember sources, which subsidizes cooperative members' cost of electricity. This exemption was initially granted over 60 years ago when electric cooperatives were generally small, struggling associations which primarily distributed electricity to sparsely populated rural areas. Since that time, however, the operations of many cooperatives and the environment in which they do business have changed substantially. |
| | Today, many electric cooperatives are still small associations which con- tinue to need assistance in order to provide electricity to rural areas at rates comparable to those charged in urban areas. Others, however, have substantially changed in character or have progressed to the point where they closely resemble their taxable counterparts. Yet, unlike other federal assistance programs which can be directed to those organi- zations having a continuing need for assistance, all electric cooperatives continue to benefit from tax exemption. Under the broad requirements of the law, tax exemption applies across-the-board to all electric cooperatives. |
| | IRS, in administering the tax exemption requirements, has tried to recog- nize the changes in electric cooperatives. However, it has experienced difficulties because of the broad nature of the law. Therefore, the Con- gress needs to consider alternatives to the present tax treatment of elec- tric cooperatives and adopt a treatment which would better recognize the changes in their operations and the environment in which they operate. As a framework for the Congress' consideration, we proposed alternatives to the present law which would (1) modify electric coopera- tives' nonmember income allowance, or (2) eliminate that allowance, or (3) apply tax rules already applicable to other types of cooperatives. These alternatives, which would have an estimated revenue impact ranging from \$2 million to \$45 million, are not all inclusive |

| Recommendation | We recommended that the Congress, using the alternatives we provided as a guide, establish a tax treatment which better addresses electric cooperatives' present operating environment. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | None. |

| Legislative Change Needed to Enable IRS to Assess Taxes Voluntarily Reported by Taxpayers in Bankruptcy | (GAO/GGD-83-47, 6/20/83) The Bankruptcy Reform Act provides qualified debtors with certain protections from creditors—including IRS The act restricts IRS' authority in many cases to assess, collect, or recover a claim against an individual or a business during bankruptcy proceedings. Administratively, this restriction has caused problems for IRS because it requires IRS to process returns from bankrupt taxpayers manually rather than through its automated processing system During fiscal year 1982, these additional processing steps cost IRS an estimated \$500,000 |
|--|--|
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Bankruptcy Act be amended to allow assess- ment of the taxes that bankrupt taxpayers report on their returns. Allowing IRS to assess—but not collect—these taxes would still protect bankrupt taxpayers but at less cost to IRS than is presently being incurred. |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | None |

(GAO/GGD-84-15, 6/18/84) Legislative Change Relating to the Because the windfall profit tax on producers is calculated on a propertyby-property basis, administration of the tax could be simplified if IRS **Issuance of Tax** were able to issue deficiency notices on that same basis However, cur-**Deficiency Notices** rent law generally prohibits IRS from issuing more than one statutory **Could Improve** notice of deficiency per taxpayer per taxable period If the Congress were to amend the applicable portion of the Internal Revenue Code, both Administration of the IRS and affected taxpayers could benefit from faster resolution of tax Crude Oil Windfall liability issues **Profit Tax** Under section 4995(a)(8) of the Internal Revenue Code, a producer-taxpayer cannot be mailed a deficiency notice with respect to windfall profit tax hability until 2 months after the close of the calendar year in which the crude oil was removed from the premises. Further, section 6212(c) of the Internal Revenue Code provides that if IRS mails a notice of windfall profit tax deficiency to a producer-taxpayer, and the taxpayer then files a petition in a timely manner with the U.S. Tax Court for a deficiency redetermination, IRS cannot issue additional deficiency notices for the same taxable period with respect to this taxpayer However, because the windfall profit tax is calculated on a property-byproperty basis, section 6212(c) has the effect of forcing IRS to delay issuance of deficiency notices until the applicable statute of limitations expiration date is near. Section 6212(c) restricts IRS to issuing a producer only one deficiency notice with respect to a given taxable quarter For this reason, IRS needs all available time within the applicable statute of limitations period to examine oil properties and consolidate the deficiencies of producers who own interests in more than one property. This procedure has the effect of (1) delaying revenue flows to the government and/or (2) increasing taxpayers' interest costs In some instances, tax revenues may be foregone entirely Recommendation We recommended that the Congress amend section 6212(c) of the Internal Revenue Code to enable IRS to issue deficiency notices after examination of each oil-producing property without precluding later issuance of additional notices covering the producers' interest in other properties during the same quarter

Action Taken And/Or Pending On June 26, 1984, H R 5934 was introduced in the House of Representatives. The bill, if enacted, would have revised the basis for issuing notices of deficiency in accordance with our recommendation. However, no action was taken on the bill during the 98th Congress. On January 31, 1985, the bill was introduced in the 99th Congress as H.R. 898 and was referred to the House Ways and Means Committee. No further action had been taken as of March 31, 1986.

| Congress Should | (GAO/GGD-84-15, 6/18/84) |
|--|--|
| Amend the Crude Oil Windfall Profit Tax Act of 1980 to Establish a Consolidated Appeals Process | Until IRS changed its administrative appeals rules in June 1983, each oil producer whose windfall profit tax liability was affected by an IRS examiner's adjustments was entitled to a separate appeals conference to contest the examiner's findings Therefore, for any given issue, there could have been as many administrative hearings as there were persons owning interest in an oil property. Similarly, a large number of duplicative court cases still occur under the judicial appeals process. For example, it is not uncommon to have 50 or more owners of a single oil-producing property. And, except for partnerships, each of these owners can separately appeal the same issue judicially within the court system. |
| | The Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982 (Public Law 97- 248), which was enacted on September 3, 1982, specified that, for cer- tain issues, partnerships would be treated as taxable entities for appeals purposes. The concept of the partnership as a taxable entity provides a precedent for actions which could facilitate windfall profit tax adminis- tration. That is, for certain issues relating to a given oil property, a con- solidated appeals procedure may be more efficient than allowing each producer-taxpayer to appeal separately IRS recently made a regulatory change to eliminate duplicative administrative appeals, but legislation is needed to preclude duplicative judicial appeals. |
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Congress pass legislation to consolidate judi- cial appeals for a given property's "oil" issues. A consolidated appeals process would conserve both IRS and judicial resources while also pro- tecting taxpayers' rights. Precedent legislation is provided by title IV of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982 which, among other things, attempts to avoid duplicative judicial reviews of the tax treatment of partnership items |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | On June 26, 1984, H R 5934 was introduced in the House of Representa- tives. The bill, if enacted, would have provided for a consolidated appeals process. However, no action was taken on the bill during the 98th Congress. On January 31, 1985, the bill was reintroduced in the 99th Congress as H.R 898 and was referred to the House Ways and Means Committee No further action had been taken as of March 31, 1986. |

| IRS Managers and Staff | (GAO/GGD-85-11, 4/19/85) | |
|---|---|--|
| Should Refamiliarize Themselves With the Operating Guidelines and Procedures of the Claims for Rewards Program | In the past, IRS' Claims for Rewards Program has proven to be highly successful For example, between fiscal years 1975 and 1984, annual taxes recovered under the program averaged about \$16.4 million and rewards paid averaged about \$456,000 annually. Thus, for every dollar IRS paid out as a reward, it collected about \$36 in delinquent taxes. | |
| | Although IRS has recognized the Claims for Rewards Program's potential for detecting tax evasion and has assigned priority to the program, IRS personnel were not fully aware of the program's processing require- ments and were not giving claims for rewards cases priority considera- tion. IRS' limited compliance resources and competing priorities precluded claims for rewards cases from receiving priority attention | |
| | While recognizing that IRS' compliance resources are strained, we believe that it is even more important for IRS to effectively use a program which has proven to be useful in detecting undocumented and otherwise unre- ported income. Thus, we believe the Claims for Rewards Program needs to be reemphasized | |
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Commissioner of Internal Revenue request IRS managers and staff to refamiliarize themselves with the Claims for Rewards Program's operating guidelines and procedures. | |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS did not agree with our recommendation because it did not want to be perceived as reemphasizing or expanding the rewards program. There- fore, no action was taken or planned As we pointed out in our report, our intent was not to raise or expand the priority of the Claims for Rewards Program, but to have IRS reacquaint its managers and staff with the program's usefulness in deterring noncompliance and its proce- dures and requirements for handling claims for rewards cases. | |

| IRS Needs Controls and | (GAO/GGD-85-11, 4/19/85) |
|--|--|
| Procedures to Help Assure That Claims for Rewards Are Processed Quicker | By its nature, the claims for rewards process is lengthy. For example, the application forms for claims for rewards and the related information items must be screened at the service centers before being sent to the district offices for further consideration IRS has no time requirements for (1) acknowledging receipt of claimant's allegations, (2) referring worthy allegations for proper action, (3) submitting agent's claims for evaluation reports, and (4) processing actual rewards payments. |
| | Therefore, we formulated criteria based on the length of time IRS offi- cials said that these processing functions should take Using these cri- teria, we found that in 79 percent of the claims processed by two large district offices, it took longer than IRS officials said it should take to per- form one or more processing functions. While the extent of processing time is, in some cases, uncontrollable, IRS could better assure that such times are minimized by establishing milestones for accomplishing certain functions. |
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Commissioner of Internal Revenue establish, as a goal, specific time frames for (1) acknowledging service center receipt of program participants' allegations, (2) referring worthy allega- tions to district offices for action, (3) submitting agents claims for rewards evaluation reports, and (4) issuing rewards once claims exam- iners are aware that assessed deficiencies have been paid |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS agreed that in certain instances time frames can be an effective man- agement tool for assessing certain program goals. It said it would estab- lish servicewide time frames for acknowledging service center receipt of allegations and for referring worthy allegations to district offices for action. Therefore, on July 25, 1985, IRS revised its manual to implement parts 1 and 2 of our recommendation. IRS took no action regarding parts 3 and 4 because it did not believe that rigid time frames were necessary for submitting claims for rewards evaluation reports and for issuing reward checks. As we pointed out in our report, specific time frames for these actions would help (1) assure that program participants were not kept waiting longer than necessary to learn about the disposition of their claims or to receive a reward and (2) provide IRS management with benchmarks for monitoring and assessing program administration |

| | Appendix III Tax-Related Recommendations Made During Calendar Year 1985 to IRS and SSA and Their Responses |
|--|--|
| Better Instructions Are Needed on What Information IRS Needs to Initiate and Develop Cases Under Its Claims for Rewards Program | (GAO/GGD-85-11, 4/19/85) The effectiveness of the Claims for Rewards Program cannot be fully achieved if potential program participants are not familiar with the kinds of information IRS needs to initiate and develop cases on tax law violators. Through an analysis of 8 years of program statistics, we found that about 87 percent of the claims for rewards files that were closed were disallowed and that most were disallowed because the claimant did not provide sufficient information on the merits of pur- sung the claim. Various IRS officials believed this indicates that program participants need to be educated about the kinds of information IRS needs to initiate and develop program cases. This could be done by revising existing IRS Publication 733 to include a section explaining the kinds of information IRS finds useful. Not only should such a revision make potential participants better aware of the program's requirements, it also might help reduce the number of frivolous claims |
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Commissioner of Internal Revenue revise IRS Publication 733 to include a section on the specific kinds of information IRS finds useful to make cases against taxpayers who do not comply with the tax laws |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS revised its Publication 733, entitled <u>Rewards for Information Given</u> to the Internal Revenue Service, to include specific kinds of information IRS finds useful in making cases against taxpayers who do not comply with the tax laws The final revision to Publication 733 was issued in February 1986. |

| IRS Needs to Establish Procedures to Ensure That Rewards Are Fully Paid to Claimants Under the Claims for Rewards Program | (GAO/GGD-85-11, 4/19/85) Persons providing information under the Claims for Rewards Program do not always receive payment on the full amount of taxes collected. In some instances, a portion of the delinquent taxes are declared uncollect- ible and rewards are paid on the basis of taxes collected up to that time. For fiscal years 1979 through 1981, we reviewed the 61 claims resulting in rewards at two IRS district offices. We found six cases where subse- quent tax collection occurred after the taxes had been declared uncol- lectible. In all of those cases, IRS claims examiners did not routinely check corresponding delinquent account transcripts to assure that claim- ants were paid any additional rewards due. As a result, these claimants did not receive additional rewards to which they were entitled |
|--|--|
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Commissioner of Internal Revenue establish procedures to ensure that subsequent collections on a rewards case deemed uncollectible are reported to service center program claims examiners so additional rewards can be paid as appropriate. |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS adopted our recommendation by revising its manual instructions on July 25, 1986. |

| IRS Should Consider Raising the Minimum Reward Allowable Under the Claims for Rewards Program | (GAO/GGD-85-11, 4/19/85) The Claims for Rewards Program could be enhanced by changing its rewards structure. IRS recently raised the maximum reward from \$50,000 to \$100,000 to better compensate program participants who provide information leading to significant tax recoveries. However, despite inflation, the \$25 minimum reward has not changed in more than 15 years. |
|---|--|
| | We found that many program participants receive small awards and often wait for a long time to receive them. For example, 38 percent of the claims resulting in awards which we reviewed were for less than \$100 and the claimants waited 5 or more years from the date of submis- sion to be paid. We believe IRS should consider increasing the minimum award, just as it did the maximum award, to provide more adequate compensation. Such action could potentially lead to better participant satisfaction and to detecting and collecting more delinquent taxes. |
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Commissioner of Internal Revenue consider raising the reward allowable under the Claims for Rewards Program. |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS disagreed with this recommendation because it believed that such action would result in a reemphasis or expansion of the program, which it opposes. We pointed out that we did not believe that such action would necessarily be perceived as a reemphasis or expansion of the pro- gram any more than IRS' raising the maximum reward |

| Some Claimants Could Receive Rewards Sooner If Greater Use Were Made of the Claims for Powards | (GAO/GGD-85-11, 4/19/85) One way to pay participants for useful information sooner is to pay rewards in stages, until all collections have occurred. Although IRS' policy and procedures permitted partial payments to reward partici- pants under certain circumstances as tax deficiencies were collected, |
|--|---|
| Claims for Rewards Program's Partial Payments Provision | such payments were not normally encouraged. We found that if partial rewards had been permitted more often, some program participants could have received rewards sooner, thus enhancing the program Accordingly, IRS revised its policy and procedures to encourage the pay- ment of partial rewards. |
| | However, for partial payments to be used effectively, IRS still needs to simplify its procedures for tracking and providing subsequent partial or full payments. Currently, when partial payment has already been made, the claimant is required to file a separate application for any further reward. This is burdensome and could be simplified by eliminating the multiapplication requirement and assigning a permanent claim number to each case for tracking purposes. |
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Commissioner of Internal Revenue rescind the requirement that claimants must submit separate claims for rewards applications after a partial reward has been paid, thereby eliminating burdensome paperwork |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS agreed to rescind the requirements for submitting a separate claim for reward application for any further reward after a partial reward is made. IRS revised its manual accordingly on July 25, 1985. |

| IRS Information on | (GAO/GGD-85-36, 5/14/85) |
|--|---|
| Revoked Charitable Organizations Needs to Be More Accurate and Consistent | IRS maintains information on revoked charitable tax-exempt organiza- tions in three separate information sources—the Exempt Organizations Business Master File, the Internal Revenue Bulletin, and the Audit Infor- mation Management System. When an organization's charitable tax- exempt status is revoked, IRS officials are supposed to independently enter the revocation in these three information sources using separate forms. |
| | Our review showed that none of the IRS systems contained a complete listing of revoked organizations. Moreover, our comparison of data among the IRS information sources showed that they did not always con- tain the same revoked organization. Thus, the information was inaccu- rate and inconsistent |
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Assistant Commissioner of Internal Revenue for Employee Plans and Exempt Organizations establish procedures to reconcile revocations shown in the Exempt Organizations Business Master File, the Internal Revenue Bulletin, and the Audit Information Management System If the data in these sources continue to disagree, IRS should take further steps to identify and correct the cause(s) of the inaccuracies and inconsistencies |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS agreed with our recommendation and in January 1985 formed a study group comprised of IRS employees familiar with the exempt organ- ization process to study what actions could be taken to resolve the prob- lems we identified. As a result of the group's findings, several actions were initiated during 1985 to ensure that accurate and consistent infor- mation on tax-exempt organizations was being maintained. One action involves a quarterly reconciliation of revoked organizations. In this regard, revocations announced in the Internal Revenue Bulletin and information extracted from the Audit Information Management System will be reconciled with the Exempt Organizations Business Master File data |

| IRS Needs to Publish Information That Identifies Those Organizations Which Are Appealing Revocation While Remaining in a Tax- Exempt Status | (GAO/GGD-85-36, 5/14/85) Limited contributions can be made to revoked charitable tax-exempt organizations whose revocation is being judicially appealed. However, IRS does not publish the names of organizations entitled to receive tax- deductible contributions during such appeals. Therefore, the public cannot determine from IRS' published information which organizations have judicially appealed their revocations. Further, IRS publications do not completely inform the public of the continuing deductibility of con- tributions to revoked organizations which have filed a judicial appeal Unless IRS records and publishes this information, potential contributors will continue to have a difficult time knowing to which organizations tax-deductible contributions can be made |
|--|--|
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Assistant Commissioner for Employee Plans and Exempt Organizations revise IRS' tax-exempt related publications to enable the public to clearly determine which revoked organizations are in the process of appealing IRS' revocation decision and are, therefore, entitled to continue receiving tax-deductible contributions during the appeals process. |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS agreed with our recommendation and has implemented new proce- dures to inform the public of the (1) judicial relief available to revoked organizations appealing their revocations and (2) limited protection afforded deductible contributions during the appeals process |

| IRS and SSA Need to Ensure That All Self- Employment Records Are Processed | (GAO/GGD-85-21, 5/28/85) Current methods being used by IRS and the Social Security Administra- tion (SSA) for processing self-employment records do not ensure that all self-employed persons who have reportable earnings receive credit for them. We estimated that for returns processed in 1980, IRS did not pro- vide SSA with information on about 2,600 tax returns with earnings totaling about \$20.5 million, and SSA never processed an estimated 66,000 tax returns with earnings totaling about \$237 5 million. By not recording these earnings, SSA failed to receive about \$20.8 million in Social Security taxes |
|---|---|
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Commissioners of Internal Revenue and Social Security work together to establish overall control of the number of self-employment records received for processing so that differences between the number processed by IRS and SSA can be reconciled. |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS and SSA jointly agreed to resolve the problem identified in our finding. By mutual agreement, IRS is now sending to SSA computer tapes containing all the necessary information from Schedule SE for SSA to rec- oncile the number of self-employment records processed. The tapes include the number of records received and the amount of money involved. SSA plans to better track all tape transmittal records for each processing year to ensure that it has accounted for and processed all records. |

| IRS and SSA Could Do More to Identify Individuals Who Did Not Receive Proper Credit for Their Earnings Recommendation | (GAO/GGD-85-21, 5/28/85) | |
|---|--|--|
| | The self-employment data that IRS routinely provides SSA contains the wage amounts listed on the self-employment (SE) schedules. These wages represent amounts that individuals claimed to have earned in Social Security covered employment. However, SSA does not use the data on the SE schedule Instead, it uses employer reports to credit individuals' wages. If SSA had reconciled the differences that existed between the data on the SE schedule and its accounts for tax year 1979, SSA could have correctly credited individuals' accounts with an estimated \$147.8 million in earnings. Therefore, by utilizing the IRS data and its own data, SSA can systematically identify situations where employees are not receiving the proper credit for all the earnings to which they are entitled. | |
| | We recommended that the Commissioners of Internal Revenue and Social Security work together to identify those self-employed individ- uals who did not receive proper credit for their earnings and correct their accounts. Specifically, SSA should identify for IRS, using SE sched- ules with maximum reportable earnings, those individuals whose earn- ings were not properly credited. IRS should help SSA identify specific individuals and their earnings SSA should then correct the problem for those individuals, as well as any others similarly affected | |
| Actions Taken And/Or Pending | IRS and SSA have made plans to implement our recommendations. Begin- ning in 1986, SSA is planning to use information IRS provides to identify those individuals who have not received earnings credit SSA also plans to work with IRS to obtain the specific earnings and identifying data to enable SSA to properly credit an individual's earnings | |

| | Appendix III Tax-Related Recommendations Made During Calendar Year 1985 to IRS and SSA and Their Responses |
|--|---|
| More Could Be Done to Ensure That Self- Employment Earnings Are Accurately Being Recorded in Individuals' Social Security Accounts | (GAO/GGD-85-21, 5/28/85) IRS could help SSA credit the correct Social Security accounts by making sure that whenever it corrects a Social Security number (also known as Taxpayer Identification Number) on a tax return, the corrected number is also provided to SSA. We estimated that in 1980, IRS furnished incor- rect numbers to SSA for about 64,600 individuals even though the correct numbers were available. As a result, SSA was either unable to credit or incorrectly credited about \$138.4 million of self-employment earnings. |
| Recommendation | We recommended that starting with the 1980 processing year, the Com- missioner of Internal Revenue identify and provide SSA with self- employment records showing different Social Security numbers for the same person or self-employment earnings that were not previously provided. |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS has taken action to preclude the future recurrence of this problem For past instances of this problem, IRS is planning to identify and pro- vide SSA those individuals' records who had social security numbers listed on their schedules SE different from those shown on their forms 1040. |

- - ------

1

| Wages Erroneously Claimed on Self- Employment Schedules Resulted in Reporting Errors | (GAO/GGD-85-21, 5/28/85) SSA receives earnings information from employers and IRS Employers report wages earned by their employees, and IRS reports self-employ- ment earnings. Because wages affect taxable self-employment earnings if more than the maximum amount subject to taxation is earned, wages are required to be shown on the self-employment (SE) schedule. We found that during returns processing, IRS does not routinely verify wage amounts on the SE schedules. As a result, erroneously claimed wages on SE schedules resulted in self-employment errors. Based on our sample of returns processed in 1980, we estimated that over 26,000 tax returns had erroneous wage amounts on the accompanying SE schedules. This resulted in a net understatement of self-employment earnings of S37.8 million and a net underpayment of Social Security taxes of \$3.1 million. |
|--|---|
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Commissioner of Internal Revenue modify existing returns processing procedures to (1) record SE schedules whose wages alone equal maximum taxable earnings and (2) verify wages on SE schedules for workers with maximum taxable earnings. |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS agreed that effective January 1, 1986, it would verify all SE sched- ules claiming maximum taxable earnings against wage information shown on the taxpayers' W-2 forms |

Taxpayers Are Not Adhering to Certain Restrictions for Using an Optional Method of Computing Net Self-Employment Earnings (GAO/GGD-85-21, 5/28/85)

Self-employed persons engaged in nonfarm work must comply with several provisions of the Self-Employed Contributions Act if they elect to use the nonfarm optional method for determining total net earnings. Two such provisions are

- The individual must have been self-employed in 2 of the 3 years immediately prior to the year the optional method is used to determine earnings.
- The optional method can, at most, be used five times in a person's life.

We examined about 46,200 SE schedules that showed use of the nonfarm optional method for the 1982 tax year and found that taxpayers are not complying with these restrictions for using the nonfarm optional tax method. We found that neither IRS nor SSA verifies the "two-of-three previous years" requirement. To determine the compliance rate, we examined the earnings histories of 266 nonfarm workers who elected the optional method for 1979 SSA's earnings records showed 38.3 percent of those workers did not meet the requirement. Because the optional method allows individuals to claim more than they actually earned, these individuals overpaid their Social Security taxes by about \$11,900, thereby gaining 278 quarters of Social Security coverage and about \$147,000 in earnings Similarly, we checked the compliance rate for the 46,200 SE schedules showing use of the nonfarm optional method for the 1982 tax year and found that 44 3 percent of those workers did not meet the requirement.

We also found that IRS does not check for compliance with the provision that limits lifetime use because IRS does not have the means to detect violations using the existing returns processing system. However, SSA has established a detection and notification process. Upon discovering instances of the lifetime provision being violated, SSA officials send IRS the names and Social Security numbers of the violators. The information is not complete for IRS purposes, however, because it does not specify the years that the taxpayer used the optional tax method.

In summary, IRS and SSA do not have a systematic way of checking for compliance and the agencies are uncertain of the role that each should have

| | Appendix III Tax-Related Recommendations Made During Calendar Year 1985 to IRS and SSA and Their Responses |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Recommendation | We recommended that the Commissioner of Internal Revenue and the Commissioner of Social Security work together to develop a systematic way of identifying and correcting tax and earnings overpayments for self-employed persons who erroneously elected the nonfarm optional method of determining their earnings either because they (1) were not self-employed in 2 of the 3 previous years or (2) exceeded the 5-year usage limit. |
| Action Taken And/Or Pending | IRS and SSA have agreed to work together to systematically enforce the self-employment optional methods restrictions referred to in our recommendation |

| Computational Errors on Self-Employment Schedules Resulted in Inaccurate Social Security Earnings and Taxes | (GAO/GGD-85-21, 5/28/85) |
|--|---|
| | Although IRS had taken some corrective action to improve its ability to resolve computational problems, we found areas where improvements are still needed. For example, IRS' computers are programmed to use only the total net earnings and wage figures in determining self-employment earnings. The computers do not consider, however, whether farm and nonfarm earnings actually equal the total net earnings shown. In this regard, we sampled 816,588 of 7,776,920 computerized tax returns with SE schedules that IRS processed in 1980. We found that 40,184, about 4.9 percent, had a schedule SE with a net earnings figure that did not equal farm and nonfarm earnings. We also found that this trend continued through 1981. In that year, our examination of 7.7 million computerized SE schedules showed that 296,040 had a net earnings figure that did not equal the sum of farm and nonfarm earnings. |
| | Also, we examined nearly 6,000 SE schedules processed in 1980 on which taxpayers used an optional method for determining their net earnings Of these, 2,300 used the optional method to claim lower earn- ings for Social Security tax purposes. This is generally not a valid reason for its use. Similarly, of the 169,268 SE schedules processed in 1981 that showed use of the optional method, 35,690 showed lower amounts than were actually earned. To correct these problems, IRS redesigned the SE schedule for its 1983 processing year and decided to use an error reg- ister—a computer-generated listing of returns that do not meet specified criteria—to review SE schedules for problems with optional method use. We examined 7,764,516 SE schedules through September 1983 and found that 400,791 SE schedules—about 5.2 percent—had net earnings that seemed to be computed erroneously. |
| Recommendations | We recommended that the Commissioner of Internal Revenue |
| | Expand use of the error register to include the setuations where the sum of farm and nonfarm earnings is less than the total earnings amount being recorded and correct any identified earnings and tax errors. Identify, review, and correct those SE schedules processed in 1983 with math problems—similar to action taken for 1981 processed SE schedules. |
Appendix III Tax-Related Recommendations Made During Calendar Year 1985 to IRS and SSA and Their Responses

| Actions Taken And/Or Pending | IRS agreed to expand use of the error register with a target date for implementation of January 1986. Also, IRS stated that it would identify, review, and correct those SE schedules processed in 1983. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | review, and correct those SE schedules processed in 1983. |

Appendix III Tax-Related Recommendations Made During Calendar Year 1985 to IRS and SSA and Their Responses

| IRS Needs to Improve | (GAO/GGD-85-64, 7/8/85) |
|--|--|
| Its Examination Selection Process for Exempt Organizations Having Unrelated | During fiscal years 1981 through 1983, IRS assessed over \$41 million in additional taxes and penalties as a result of examining tax-exempt orga- nizations having unrelated business income (UBI) However, we found that a substantial number of UBI examinations resulted in little or no additional tax revenue |
| Business Income | For example, we analyzed IRS data on 8,850 UBI tax returns that were examined by IRS during fiscal years 1981 through 1983 We found that 56 percent of these returns yielded no additional recommended tax; 41 percent yielded \$4.2 million of the \$41.7 million of additional recom- mended tax during this period; and 3 percent yielded almost \$37.5 mil- hon of the \$41 7 million Therefore, if IRS could have identified and examined UBI returns having the most potential for noncompliance, more revenue with less examinations may have been realized. |
| | We also found that IRS does not have enough information to fully under- stand the nature and magnitude of UBI tax noncompliance. Neither does IRS have the information needed to develop profiles of highly noncomp- liant tax-exempt organizations engaging in UBI activity. Without such information, IRS' current process for selecting such organizations for examination cannot routinely focus on highly noncompliant tax-exempt UBI businesses. These are organizations which regularly do not properly report UBI earnings or pay UBI tax due. Therefore, IRS should start focusing more on UBI organizations with a high potential for being noncompliant as well as assuring that exempt organizations are oper- ating pursuant to their exempt status |
| Recommendations | We recommended that the Assistant Commissioner for Employee Plans and Exempt Organizations take the necessary action to further analyze existing data on UBI tax examinations. We also recommended that infor- mation on all types of UBI organizations and specific UBI activities be developed, collected, and analyzed |
| Actions Taken And/Or Pending | IRS agreed that more could be done to improve the selection and exami- nation of UBI returns. IRS acknowledged the possible benefits of devel- oping a UBI examination and selection system focusing on those UBI organizations having a high potential for being noncompliant IRS told us |

Appendix III Tax-Related Recommendations Made During Calendar Year 1985 to IRS and SSA and Their Responses

that a future Taxpayer Compliance Measurement Program is being considered to (1) develop more refined selection procedures for UBI examinations and (2) collect specific information on all UBI activities and aspects of noncompliance. If such a program is implemented, its results should be available in 1988 or later, according to IRS. Once this information is collected and analyzed, we believe IRS should be in a better position to fully implement a selection process to focus on those UBI organizations having a high potential for noncompliance.

GAO Products on Tax Matters Issued During Calendar Year 1985

| Title | Date |
|--|----------|
| Congress Should Consider Changing Federal Income Taxation Of The Property/Casualty Insurance Industry (GAO/GGD-85-10) | 3/25/85 |
| Statistical Data On Tax-Exempt Organizations Earning Unrelated Business Income (GAO/GGD-85-43) | 3/29/85 |
| State Income Taxation Of Non-Resident Railroad Employees (GAO/GGD-85- 46) | 4/11/85 |
| Administrative Changes Could Strengthen IRS' Claims For Rewards Program (GAO/GGD-85-11) | 4/19/85 |
| Information On Various Matters Relating To The Philadelphia Service Center (GG5-95) | 4/24/85 |
| Information On IRS' Taxpayer Assistance Activities (GG5-36) | 4/26/85 |
| IRS' Information On Revoked Tax-Exempt Organizations Could Be Improved (GAO/GGD-85-36) | 5/14/85 |
| IRS and SSA Can Improve The Verification And Recording Of Data Provided By Self-Employed Taxpayers (GAO/GGD-85-21) | 5/28/85 |
| IRS Is Taking Action To Improve The Quality Of Its Small Corporation Audits (GAO/GGD-85-26) | 6/12/85 |
| IRS' Examination Selection System For Exempt Organizations' Unrelated Business Income (GAO/GGD-85-64) | 7/08/85 |
| 1984 Annual Report On Tax Matters (GAO/GGD-85-65) | 8/02/85 |
| Information On IRS Service Centers In Austin, Texas And Fresno, California (GAO/GGD-85-89) | 9/30/85 |
| Initial Results Of A Survey On Employee Stock Ownership Plans And Information On Related Economic Trends (GAO/PEMD-85-11) | 9/30/85 |
| Information On How The Property/Casualty Insurance Industry Is Taxed (GAO/GGD-86-16FS) | 10/16/85 |
| Information On Selected Tax Treaties And Information Agreements (GAO/ GGD-86-22FS) | 11/01/85 |
| A Change In Foreign-Owned U.S. Corporation Reporting Requirements (GAO/GGD-86-19) | 11/01/85 |
| Information On IRS' Criminal Investigation Division (GAO/GGD-86-23FS) | 11/08/85 |
| Information On IRS' Philadelphia Service Center (GAO/GGD-86-25FS) | 11/22/85 |
| Business Energy Investment Credit (GAO/GGD-86-21) | 12/06/85 |
| Information On Stock And Mutual Segments Of The Life Insurance Industry (GAO/GGD-86-31FS) | 12/12/85 |
| The Federal/State Tax Information Exchange Program (GAO/GGD-86-8) | 12/13/85 |

Testimonies Given on Tax Matters by GAO Officials Before Various Committees of the Congress During Calendar Year 1985

| GAO Official | Congressional Committee | Subject Matter | Date |
|---|---|--|----------|
| Johnny C. Finch Senior Associate Director General Government | Subcommittee on Oversight, House Committee on Ways and Means | Administration's Fiscal 1986 Budget Request for the internal Revenue Service | |
| Division | | | 4/29/85 |
| Johnny C. Finch Senior Associate Director Genera Government | Subcommittee on Commerce, Consumer and Monetary Affairs, House Committee on Government Operations | US Citizens Living in Foreign Countries and Not Filing Federal Income Taxes | |
| Division | | | 5/8/85 |
| William J. Anderson Director General Government | Subcommittee on Economic Stabilization, House Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs | Taxation of the Property/Casualty Insurance Industry | |
| Division | Oldan Analis | | 5/17/85 |
| Raiph V. Carlone Deputy Director Resources and | Subcommittee on Oversight, House Committee on Ways and Means | Use of Tax-Exempt Bonds in Financing Multifamily Rental Housing | |
| Economic Development Division | | | 6/21/85 |
| William J. Anderson Director General Government | House Ways and Means Committee | Taxation of the Property/Casualty Insurance Industry | |
| Division | | | 7/19/85 |
| William J. Anderson Director General Government | Senate Committee on Finance | Taxation of the Property/Casualty Insurance industry | |
| Division | | | 10/1/85 |
| William J. Anderson Director | Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations, Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs | Federal Regulatory Agency's Efforts to Assure Bank Secrecy Compliance | |
| General Government Division | | | 10/29/85 |
| Johnny C. Finch Senior Associate Director | Subcommittee on Oversight, House Committee on Ways and Means | IRS' Service Center Operations | |
| General Government | | | 12/16/85 |

Tax-Related Assignments Initiated by GAO Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 713 During Calendar Year 1985

| Subject Matter | Objectives | Month Started |
|---|---|---------------|
| Federal Excise Tax on Imported Merchandise | To determine the extent of compliance/noncompliance with the excise taxes on imports | March |
| | To identify and evaluate alternative ways to collect the taxes in a more timely and effective manner | |
| | To evaluate the feasibility of IRS obtaining information from other sources to promote compliance | |
| IRS' Efforts to Reduce Data Transcription Costs | To determine whether the systems being implemented to electronically read and transcribe paper information returns onto magnetic tape are the most cost beneficial | March |
| | To determine whether operational problems exist and the extent to which these problems have been resolved | |
| | To determine whether planning and testing, prior to servicewide implementation, have been adequate to prevent operational problems | |
| | To determine whether new systems have been effectively integrated with other related systems | |
| | To determine whether other possibilities exist where IRS could benefit from the use of recognition technology | |
| Safeguarding Tax Return Information | To identify all parties authorized access to tax data and the uses being made of that data | March |
| | To assess IRS' guidance on safeguarding tax information and how IRS monitors the effectiveness of tax security procedures implemented by those authorized access to tax data | |
| | To document the safeguard controls used by IRS and tax information recipients | |
| | To identify agencies' practices and procedures for dealing with allegations of possible misuse of tax return information | |
| Use of Bank Secrecy Act Data by Law Enforcement Agencies | To review IRS' procedures for processing Currency Transaction Reports | April |
| | To identify and analyze IRS' written procedures regarding compliance with the act for those financial institutions which IRS is responsible for examining | |
| | To review the training and gualifications of IRS' examiners | |

| Subject Matter | Objectives | Month Started |
|--|---|---------------|
| Identifying and Collecting Taxes from Overseas Taxpayers Using APO or FPO Addresses | To determine how IRS could best handle collection cases involving US taxpayers who use an APO or FPO address | June |
| Unreported Business Income Matching | To measure the extent to which small businesses are not reporting income, such as interest and dividends | July |
| | To determine the economic feasibility of matching income information returns against business income tax returns | |
| | To evaluate the need for tax policy changes to control underreporting when administrative approaches prove ineffective | |
| IRS' Integrated Data Retrieval System | To evaluate the system's effectiveness in meeting user needs | October |
| | To evaluate plans to expand the system | |
| | To evaluate the impact of these plans on service centers' computer resources | |
| Alien Nonfilers | To develop information on the extent of tax revenue losses resulting from nonfiling and income underreporting by resident and nonresident aliens in the United States | October |
| | To evaluate the effectiveness of IRS' efforts to identify and collect taxes due on income earned by such aliens | |
| | To evaluate the usefulness of information documents IRS receives from U.S. tax withholding agents concerning alien income | |
| | To assess the level and effectiveness of coordination among IRS, State Department, Immigration and Naturalization Service, and Social Security Administration concerning aliens in the U S | |

GAO Order Relating to Audit Assignments Involving Access to Tax Information



i

| Appendıx | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | TABLE OF CONTENTS aragraph Title "ABLE OF CONTENTS | Pa - |
|----------|---|--|---------|
| Appendıx | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | ABLE OF CONTENTS Purpose, Scope, and Applicability Supersession References Porms | _ |
| | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Purpose, Scope, and Applicability Supersession References Porms Definitions Delegation of Authority GAO's Access to Tax Information | |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Supersession | |
| | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Supersession | |
| | 4 5 7 8 9 | Forms Definitions Delegation of Authority GAO's Access to Tax Information Initiating Assignments Requiring Access | |
| | 5 6 7 8 9 | Definitions Delegation of Authority GAO's Access to Tax Information Initiating Assignments Requiring Access | |
| | 6 7 8 9 | Delegation of Authority GAO's Access to Tax Information Initiating Assignments Requiring Access | |
| | 7 8 9 | . GAO's Access to Tax Information | |
| | 8 9 | . Initiating Assignments Requiring Access | |
| | 9 | Interacting hastgundered kequiting heese | |
| | | to Tax Information | |
| | |). Designation of GAO Employees Having | |
| | 1 | Access to Tax Information | |
| | 1 | 0. Restrictions on Disclosing Tax | |
| | | Information | |
| | 1 | 1. Safeguarding Tax Information | |
| | 1 | 2. Background Investigations and Outside Employment |] |
| | 1 | 3. Acceptance of Specific Taxpavers' Names | |
| | | from Congress | 1 |
| | 1 | 4. Notification of Completion of Certain | |
| | | Assignments | 1 |
| | 1 | 5. Annual Report | 1 |
| APPENDIX | A | EFINITIONS OF 'RETURN," "RETURN INFORMATION," ND "TAXPAYER RETURN INFORMATION" LOCATED IN '6 U.S.C. 6103(b) | J |
| | | | - |
| APPENDIX | | AO'S ACCESS TO TAX INFORMATION THAT IRS CAN DISCLOSE TO OTHER AGENCIES UNDER 26 U.S.C. | |
| | | 103(1) AND (m) | 1 |
| | | | |
| APPENDIX | 3. N | OTIFICATION PROCEDURES | 2 |
| | | | |
| APPENDIX | 4. S | AMPLE JOINT COMMITTEE LETTER | - |
| APPENDIX | 5 0 | AMPLE GAO FORM 319, ACTION ROUTING SLIP | - |
| a curota | J. 3 | ABILIS ONO TOMI SES, AGILON NOTING SEL | |
| APPENDIX | 6. S | SAMPLE GGD FORM 8, RECORD OF RECEIPT | |
| | | ······ | |
| APPENDIX | 7. S | SAMPLES OF AGENCY HEAD LETTERS | |
| | | | |
| APPENDIX | 8. S | AMPLES OF LIAISON LETTERS | 2 |
| | _ | | |
| APPENDIX | 9. 8 | SAMPLE GGD FORM 4, TAX ADMINISTRATION DISCLOSURE | |

1

| 1 | 0135.1 | September | 24, 1985 |
|---|--------------|---|----------|
| | | | Page |
| | APPENDIX 10. | CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH GAO WILL ACCEPT FROM THE CONGRESS NAMES OF TAXPAYERS WHEN AUDITING IRS' ADMINISTRATION OF THE TAX LAWS | 39 |
| | APPENDIX 11. | SAMPLE FORMATS FOR ANNUAL REPORT | 41 |
| | APPENDIX 12. | AUTHORITY TO AUDIT IRS, 31 U.S.C. 713, AND ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES, 31 U.S.C. 719(d) | 47 |
| | APPENDIX 13. | GAO ACCESS TO TAX INFORMATION AUTHORIZED IN 26 U.S.C. 6103(1)(7) | 48 |
| | APPENDIX 14. | PROCEDURES AND RECORDKEEPING TO SAFEGUARD TAX INFORMATION IN 26 U.S.C. 6103(p)(1) THROUGH (p)(6) | 49 |

ł

| Operations Manual | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | 0135.1 |
| | | September 24, 198 |
| Subject: audit assignment: | S INVOLVING ACCESS TO TAX | INFORMATION |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| provide guidance for deter (GAO) may obtain access to | o tax information for a pa ws and regulations for pro | e of this order is to be General Accounting Offic articular assignment and to brecting the confidentialit |
| during audits of the Inter | tory authority to obtain a rnal Revenue Service (IRS) TF), and other federal, st | ; the Bureau of Alcohol, |
| | ies and procedures for ini quiring access to tax info | |
| c. states policies an disclosure of tax informat | nd procedures for precludi tion in GAO's custody, | ng the unauthorized |
| d. establishes secur: and disposition of tax int | ity standards governing th formation consistent with | |
| e. establishes record | dkeeping and reporting req | uirements, and |
| f, applies to all GAG | 0 organizational elements. | |
| mean the safeguarding of a | information so as to precl n in any form that identif taxpayer. Nothing in this , dissemination, release, | ies, either directly or order shall be construed handling, or transmission |
| 2. SUPERSESSION. This of Revision has been so exten changes. | rder supersedes GAO Order nsive that asterisks have | |
| 3. REFERENCES. | | |
| a. 26 U.S.C. 6103, 72 | 213, and 7431 | |
| b. 31 U.S.C. 713 and | 719 | |
| | | |

ī ł

ł.

- d. GAO Order 2752.1, Adverse Actions.
- e. GAO General Policy Manual, Chapter 6, Access to Records.

(. IRS Publication 1075, <u>Tax Information Security Guidelines</u>, (Rev. 7-83). Publication 1075 may be obtained from the General Government Division's (GGD), Issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration.

4. PORMS.

0135.1

- a. GGD Form 4, Tax Administration Disclosure Control Document
- b. GGD Form 8, Record of Receipt
- c. GAO Form 100A, Assignment Authorization/Continuation Sheet
- d. GAO Form 319, Action Routing Slip
- e. GAO Form 393, Routing and Control Record

5. DEFINITIONS.

a. The term 'tax information" means returns, return information, and taxpayer return information as defined in 26 U.S.C. 6103(b), including information provided to IRS by foreign governments under the exchange of information articles of tax treaties. (See appendix 1 for statutory definition of "return," "return information," and 'taxpayer return information.)

b. The term 'program division' refers to any headquarters division that initiates an assignment involving access to tax information.

6. <u>DELECATION OF AUTHORITY</u>. In accordance with 31 U.S.C. 713(b)(2), the Comptroller General designates in writing every 6 months the GAO employees who are to have access to tax information. Authority is hereby delegated to the Director, GGD, to make interim designations in writing, as necessary in connection with any assignment. The authority to make interim designations is redelegated to the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD.

7. GAO'S ACCESS TO TAX INFORMATION. Whether and how GAO has access to tax information on a given audit assignment is dictated by the source or initiator of the work being done. In this regard, audit assignments are divided into two broad categories (a) assignments initiated at the request of tax writing committees or other congressional committees with access authority and performed with GAO employees as their designated agents and (b) self-initiated assignments and request assignments not covered by (a). Furthermore, under either (a) or (b), GAO may have access to information provided to IRS by foreign governments under tax treaties, if those treaties specifically allow GAO such access. GAO's access authority under each category is subject to certain limitations and procedures that are set forth in the succeeding paragraphs. Refer questions concerning GAO's accessibility to tax information for a particular assignment to GCD's Issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration.

| September 24, 1985 | 0135.1 |
|--|---|
| a. <u>Self-Initiated Assignments and Requests from Member</u> from Committees Not Authorized Access to Tax Information. | r, of Congress and |
| (1) GAO has access to tax information for the purpand BATF. 26 U.S.C. $6103(1)(7)(A)$. | pose of auditing IRS |
| (2) GAO has access to tax information in the posse agencies, other than IRS and BATF, for the purpose of audit activities for which those agencies obtained the tax inform the Social Security Administration (SSA) collects and uses earnings and withheld taxes for the purpose of administerior programs. GAO has access to that tax information, but only auditing SSA's administration of those programs and only af notification procedures have been completed. 26 U.S.C. 610 | ting the programs or mation. For example, tax information on ng certain benefit y for the purpose of fter certain |
| (3) GAO has access to tax information that certain authorized to obtain even if those agencies have not exerci- These agencies must be authorized access to tax information 6103(1) or (m). GAO's access, however, is limited to audit or activities for which the agency is authorized access. If have access to the tax information which the Pension Benefit tion (PBGC) is authorized to obtain from IRS to administer insurance program. GAO has access to that tax information obtained the information from IRS. However, GAO cannot gai information unless its objective is to evaluate the termina gram and then only after certain notification procedures has That is, GAO would not have access for the purpose of deter could use the tax information to enhance its administration gram. 26 U.S.C. $6103(i)(7)(B)(i1)$. (See appendix 2 for fur regarding GAO's access authority under this paragraph.) | used that authority. n under 26 U.S.C. ts of those programs For example, GAO would nt Guaranty Corpora- its termination even if PBGC has not in access to that tax ation insurance pro- ave been completed. cmining whether PBGC n of any other pro- |
| (4) GAO has access to tax information in the custo and local agencies for the purpose of determining if the ag and safeguards meet statutory requirements and ensure the c information. 26 U.S.C. $6103(p)(6)(A)$. | gencies' procedures |
| b. <u>Assignments Undertaken as Agents of Congressional C</u> <u>Access to Tax Information</u> . GAO has access to tax informati agency or program when it is acting as a duly designated ag committeethe Joint Committee on Taxation (or that Committ the Senate Committee on Finance, or the House Committee on also has access to tax information when acting as a duly de other congressional committees authorized access to tax inf congressional resolution. 26 U.S.C. 6103(f)(4). | on for auditing any gent of a tax writing see's Chief of Staff), Ways and Means. GAO esignated agent for |
| c. Assignments Involving Access to Tax Treaty Informat to information provided to IRS by foreign governments under information articles of certain tax treaties for the purpos administration of the taxes covered by the treaty. Such as self-initiated or conducted as a duly designated agent of a access to tax treaty information. Refer questions concerni has access under a particular treaty to GGD's Issue Area Co Policy and Administration. | the exchange of e of auditing IRS' signments may be committee authorized ng whether or not GAO |
| 3 | |

| 0135.1 | September 24, 198 |
|--|----------------------|
| | |
| 8. INITIATING ASSIGNMENTS REQUIRING ACCESS TO TAX INFORMATIO | |
| ing notification procedures that must be carried out before (| |
| access to tax information. The procedures differ for (1) as: | |
| as duly designated agents of tax writing committees or other | |
| mittees authorized access to tax information by a congression | |
| (2) self-initiated assignments and request assignments not control | overed by (1). The |
| procedures also differ depending on the agencies involved in | the assignment. |
| The Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GG | D, is responsible |
| for coordinating the initiation of all assignments for which | access to tax |
| information is required. The notification letters required | |
| must be processed through the Associate Director or his/her (| designee. The gen- |
| eral policies and procedures pertaining to notification lette | ers follow. Before |
| preparing any written material, however, the initiating divi | sion discusses the |
| proposed assignment with the Associate Director for Tax Poli | cy and Admini- |
| stration. (See appendix 3 for detailed information on the o | otification |
| procedures.) | |
| a. Self-Initiated Assignments and Requests from Members | of Congress and |
| from Committees Not Authorized Access to Tax Information. | |
| | m |
| (1) Joint Committee Letter. The Joint Committee on | |
| notified by the Comptroller General of each such assignment a | |
| evaluate GAO's need for access to tax information. The join | |
| approve that access by a vote of two-thirds of its members w | ithin the 30-day |
| period. 26 U.S.C. 6103(i)(7)(c). | |
| (a) At least 90 days before access to tax info | rmation is required, |
| the program division prepares (1) a draft letter notifying t | |
| on Taxation of the assignment and (2) a tentative assignment | |
| Form 100A). Cite in the notification letter GAO's audit and | access authority, |
| describe the assignment's objective and scope, state GAO's n | eed for access to |
| tax information, and illustrate that need by briefly describ | ing how tax |
| information will be used in meeting the assignment objective | s. If the |
| assignment is of the nature described in paragraphs 7a(2) or | (3), also state in |
| the letter that in using tax information and in formulating | recommendations, GAO |
| will consider any potential impact on tax administration and | taxpayer |
| confidentiality. If the assignment is of the nature describ- | ed in paragraph |
| 7a(3), state in the letter that in evaluating its need for a | ccess to tax |
| information, GAO has considered the burdens that such access | would impose on |
| IRS. (A sample letter appears in appendix 4.) | |
| (b) The program division forwards the draft no | tification lottor |
| and tentative GAO Form 100A to GGD's Issue Area Coordinator | |
| | - |
| Administration. Within 2 weeks, the issue area coordinator : | |
| documents and notifies the program division of any suggested | |
| revised, if necessary, the notification letter, still in dra | |
| the issue area coordinator for delivery to the staff of the | |
| is our practice to send the draft letter to the committee static officially to the committee as as to take educated of the | |
| it officially to the committee so as to take advantage of the | |
| and to identify any aspects of our notification letter that : | |
| | |
| clarified. After the letter has been reviewed by the commit | |
| | n final form, to the |

1

1

T

T

1

0135.1

Form 100A and a GAO Form 319, Action Routing Slip. (A sample GAO Form 319 appears in appendix 5.) The associate director forwards the package to the Comptroller General for signature.

(c) After the notification letter is signed by the Comptroller General, the program division delivers it to the Chief of Staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation along with a GGD Form 8, Record of Receipt. This form is completed by the joint committee at the time of delivery. (A sample GGD Form 8 appears in appendix 6.) Once the letter has been delivered to the Chief of Staff and GGD Form 8 has been completed, copies are provided to the recipients identified in appendix 3.

(2) Agency Head Letter.

(a) After the Joint Committee on Taxation has approved GAO's access to tax information, usually by letting the 30-day period expire, a written notification of the assignment must be provided to the head of the federal agency that is to provide the tax information. The letter cites GAO's audit and access authority, the subject of the assignment, and the date the Joint Committee on Taxation was notified. It should also formally request access to the tax information and include, as enclosures, copies of the joint committee letter and the GGD form 8. (Sample letters appear in appendix 7.)

(b) The program division drafts the letter and forwards it for processing to GGD's Issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration within 15 days of the date of the joint committee letter. The issue area coordinator notifies the program division of any suggested changes. The program division then forwards the letter in final form, along with an action routing slip, to the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, who forwards it to the Comptroller General for signature. The signed letter is then returned to the associate director for dating. (The date is generally no earlier than 31 days after the date on the GGD Form 8.) The dated letter is given to the agency that is to provide the tax information. Copies are provided to the recipients identified in appendix 3.

(3) Liaison Letter. After the agency head has been notified of an assignment as discussed in paragraph 8a(2), agency liaison officials must be notified in writing of GAO's need to review tax information. The procedure discussed below applies only to assignments for which tax information is to be obtained from IRS or BATF. If the assignment involves access to tax information at an agency other than IRS or BATF, the program division is responsible for identifying and satisfying any liaison requirements.

(a) The program division should draft the liaison letter no later than 15 days after the date of the joint committee letter. The liaison letter states GAO's intent to initiate a study, analysis, or evaluation (rather than a survey or review); cites the job code, states GAO's need for access to tax information, lists the agency organizational units and/or locations involved, states the date that GAO plans to begin the assignment (which must be at least 2 weeks after the date of the letter to give IRS and BATF time to arrange for disclosing the information to GAO), and requests that the appropriate officials be notified of GAO's assignment plans. (A sample letter appears in appendix 8.)

Γ 0135.1 September 24, 1985 (b) The program division forwards the draft letter to GGD's Issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration for processing. The issue area coordinator notifies the program division of any suggested changes. The program division forwards the letter, in final form, to the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration for signature. The letter includes, as enclosures, copies of the joint committee letter, the GGD Form 8, and the agency head letter, and is dated one day after the date of the agency head letter. The signed letter and copies are delivered to the recipients identified in appendix 3. An additional letter is needed each time a new location is included in an assignment. b. Assignments Conducted as Duly Designated Agents of Committees Authorized Access to Tax Information. For these assignments only one letter is required, a letter to the agency from which tax information is to be obtained. (1) As soon as a request letter is received, the program division must ł prepare a letter notifying agency officials of the assignment and the need for access to tax information. The letter cites the job code and the anticipated work sites and includes the request letter as an enclosure. Letters to IRS or BATF should refer to the assignment as a study, analysis, or evaluation (rather than a survey or review); state the date that GAO plans to begin the assignment (which must be at least 2 weeks after the date of the letter); and request that appropriate officials be notified of GAO's assignment plans. (A sample letter appears in appendix 8.) (2) The program division forwards the draft letter to GGD's Issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration. The issue area coordinator notifies the program division of any suggested changes. (3) If the letter is addressed to IRS or BATF, the program division forwards the letter in final form to GGD's Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration for signature. Otherwise, the program division is responsible for getting the letter signed. The signed letter and copies are delivered to the recipients identified in appendix 3. 9. DESIGNATION OF GAO EMPLOYEES HAVING ACCESS TO TAX INFORMATION. GAO employees are not entitled to possession of, knowledge of, or access to tax information solely by virtue of the office or position held. Rather, access to tax information within GAO is limited to those GAO employees who need to obtain and/or review such information in conjunction with an assignment described under paragraph 7 and have been designated in writing by the Comptroller General or his designee as having access to such information. These written designations are made before the start of assignments and at certain specified intervals and are not effective until they have been distributed to the appropriate congressional committees and agencies. The designations must include any GAO employee who will need access to the tax information, including administrative staff, attorneys, and other office personnel. In this regard, consultants can be included on designation lists and thus authorized access to tax information only if they are classified as special government employees under title 5 of the U.S. Code; they can not be included if they are classified as independent contractors. GAO's policies and procedures for preparing and distributing written designations follow.

Page 50

0135.1

a. <u>Self-Initiated Assignments and Requests from Non-Ta</u> <u>Writing Committees</u> and <u>Members of Congress</u>.

(1) The Comptroller General, at six-month intervals, designates in writing each GAO employee who is to have access to tax information. These designations are updated monthly by the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, pursuant to the delegation of authority made under paragraph 6.

(2) The associate director delivers certified copies of the semi-annual lists and monthly updates to (a) the Joint Committee on Taxation, (b) the Senate Committee on Finance, (c) the House Committee on Ways and Means, (d) the Senate Committee on Covernmental Affairs, (e) the House Committee on Government Operations, (f) IRS, (g) BATF, and (h) the program divisions responsible for assignments that require access to tax information.

(3) Before the initiation of assignments described under paragraphs 7a(2) and 7a(4), the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, provides the program divisions with certified copies of lists of those GAO employees from the above lists who are to have access to tax information in the agency's possession. The program divisions are responsible for delivering copies of the lists to the appropriate agencies. The associate director prepares updated listings for these agencies when staffing changes occur.

b. Assignments Conducted as Agents of the Joint Committee on Taxation.

(1) The Comptroller General, at six-month intervals, designates in writing each GAO employee who is authorized access to tax information as an agent for that committee. The Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, updates the designations monthly.

(2) The associate director delivers certified copies of the semi-annual and monthly lists to the Joint Committee on Taxation, IRS, and the program divisions responsible for assignments that require access to tax information.

(3) For an assignment involving an agency other than IRS, the associate director, before initiation of the assignment, provides the responsible program division with a certified copy of a list of GAO employees who are to have access to tax information for the assignment. The program division delivers the list to the agency. The associate director prepares updated listings when staffing changes occur.

c. Assignments Conducted as Agents of the Senate Committee on Finance or the House Committee on Ways and Means.

(1) The Comptroller General, prior to initiation of the assignment, designates in writing each GAO employee who is authorized to have access to tax information. These designations are updated by the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, as staffing changes occur.

0135.1

(2) The associate director delivers certified copies of the initial and updated lists to (a) the committee for which GAO is acting as an agent, (b) IRS or BATF, if appropriate, and (c) the program division responsible for the assignment. The program division delivers copies of the lists to agencies other than IRS and BATF who will provide tax information as part of the assignment.

d. Program Division Responsibilities.

(1) For self-initiated assignments and assignments undertaken as agents of the Joint Committee on Taxation, program division directors must advise GGD's Issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration, by the 15th of each month, of the employees who are to be added to or deleted from the prior month's designation list. For assignments undertaken as an agent of the House Committee on Ways and Means or the Senate Committee on Finance, program division directors must advise the issue area coordinator, as soon as possible, of changes that are needed to the designation list. For all assignments, directors should provide the full name and title of the employee, the assignment code, and, if applicable, the name of the committee for which the employee is to act as an agent. This information is needed for all headquarters, region, and staff office professional and administrative employees who are to be assigned to or released from assignments requiring access to tax information.

 $(2)\,$ Program divisions are responsible for assuring delivery of certified copies of the lists to agencies, other than IRS and BATF, that are to provide tax information.

(3) Program divisions are responsible also for assuring that tax information is obtained and/or reviewed by only those employees who have been designated by the Comptroller General or his designee as having access to such information.

10. **<u>RESTRICTIONS ON DISCLOSING TAX INFORMATION</u>**. The confidentiality of tax information is closely protected by U.S. statutes. Unless specifically authorized, government employees, including those of GAO, are expressly prohibited from disclosing tax information. There are severe criminal and civil penalties for making unauthorized disclosures. GAO employees are responsible for protecting the confidentiality of tax information and for preventing unauthorized disclosures.

a. <u>Authorized Disclosures</u>. GAO employees are expressly prohibited by statute from disclosing tax information in a form that can be associated with, or otherwise identify, either directly or indirectly, a particular taxpayer except as provided below. 26 U.S.C. 6103(i)(7)(A), 31 U.S.C. 713(b)(3).

(1) Within GAO, tax information may only be disclosed to employees who (a) by virtue of their involvement in an assignment, have a need to examine such information and (b) have been designated as having access to the information under the procedures set forth under paragraph 9.

(2) Outside of GAO, tax information may be disclosed only to the Joint Committee on Taxation, the Senate Committee on Finance, or the House Committee on Ways and Means, but only when GAO is acting as a duly designated agent of one of those committees and when the committee for which GAO is an agent is sitting

| | September 24, 1985 | 0135.1 |
|---|--|---|
| | in closed executive session. The program divisions must for, or potential disclosures of, tax information with t for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD. | |
| | b. Penalties For Unauthorized Disclosures. | |
| | (1) Disciplinary action, including reprimand an taken against employees who make an unauthorized disclos (See GAO Order 2752.1, Adverse Actions.) | |
| | (2) GAO employees who willfully make an unauthor subject to criminal penalties. An unauthorized disclosurable upon conviction by a fine of up to \$5,000 and/or a than 5 years. Upon conviction, the employee will be dis ment. 26 U.S.C 7213(a)(1), 18 U.S.C. 1905. | ire is a felony, punish- jail term of not more |
| | (3) Civil penalties are also provided for unaut tax information. The taxpayer involved can initiate a 1 damages against the United States. 26 U.S.C. 7431. | |
| | 11. SAFEGUARDING TAX INFORMATION. To protect the confid mation and to prevent its unauthorized disclosure, GAO & procedures that have been approved by the Secretary of t Secretary may refuse GAO further access to tax informati- are not fully observed by GAO employees. Also, the Secre report any safeguard deficiencies to the appropriate con- GAO employees with access to tax information are respon- the following safeguard procedures. | has developed safeguard the Treasury. The ion if these procedures retary is required to hgressional committees. |
| ł | a. <u>Disclosure Accounting</u> . GAO is required to maint of records to account for all disclosures of tax informa 26 U.S.C. 6103(p)(4)(A), 26 U.S.C. 6103(p)(6)(B)(i). | |
| | (1) <u>Tax Information Disclosed to GAO</u> . IRS, BAD agencies that disclose tax information to GAO are respon when such a disclosure has occurred and for documenting relies on such determinations and recordings as the basi system. Program divisions are responsible for ensuring obtain and record this information in accordance with th below. | nsible for determining each disclosure. GAO is for its recordkeeping that their employees |
| | (a) GAO staff at the location where tax in arranges with appropriate agency officials to obtain, or of each agency record of disclosure to GAO. Agency pers for preparing these records. Generally, IRS personnel i on IRS Forms 5466 and 5466A. Other agencies may have di forms. GAO staff members are responsible for identifying | n a daily basis, a copy sonnel are responsible record disclosures to GAO Ifferent disclosure |
| | (b) The copies of the agency's disclosure are used by the GAO staff for daily posting to GGD Form Disclosure Control Document. A separate form must be ke location for each job code. (A sample GGD form 4 appear | 4, Tax Administration ept by each GAO work |
| | | |
| | | |
| | 9 | |

| | 0135.1 September 24, 1985 | _ |
|---|--|---|
| | (c) On the last day of every month, the GAO staff at each work location must forward a copy of the GGD Form 4 showing the month's postings to the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD. If no disclo- sures were made during the month, a GGD Form 4 must be forwarded reflecting that fact. If the agency disclosure officer at a particular location requests a copy of the month's postings, it should be provided. | |
| | (d) The GAO staff at each work location maintains the original GGD Form 4s and copies of the agency's disclosure forms or other supporting records in a separate folder at each work location until the audit work is completed. At that time, the folder is sent to the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD. | |
| | (2) <u>Tax Information Disclosed by GAO</u> . As discussed under paragraph 10a(2), any requests for access to tax information made to GAO must be referred to the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, who is responsible for responding to and accounting for such requests. | |
| | b. <u>Controlling Access to Tax Information</u> . GAO employees are responsible for controlling access to tax information in their possession. Program division directors are responsible for ensuring that all GAO employees on assignments within their areas of responsibility are familiar with the appropriate agency standards regarding the safeguarding of tax information and the policies and procedures set forth in this order. Any employee who has knowledge of the loss or possible compromise of any tax information must promptly report the circum- stances to the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, who will take appropriate action. | |
| | c. <u>Physical Control Over Tax Information</u> . GAO employees are responsible for maintaining physical control over the tax information in their possession. The tax information must be controlled in a manner that is consistent with the security standards set forth in IRS' Publication 1075, <u>Tax Information Security Guidelines</u> , any additional standards established by the agency that provided the information to GAO, and the policies and procedures set forth in this order. Program division directors are responsible for ensuring that all GAO employees on assignments within their areas of responsibility are familiar with all appropriate physical security standards. For example | |
| | (1) All workpapers and workpaper bundles containing tax information must be marked 'access limited to GAO personnel designated for this assignment.' | |
| Ì | (2) Computer files containing tax return information must be protected against disclosure to unauthorized personnel when being processed at non-IRS computer facilities. The following safeguards must be adhered to: | |
| | (a) All magnetic media, files, reports, and related items must remain under the direct control of an authorized GAO employee before, during, and after processing. | |
| | (b) Tax information must not be left in the computer memory at the end of processing. While tax data is resident in memory, access must be limited to authorized applications. | |
| | 10 | ļ |
| | | |

| | September 24, 1985 | 0135.1 |
|---|--|--|
| | (c) All undesired computer listings and reports must be p disposed of by a GAO employee who has been authorized access to tax inf | |
| 1 | (3) Tax information, working papers, and magnetic media (such puter tapes and electronic word processing disks) containing tax inform must be stored in authorized metal cabinets with locks maintained in seareas under the control of employees who have been authorized access to information. 26 U.S.C. $6103(p)(4)(B)$. | nation Scure |
| | (4) Tax information must not be discussed over telephone lines not secure and must be transmitted electronically in accordance with G/ prescribed controls. | |
| | (5) When tax information, working papers, and magnetic media of tax information cannot be hand-carried, they must be sent by registered with a return receipt to be signed by an employee authorized access to information. Tax information sent in an envelope must be double sealed inside envelope marked 'to be opened by addressee only." Shipments of information must be documented and monitored to ensure that they are pr received. A GAO Form 393, Routing and Control Record, must be completed duplicate. The original remains with the sender, the copy accompanies mailing. | I mail tax Land the tax comptly ed in |
| | (6) GAO will not retain custody of original returns after an a is completed, except by special arrangement made with the Commissioner Internal Revenue or the Commissioner's designee. GAO will return origi returns to IRS. | of |
| 1 | (7) When copies of returns and working papers containing tax is tion are no longer needed, they should be transferred to the Federal Recenter. Because special procedures apply to the transfer of tax inform the program division should contact GGD's Issue Area Coordinator for Ta and Administration prior to transferring the records. If the program division should contact for Ta years after the assign terminated, the information must be destroyed in accordance with IRS' Tation Security Guidelines, under the supervision of a GAO employee des as having access to tax information. In accordance with IRS' guideline tax information on magnetic media (e.g., computer tapes and electronic processing disks) is no longer needed, it must be erased and the tape ereleased for other use or destroyed. 26 U.S.C. $6103(p)(4)(F)$. | cords mation, x Policy ivision nment was <u>ax Infor-</u> ignated s, when word |
| | d. <u>Periodic Inspections of Safeguard Procedures and Annual Safegua</u> Activity Report. | rd |
| | (1) 26 U.S.C. 6103(p)(4) provides, in effect, that if IRS find procedures for safeguarding tax information to be inadequate, it can redisclose tax information to GAO until the inadequates have been correct (2) In that regard, GCD's Associate Director for Tax Policy an stration is responsible for assuring that periodic inspections of safeg procedures are made of GAO divisions and offices and maintaining a record each inspection in accordance with IRS' <u>Tax Information Security Guidel</u> The Office of Security and Safety is responsible for making these inspections | fuse to ted. d Admini- uard rd of lnes. |
| | 11 | |

0135.1

and reporting the results to the head of the division or office involved and to the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD. The inspection records are available for IRS' review upon request.

(3) The Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, is responsible for summarizing the inspection results for inclusion in the annual Safeguard Activity Report to IRS as required by 26 H.S.C. 6103(p)(4)(E). That report is also to include (a) information on significant changes in safeguard procedures or authorized access to tax information during the year and any changes or enhancements to physical and computer security measures used to safeguard tax information and (b) the identity of tax information disposed of during the year and the date and manner of destruction. By December 31 of each year, program divisions should forward any information for inclusion in the annual report.

e. <u>General</u>.

(1) The Comptroller General and all GAO employees will cooperate with the Commissioner of Internal Revenue and the heads of other federal agencies in implementing any additional controls or safeguards deemed necessary by the Secretary of the Treasury to safeguard the confidentiality of tax information in GAO's possession. 26 U.S.C. 6103(p)(4)(D).

(2) Program division directors refer any additional safeguard procedures recommended by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue or the heads of other federal agencies for use within GAO to the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, for review and approval.

12. BACKGROUND INVESTIGATIONS AND OUTSIDE EMPLOYMENT. To be consistent with IRS' requirements for its own internal auditors, it is GAO's policy that any employee having access to tax information be subject to the favorable completion of a background investigation. It is GAO's policy also that employees assigned to jobs involving access to tax information not engage in outside employment involving the preparation of tax returns.

13. ACCEPTANCE OF SPECIFIC TAXPAYERS' NAMES FROM CONCRESS. In accordance with GAO's policy, GAO audits of IRS' administration of the tax laws is normally based on a random sampling from appropriate universes of tax information rather than on a review of information for preselected taxpayers. The circumstances and procedures under which GAO will accept from the Congress names of specific taxpayers are set forth in appendix 10.

14. **NOTIFICATION OF COMPLETION OF CERTAIN ASSIGNMENTS.** When GAO completes an assignment of the nature described in paragraphs 7a(2) or (3), the Joint Committee on Taxation must be notified within 90 days. 26 U.S.C. 6103(i)(7)(B)(iii). In that regard, the program division, within 30 days after completion of an assignment, prepares a letter to describe (a) the federal agency's use of the tax information, (b) GAO's recommendations with respect to the federal agency's use of tax information, and (c) the impact of GAO's recommendations on the confidentiality of tax information and on the administration of the tax laws. The division forwards the notification letter, in final form with an action routing slip, through the Associate Director for Tax Policy and

| trolle of Sta | stration, GGD, to the Comptroller General for signatule. Ar General signs the letter, the program division deliver of the Joint Committee on Taxation and provides a cop For for Tax Policy and Administration. | rs it to the Chief |
|--|--|--|
| Senate Ways a writte agenci proced The pr for Ta report | INUAL REPORT. The Comptroller General is required by law committees on Finance and Governmental Affairs, the Hou ind Means and Government Operations, and the Joint Commit is annual report on GAO assignments involving IRS, BATF, les for which it had access to tax information and the po- lures established for protecting the confidentiality of t rogram divisions are responsible for providing GGD's Issue ix Policy and Administration with information to be inclu- t. The Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administrat isible for preparing the annual report. 31 U.S.C. 719(d) | use Committees on the on Taxation, a and other federal plicies and tax information. The Area Coordinato uded in the tion, GGD, is |
| a. | Contents of Report. The annual report includes inform | mation on |
| | (1) open recommendations to the Congress, | |
| | (2) legislative action taken during the year on recom | umendations, |
| the ad | (3) recommendations made to the heads of federal ager lministration of taxes and/or the use of tax information, | |
| | (4) reports on tax matters issued during the year, | |
| | (5) testimony on tax matters given by GAO officials d | luring the year, |
| inform | (6) scope and subject matter of assignments requiring mation initiated during the year, and | g access to tax |
| of tax | (7) GAO's policies and procedures for safeguarding the cinformation. | ne confidentiality |
| inform respon each y sample is res | <u>Responsibilities</u> . Program division directors are resp g GD's Issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Adminis mation listed above for reports and assignments within the asibility. The information should be provided no later to rear and should apply to the prior calendar year. (See a e formats.) The Associate Director for Tax Policy and Ad sponsible for preparing and processing the annual report e Director, GGD, as soon as possible after the close of e | stration with the meir areas of than January 15 of uppendix 11 for ministration, GGD for the signature |
| Ways a Commit Affair | Report Distribution. The report is submitted to the F ind Means, Senate Committee on Finance, Joint Committee of tee on Government Operations, and Senate Committee on Go 's. Copies of the report are sent to the heads of the fe ised in it. | on Taxation, House overnmental |

ł

0135.1 September 24, 1985 Appendix 1 APPENDIX 1. DEFINITIONS OF "RETURN," "RETURN INFORMATION," AND "TAXPAYER RETURN INFORMATION" LOCATED IN 26 U.S.C. 6103(b) 1. RETURN. The term "return" means any tax or information return, declaration of estimated tax, or claim for refund required by, or provided for or permitted under, the provisions of this title which is filed with the Secretary by, on behalf of, or with respect to any person, and any amendment or supplement thereto, including supporting schedules, attachments, or lists which are supplemental to, or part of, the return so filed. 2. RETURN INFORMATION. The term "return information" means a. a taxpayer's identity, the nature, source, or amount of his income, payments, receipts, deductions, exemptions, credits, assets, liabilities, net worth, tax liability, tax withheld, deficiencies, overassessments, or tax payments, whether the taxpayer's return was, is being, or will be examined or subject to other investigation or processing, or any other data, received by, recorded by, prepared by, furnished to, or collected by the Secretary with respect to a return or with respect to the determination of the existence, or possible existence, of liability (or the amount thereof) of any person under this title for any tax, penalty, interest, fine, forfeiture, or other imposition, or offense, and b. any part of any written determination or any background file document relating to such written determination (as such terms are defined in section 6110(b)) which is not open to public inspection under section 6110. But such term does not include data in a form which cannot be associated with, or otherwise identify, directly or indirectly, a particular taxpayer. Nothing in the preceding sentence, or in any other provision of law, shall be construed to require the disclosure of standards used or to be used for the selection of returns for examination, or data used or to be used for determining such standards, if the Secretary determines that such disclosure will seriously impair assessment, collection, or enforcement under the internal revenue laws. 3. TAXPAYER RETURN INFORMATION. The term "taxpayer return information" means return information as defined in paragraph (2) which is filed with, or furnished to, the Secretary by or on behalf of the taxpayer to whom such return information relates.

0135.1 Appendix 2

September 24, 1985

APPENDIX 2. GAO'S ACCESS TO TAX INFORMATION THAT IRS CAN DISCLOSE TO OTHER AGENCIES UNDER 26 U.S.C. 6103(1) AND (m)

Under certain circumstances, GAO may have access to tax information that a federal agency does not have in its files, but that it could have obtained for non-tax administration purposes. This GAO access authority is limited to (1) audits of the programs and activities for which agencies are authorized access under 26 U.S.C. 6103(1) and (m), a copy of which can be found at the end of this appendix, and (2) the types of tax information that may be disclosed under those sections. Also, before requesting access, GAO must take into account the burden that such access might impose on the Internal Revenue Service.

Some of the programs and activities to which this access authority applies and the kinds of tax information to which GAO may have access are summarized below. The list is not all-inclusive. Because the statutes governing this area are complex, determinations as to whether or not GAO has access to tax information have to be made on a case-by-case basis. These determinations are to be made in consultation with the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, and the Office of General Counsel.

| AGENCY | PROGRAM OR ACTIVITY | TYPE OF TAX Information |
|--|---|--|
| Social Security Administration | Administration of social security benefits | Tax returns and return information with respect to (1) self-employ- ment income, (2) FICA taxes, and (3) income taxes withheld from wages, interest, and dividends |
| | Administration of vested benefits under employce pension plan | Statements, notifications, reports, or other information related to pension plans |
| | Administration of the combined annual wage reporting program | Information returns |
| Railroad Retire- ment Board | Administration of the Railroad Refirement Act | Tax returns and return information with respect to railroad retire- ment taxes |
| Department of Labor and the Pension Benefit | Administration of the employee benefit program | Tax returns and return information |
| Guaranty Corporation | Administration of the termination insurance program | Tax returns and return information |
| Federal agencies which make, guarantee, or insure loans | Administration of fed- eral loan programs | Information on whether or not a loan applicant has a delinquent tax account |

| 0135.1 Appendix 2 | | September 24, 1 |
|---|---|---|
| AGENCY | PROGRAM OR ACTIVITY | TYPE OF TAX Information |
| Federal, state, and local child support enforcement agencies | Establishment and col- lection of child sup- port obligations | Information from returns related to income and dependents |
| Federal, state, and local agencies that administer the Food Stamp | Determination of eligi- bility for, or the cor- rect amount of, benefits under the Food Stamp Program | Information from returns with respect to wages, retirement and self-employment income, and |
| Program | | Information from returns with respect to unearned income |
| Federal, state, and local agencies which administer the Aid to Families | Determination of eligi- bility for, or the correct amount of, benefits under the Aid to Families with | Information from returns with respect to wages, retirement and self-employment income, and |
| with Dependent Children Program | Dependent Children Program | Information from returns with respect to unearned income |
| Federal, state, and local agencies that administer the Medicaid | Determination of eligi- bility for, or the correct amount of, benefits under the Medicaid Program | Information from returns with respect to wages, retirement and self-employment income, and |
| Program | - | Information from returns with respect to unearned income |
| Federal, state and local agencies that administer the | Determination of eligi~ bility for, or the cor- rect amount of, benefits under the Supplemental | Information from returns with respect to wages, retirement and self-employment income, an |
| Supplemental Security Income Program | Security Income Program | Information from returns with respect to unearned income |
| Federal, state, and local agencies that administer assistance | Determination of eligi- bility for, or the cor- rect amount of, benefits under assistance pro- grams in the territories | Information from returns with respect to wages, retirement and self-employment income, and |
| programs in the territories | | Information from returns with respect to unearned income |

| | PROGRAM OR | туре ор тах |
|--|--|--|
| AGENCY | ACTIVITY | INFORMATION |
| Federal, state, and local agencies that administer the unemployment compensation benefit program | Determination of eligi- bility for, or the cor- rect amount of, bene- fits under the unemplov- ment compensation bene- fit program | Information from returns with respect to wages, retirement and self-employment income and Information from returns with respect to unearned income |
| Federal agencies that collect or compromise federal claims | Location of individuals to collect or compro- mise federal claims | Taxpayer's mailing address |
| Department of Education | Collection of deliaquent student loans | Taxpayer's mailing address |
| Federal agencies that request IRS offset of debts | Establishment of appro- priate agency records or defense of litiga- tion or administrative procedure ensuing from federal debt reduction. | Certain return information related to offset of federal debt |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

ŧ

| 1 | 0135.1 September 24, 1985 Appendix 2 |
|---|---|
| | 26 U.S.C. 6103(1) and (m) |
| | (1) Disclosure of Returns and Return Information for Purposes Other Than Tax Ad- ministration — |
| I | (1) Disclosure of certain returns and return information to Social Security Administration and Railroad Retirement Board.—The Secretary may, upon written request, disclose returns and return information with respect to— (A) taxes imposed by chapters 2, 21, and 24, to the Social Security Administration for purposes of its administration of the Social Security Act, (B) a plan to which part I of subchapter D of chapter I applies, to the Social Security Administration for purposes of carryi g out its responsibility under section 1131 of the Social Security Act, limited, however to return information described in section 6057(d), and |
| | (C) taxes imposed by chapter 22, to the Railroad Retirement Board for pur- poses of its administration of the Railroad Retirement Act |
| | (2) Disclosure of returns and return information to the Department of Labor and Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.—The Secretary may, upon written request, furnish returns and return information to the proper officers and employees of the De- partment of Labor and the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation for purposes of, but only to the extent necessary in, the administration of titles I and IV of the Employee Returement Income Security Act of 1974 |
| 1 | (3) Disclosure that applicant for federal loan has tax delinquent account — (A) In general — Upon written request, the Secretary may disclose to the head of the Federal agency administering any included Federal loan program whether or not an applicant for a loan under such program has a tax delinquent account (B) Restriction on disclosure — Any disclosure under subparagraph (A) shall be made only for the purpose of, and to the extent necessary in, determining the creditworthiness of the applicant for the loan in question (C) Included federal loan program defined — For purposes of this paragraph, the term "included Federal loan program means any program— (a) under which the United States or a Federal agency makes, guarantees, or insures loans, and (b) with respect to which there is in effect a determination by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (which has been published in the Federal Register) that the application of this paragraph to such program will substantially prevent or reduce future delinquences under such program |
| 1 | (4) Disclosure of returns and return information for use in personnel or claimant representative matters — The Secretary may disclose returns and return information — (A) upon written request— (b) to an employee or former employee of the Department of the Treasury, or to the duly authorized legal representative of such employee or former employee, who is or may be a party to any administrative action or proceeding affecting the personnel rights of such employee or former employee, or (a) to any person, or to the duly authorized legal representative action or proceeding affecting the personnel rights of such employee or former employee, or (b) to any person, or to the duly authorized legal representative of such person, whose nghts are or may be affected by an administrative action or proceeding under section 330 of title 31, United States Code solely for use in the action or proceeding or in preparation for the action or proceeding, but only to the extent that the Secretary determines that such returns or return information is or may be relevant and material to the action or proceeding, or (B) to others and employees of the Department of the Treasury for use in any action or proceeding, to the extent necessary to advance or protect the interests of the United States |
| ſ | 18 |

| September | 24, 1985 | 0135.1 Appendix 2 |
|-----------|---|--|
| | (5) Department of Health and Human Services, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, the Secturns filed pursuant to part III of subchapter A purpose of carrying out, in accordance with an section 232 of the Social Security Act, an effective | cretary may disclose information re- of chapter 61 of this subtitle for the agreement entered into pursuant to |
| | (6) Disclosure of return information to Federation agencies — (A) Return information from Internal R upon written request, disclose to the approprint of the social security account of the social security account involved has more than one such and nature of income, and the number of filed by, or with respect to, any individual obligations are sought to be established of part D of title IV of the Social Security used to whom such support obligations are sought to support obligations are sought to be established of part D of title IV of the Social Security used to whom such support obligations are sough individual described in class undividual's gross income (as defined in sea and addresses of payors of such income available from any other source. (B) Restriction on disclosure —The Section of the social security in the source in the security of the social security in the source in the security of the social security and addresses of payors of such income available from any other source. | evenue Service — The Secretary may, late Federal State, or local child sup- le master files of the Internal Revenue unt number (or numbers, if the indi- imber), address, filing status, amounts if dependents reported on any return i with respect to whom child support renforced pursuant to the provisions Act and with respect to any individ- owing, and ed on any return filed by, or with re- se (i) relating to the amount of such exciton 61) or consisting of the names and the names of any dependents re- return information is not reasonably retary shall disclose return information |
| | under subparagraph (A) only for purposes on lishing and collecting child support obligations | if, and to the extent necessary in, estab- |
| | (7) Disclosure of return information to fedd tering certain programs under the Social Sec 1977.— (A) Return information from social security of Social Security shall upon written request d with respect to net earnings from self-employm (as defined in section 3121(a) or 3401(a)), and have been disclosed to the Social Security Ad. (1) or (5) of this subsection to any Federal, program listed in subparagraph (D) | urity Act or the Food Stamp Act of ty administration —The Commissioner isclose return information from returns nent (as defined in section 1402) wages payments of retirement income, which ministration as provided by paragraph State, or local agency administering a |
| | (B) Return information from Internal H upon written request, disclose current return to unearned income from the Internal Reve or local agency administering a program list (C) Restriction on disclosure —The Con Secretary shall disclose return information for purposes of, and to the extent necessar correct amount of benefits under a program (D) Programs to which rule applies —The con- tent of the steady of the steady of the steady of the steady and the steady of the stead | a information from returns with respect nuc Service files to any Federal. State, ed in subparagraph (D) minissioner of Social Security and the under subparagraphs (A) and (B) only y in determining englishity for, or the listed in subparagraph (D) |
| | applies are | |
| | 10 | |
| | 19 | |

| 013 | 5.1 | September 24, 198 |
|---------|--|--|
| Appendi | x 2 | |
| | (i) aid to families with dependent children provided ur proved under part A of ittle IV of the Social Security Act, (ii) medical assistance provided under a State plan appr of the Social Security Act, (iii) supplemental security income benefits provided un Social Security Act, and federally administered supplement type described in section 1616(a) of such Act (including p an agreement entered into under section 212(a) of Public L. | roved under title XIX nder title XVI of the itary payments of the payments pursuant to |
| | (iv) any benefits provided under a State plan approv XIV. or XVI of the Social Security Act (as those titles a Guam, and the Virgin Islands), (iv) unemployment compensation provided under a St | ved under title I, X, apply to Puerto Rico. |
| | section 3304 of this Code, (vi) assistance provided under the Food Stamp Act of 197' (vii) State-administered supplementary payments of the section 1616(a) of the Social Security Act (including payr | the type described in ments pursuant to an |
| | agreement entered into under section 212(a) of Public Law | 93-66) |
| | (8) Disclosure of certain return information by social set state and local child support enforcement agencies — (A) In general —Upon written request, the Commissi shall disclose directly to officers and employees of a State enforcement agency return information from returns with i account numbers, net earnings from self-employment (as of wages (as defined in section 3121(a) or 3401(a)), and pay come which have been disclosed to the Social Security Ad | stoner of Social Security te or local child support respect to social security defined in section 1402), yments of retirement in- |
| | by paragraph (1) or (5) of this subsection | annistration as provided |
| | (B) Restriction on disclosure — The Commissioner of close return information under subparagraph (A) only for extent necessary in, establishing and collecting child support locating, individuals owing such obligations. For purpositence, the term "child support obligations" only includes a ing enforced pursuant to a plan described in section 454 of which has been approved by the Secretary of Health and part D of utle IV of such Act | r purposes of, and to the out obligations from, and ses of the preceding sen- obligations which are be- of the Social Security Act |
| | (C) State or local child support enforcement agency — agraph, the term "State or local child support enforcem agency of a State or political subdivision thereof operating scribed in subparagraph (b) | nent agency" means any |
| | (9) Disclosure of alcohol fuel producers to administrators Norwithstanding any other provision of this section, the Secre (A) the name and address of any person who is qual for fuel use under section 5181, and | stary may disclose- |
| | (B) the location of any premises to be used by such p hol for fuel. to any State agency, body, or commission, or its legal represe under the laws of such State with responsibility for adminis- | entative, which is charged |
| | laws solely for use in the administration of such laws | STATION OF STATE ALCOHOL |
| | (10) Disclosure of certain information to agencies reque Section 6402(c) or 6402(d) — (A) Return information from Internal Revenue Service | |
| | (v) Execution involution from internal Revenue Service in order of the service service in the section of the section of the section of the section of the section with respect to any person. | employees of an agency |
| | (n) the amount of such reduction, and | |
| | (iii) taxpayer identifying information of the person a was made or not made | against whom a reduction |



| 0135.1 September Appendix 2 | 24, | 1985 | |
|---|-----|------|--|
| (4) Individuals who have defaulted on student loans — (A) In general —Upon written request by the Secretary of Education, the Secretary may disclose the mating address of any taxpayer who has defaulted on a loan— (i) made under part B or E of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 or (n) made pursuant to section 3(a)(1) of the Migration and Refugee Assistance Act of 1962 to a student at an institution of higher education for use only by officers employees, or agents of the Department of Education for purposes of locating such taxpayer for purposes of collecting such loan (B) Disclosure to educational institutions, etc — Any mailing address disclosed under subparagraph (A)(i) may be disclosed by the Secretary of Education to— (i) any elevational institution with which the Secretary of Education has an agreement under part E of title IV of such Act for use only by officers, employees or agents of such lender, guarantee agency, or institution whose duties relate to the collection of student loans for purposes of locating individuals who have defaulted on student loans made under such loan programs for purposes of collecting such loan programs for purposes of locating individuals who have defaulted on student loans made under such loan programs for purposes of collecting such loans | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | | | Appendix 3 |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | APPENDIX 3. | NOTIFICATION PROCE | EDURE S |
| REQUIRED NOT IF ICATION | ADDRESSEE | SIGNER | PROCEDURES |
| | ments and requests other mittees authorized access to | | |
| 1. Joint committee letter. | Chairman, Joint Committee on Taxation- | Comptroller General+ | Program division prepares draft letter and tentative GAO Form 100A and sends it to G60's issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration. |
| | | | issue Area Coordinator Informally discusses letter with joint committee and notifies program division of suggested changes. |
| | | | Program division finalizes letter and forwards it with the tentative GAO Form 100A and Action Routing Silp, GAO Form 319, through the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, to the Comptroller General for signature. |
| | | | After signature, program division delivers letter to Joint Committee on Taxation and obtains signed Record of Receipt, GGD Form 8. |
| | | | Copies of the latter and signed record of receipt are provided to the agency from which tax information is received, the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, and GGD's issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration (2 copies). |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Appendix VII GAO Order Relating to Audit Assignments Involving Access to Tax Information

| | O135.1 Appendix 3 | | September 24, 1985 |
|---|--|----------------------------|---|
| N | REQUIRED NOTIFICATION LETTERS ADORESSEE | SIGNER | PROCEDURES |
| | Agency head <u>Assignments for wh</u> letter. | lich tex Informetion is to | be obtained from IRS |
| | Commissioner Internal Rev | • | ÷ · · |
| | | | issue area coordinator discusses suggested changes with the program division- |
| | | | Program division finalizes letter and forwards It with an action routing sitp through the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Admini- stration, GGD, to the Comptroller General for signature. |
| | | | GGD's issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration delivers the letter, with copies of the joint committee letter and the GGD Form 8 enclosed, to the addressee. |
| | | | Copies of the letter and enclosures are provided to the program division, the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, and GGD's issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration (2 copies). |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 24 | 4 |

| I | September 24, | 1985 | | 0135.1 Appendix 3 | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | REQUIRED NOTIFICATION LETTERS Assignments for | ADDRESSEE r which tax information is | SIGNER to be obtained from | PRIXEDURES | |
| | | Secretary of the Treasury, Attn Assistant Secretary (Enforcement and Operations), Department of the Treasury oc Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms. Inspector General- Audit Staff, Department of the Treasury. Chief, Internal Audit, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms. | Comptroller General. | Same procedures as for IRS assignments. Additional copies of letter and enclosures are provided to the Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms, Inspector General - Audit Staff, Department of the Treasury, and Chief, Internai Audit, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms. | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 25 | | |

Appendix VII GAO Order Relating to Audit Assignments Involving Access to Tax Information

| 0135.1 Appendix 3 | | | September 24, 1985 |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| REQUIRED NOTIFICATION LETTERS | ADDRESSEE | SIGNER | PROCEDURES |
| | Assignments for which ta | k Information is to be ob | tained from an agency other than IRS or BATE |
| | As appropriate. | Comptroller Generals | Same procedures as for IRS assignments, except that program division delivers letter and enclosures to addressee. |
| | | | Additional copies of the letter and enclosures are provided as appropriate. |
| | | | |
| 3. Agency Halson letter. | Assignments for which ta | c information is to be ob | teined from IRS |
| | Assistant Commissioner (Inspection) Internal Revenue | GGD1s Associate Director for Tax Policy and Adminsitration | Program division prepares draft letter within 15 days of the date of the joint committee letter and sends it to GGD's issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration for review. |
| | Service. | | issue area coordinator discusses suggested changes with the program division |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 26 | |
| | | | |
| Septemb | September 24, 1985 | | O135.1 Appendix 3 | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| REQUIRED | | | | |
| NOT IF ICATION LETTERS | ADDRESSEE | SIGNER | PROCEDURE S | |
| | | | Program division finalizes letter and forwards It to the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, G6D, for signature. | |
| 1 | | | QGD1s Issue Area Coordinator for Tex Policy and Administration delivers the letter to the addressee. | |
| 1 | | | Copies of lefter are provided to the program division, the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, and GGD's issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration (2 copies) | |
| Assignme | ents for which tax information i | s to be obtained from BATE | | |
| | Chief, Internal Audit, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms. | GGD's Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration+ | Same procedures as for IRS assignments. | |
| Assignme | ents for which tax information i | s to be obtained from an a | gency other than IRS or BATE | |
| 1 | As appropriate. | To be determined by the responsible program division. | To be determined by the responsible program division. | |
| | | | | |
| ļ | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| F | | | | |
| I | | 27 | | |

| 0135.1 Appendix 3 | | | September 24, 1985 |
|----------------------|---|--|--|
| | ADDRESSEE red as duly designated agen | | PROCEDURES |
| committees author | Ized access to tax inform | tax information is to be c | obtained from IRS |
| | Assistant Commis- sioner (Inspec- tion) Internal Revenue Service. | GGD's Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration. | Program division prepares draft letter and forwards to GGD's issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration for review. Issue area coordinator discusses suggested changes with the program division. Program division finalizes letter and forwards it to the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, for signature. GGD's issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration delivers the letter to the addressee Copies of the letter are provided tothe program division, the Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration, GGD, and GGD's issue Area Coordinator for Tax Policy and Administration, 2 copies). |
| | | | |
| | | 28 | |

| Septem | ber 24, 1985 | | D135.1 Appendix 3 |
|---|---|---|---|
| REQUIRED NOTIFICATION LFTTERS Assign | ADDRESSEE ments for which tax inform | <u>SIGNER</u> hation is to be obtain | PROCEDURES |
| | Secretary of the Treasury, Attn Assistant Secretary (Enforcement and Operations), Department of the Treasury or Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and FirearMs. Inspector General- Audit Staff, Department of the Treasury. Ch ef, Internal Audit, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms | GGD's Associate Director for Tax Policy and Administration | Same procedures as for IRS assignments. Additional copies of letter are provided to the -Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. -Inspector General - Audit Staff, Department of the Treasury, and -Chief, Internal Audit, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms. |
| | | 29 | |



| September 24, 1985 | 0135.1 Appeodix 4 |
|---|--|
| APPENDIX 4. | SAMPLE JOINT COMMITTEE LETTER |
| The Honorable Dan Rostenkowski Chairman, Joint Committee on Ta Congress of the United States | xation |
| Dear Mr. Chairman | |
| by 31 U.S.C. 713 and Section 610 review the extent to which the Interest to taxpayers for overpa to (1) assess the potential imp Responsibility Act of 1982 (TEF IRS could take certain administ | ou that, pursuant to the authority granted to us 03 of the Internal Revenue Code, we plan to Internal Revenue Service (IRS) is required to pay ayments it receives. Our overall objectives are act of changes made by the Tax Equity and Fiscal RA) on interest costs and (2) determine whether rative actions to improve its return processing the number and amount of such payments. |
| receive interest on an overpaym within 45 days after the return code provides that the interest late the refund check is issued | Internal Revenue Code, a taxpayer is entitled to ent to IRS if IRS does not issue the refund check is due (normally April 15th). In general, the should be calculated from April 15 until the . During fiscal year 1982, IRS paid about \$1.8 out \$500 million in fiscal year 1980. |
| that no interest will be paid up processing. Also, TEFRA change | e of relief from paying interest by providing atil a return is filed in a form suitable for d the means by which interest is to be calculated o TEFRA, interest was paid from the due date e return was delinquent. |
| return information. For example which interest was paid by IRS | e necessary for us to have access to returns and e, we plan to analyze a sample of tax returns on to identify the circumstances surrounding such er procedural shortcomings are contributing to |
| | our staff have any questions or comments on this 1 Mr. Johany C. Finch on 275-6407. |
| | Sincerely yours, |
| | Comptroller General of the United States |
| | |

| | APPENDIX 5. SAMPLE GAO FORM | 319, ACTION ROUTING SLIP |
|---|--|---|
| | | |
| | U S GENERAL ACCOUN | |
| | NAME | DATE |
| | Associate Director, GGD 1. (name of Associate Director | for Tax Policy and Admin.) |
| | Director, GGD 2. (name) | |
| | 3. DCR | |
| | 4. <u>Comptroller General</u> Associate Director, GGD | |
| | Associate Director, GGD <u>5.</u> (same name as in block 1) | |
| | 6 | |
| | 7. | |
| | 1. | |
| | 9. | |
| | 16. | |
| | REMARKS | |
| ł | Please call (Associate D (telephone number) when | irector's name) on letter is signed. |
| | He will date it. | |
| 1 | | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | SAG POINS 319 (New Apr 64) | |

| | 4.0 | | GAO FORM 8, RECORD OF RE | CEIPT |
|---|-----------------|---|--|---------|
| | AP | PENDIX 6. SAMPLE C | THE FORM OF RECORD OF RE | |
| | GQD Form 8 (2 8 | | | |
| ļ | . <u></u> , | | | |
| | | | | |
| | l | RECOR | D OF RECEIPT | |
| | | RECOR | D OF RECEIPT | |
| ſ | | | | |
| | ł | letter dated | J S General Accounting Of _, notifying the Joint Commit tent to initiate an audit purs | nittee |
| | 1 | to the authority gi Office in PL 95-12 | ranted the General Accou 5 and section 6103 of the Int | nting |
| | | Revenue Code | | |
| | | | | |
| | [| | Jaint Committee on 1 | axation |
| | | | | |
| | | | Date Received | |
| | | | Time Received | J |
| | | | | Í |
| | | | | |

| | 0135.1 September 24, 1985 Appendix 7 | |
|---|--|---|
| | APPENDIX 7. SAMPLES OF AGENCY HEAD LETTERS | |
| | The Honorable Roscoe L. Egger, Jr. Commissioner of Internal Revenue Department of the Treasury | |
| | Dear Mr. Egger | |
| | Pursuant to the authority granted us in 31 U.S.C. 713 and Section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code, we are conducting a study of the Internal Revenue Service's Office of Chief Counsel. To effectively carry out our work, we will need access to tax returns and return information. | |
| i | Pursuant to the procedures noted in Section 6103 of the Code, we notified the Joint Committee on Taxation on May 24, 1983 of our intent to initiate this audit. Copies of our letter and the receipt signed by the joint committee are enclosed. | |
| | Accordingly, this letter is to formally notify you of our audit and request access to appropriate tax returns and return information. Mr. Norman Stubenhofer will be in contact with IRS to work out the arrangements for obtaining the necessary information. | |
| - | Sincerely yours, | |
| | | |
| | Comptroller General of the United States | |
| | Enclosures - 2 | |
| | | |
| | | I |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | 1 |
| | | |
| | | |

34

| | September 24, 1985 | 0135.1 Appendix 7 | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | The Honorable Martha A. McSteen Acting Commissioner of Social Security Department of Health and Human Service | | | |
| 1 | Dear Mrs. McSteen | | | |
| 1 | Pursuant to the authority granted us by Section 6103 of the Internal Reve- nue Code, we are initiating a study of the effects of uncredited or erroneously credited earnings on individuals' Social Security eligibility and benefit amounts. To effectively carry out our work, we will need access to tax returns and tax information. | | | |
| | | n Taxation on August 23, 1984, of our of our letter and the receipt signed by | | |
| I | requirement for access to appropriate | rmally notify you of our study and our tax returns and return information. Mr. will contact the agency to work out the ry information. | | |
| | | Sincerely yours, | | |
| | | Comptroller General of the United States | | |
| | Enclosures - 2 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | 35 | | |

September 24, 1985 0135.1 Appendix 8 APPENDIX 8. SAMPLES OF LIAISON LETTERS Use this letter when assignment is self-initiated or being done at request of a member of Congress or a committee not authorized access to tax information. Mr. Robert L. Rebein Assistant Commissioner (Inspection) Internal Revenue Service Dear Mr. Rebein: This letter is to notify you that the Information Management and Technology Division of the General Accounting Office plans to initiate an audit (Job Code 510015) of the computer-based systems supporting IRS' information returns program (IRP). The objectives in this regard are to determine (1) whether the IRP computer-based systems contain sufficient internal controls to ensure accurate and reliable data processing; (2) whether the current IRP computer-based systems and document matching methodology are as efficient and effective as possible or whether alternative computerized methodologies would be more efficient and effective; and (3) the potential impact that the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982 will have on the existing IRP computer-based systems regarding capacity to process additional information returns. On September 12, 1983, we notified the Chairman, Joint Committee on Taxation, of this audit and stated that to carry out the objectives, it would be necessary for us to obtain tax returns and return information from the Internal Revenue Service. Copies of that letter and the joint committee's signed receipt are enclosed. A copy of the Comptroller General's subsequent notification letter to the Commissioner is also enclosed. We would like to begin work during the week of October 31, 1983. We plan to visit the National Office; National Computer Center, Martinsburg; North Atlantic Region, Andover Service Center; and Western Region, Fresno Service Center. If we need to expand to additional locations, we will identify those for you at a later date. We would appreciate your advising appropriate officials of our plans. If you have any questions concerning this job please contact me on 275-6407 or Ted Gonter of our Information Management and Technology Division on 275-4797. Sincerely yours, Johnny C. Finch Senior Associate Director Enclosures - 3 36

| I | September 24, 1985 | 0135.1 Appendix 8 |
|---|--|--|
| | Use this letter when assignment is conducted as duly d | lesignated agent. |
| | Mr. Robert L. Rebein Assistant Commissioner (Inspection) Internal Revenue Service | |
| | Dear Mr. Rebein | |
| | This letter is to notify you of our intent to con the research and experimentation tax credit at the req the House Committee on Ways and Means (Job Code 268189 be conducted pursuant to section $6103(f)(4)(A)$ of the pertains to section 44F which provides a 25-percent in tain incremental research and development expenditures business. The Committee would like GAO to provide inf (1) characteristics of users of the credit and (2) spe related research and development expenditures. To car need access to tax returns and return information. | uest (copy enclosed) of). Our work, which will Internal Revenue Code, acome tax credit for cer- s related to a trade or cormation and data on the ccific purposes for credit- |
| | Our work will be done at IRS' National Office, in Chief Counsel; its Midwest, Southeast, and Central Reg data center, and its service centers in Chamblee, Kans We also plan to carry out work at IRS district offices Chicago, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbia, Des Moines, Milwaukee, St. Louis, and Springfield. If we need to locations, we will identify those locations for you at | gional Offices; its Detroit as City, and Cincinnati. a in Atlanta, Birmingham, Detroit, Jacksonville, do work in other |
| | We plan to initiate this study on March 5, 1984. notifying the appropriate officials of our plans. If please call me at 275-6407. Thank you for your cooper | you have any questions, |
| | Sincerely yours | ` * |
| | | |
| | Johnny C. Finch Senior Associat | |
| 1 | Enclosure | e Director |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| | 37 | |

| 35.1 pendix 9 | 9 | | | September 24, 1 |
|-------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | ADM | APPENDIX 9. SAMPLE INISTRATION DISCLOSU | | |
| GGD Form (Rev 2/85) | 4 | U S GENERAL ACCO | DUNTING OFFICE | · |
| | | TAX ADMINIS DISCLOSURE CONT | | |
| | GAO OFFICE | | | |
| JOB TITLE | | | JOB CODE | Ξ |
| WORK PAPER INDEX | DATE OF DISCLÓSURE | LOCATION OF DISCLOSURE (IRS SERVICE CENTER SSA HEADQUARTERS ETC.) | TYPE OF DOCUMENT (TAX RETURN DATA PROCESSING RUN CORRESPONDENCE ETC) | NUMBER OF TAXPAYERS ON DISCLO SURE FORM |
| | ······································ | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | · | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | | · · | |
| | | | | · · · · · · |
| | | | | |
| | | | an a | <u>-</u> |
| CI DIATOO C | | | <u></u> | |
| SUBMISSIC | | | TOTAL TAXPAYERS THIS MOI PREVIOUS MOI | |
| | | | TO D | ATE |

| September 24 | 4, 1985 | 0135. Appendix 10 |
|---|--|--|
| APPENDIX 10. | CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH GAO WILL OF TAXPAYERS WHEN AUDITING IRS' | |
| 1. STATEMEN | T OF PRINCIPLE. | |
| specific tax policy in te | s policy is not to investigate and payers identified for GAO by other stimony given before a congression which became Public Law 95-125 (no | s. GAO officials articulated this al committee considering passage |
| nor of : the for | In performing an audit of IRS, [cerned with the identity of indivi would [GAO] impose [its] ju IRS in individual tax cases. [GAO individual transactions on a samp the purpose of evaluating the eff rations and activities." | dual taxpayers, dgment upon that 9] would examine 9] basis and only |
| | ion, the legislative history of Pu | blic Law 95-125 indicated that |
| per: privinfo | "The purpose of the legislation i right of GAO to gain access to re form regular audits of the Service "[The legislation] scrupulously s vacy and integrity of income tax r prmation from unauthorized disclos R. Rep. No. 95-480) | cords necessary to •••• afeguards the eturns and |
| tax laws will of tax inform circumstances Members of Co income, exper | ecordance with this policy, GAO au l normally be based on a random sa mation rather than on a preselecti s and procedures under which GAO w ongress the names of taxpayers sus uses, or deductions on their retur e paragraphs below. | mpling from appropriate universes on of individual returns. The fill accept from committees and pected of incorrectly reporting |
| U.S.C. 713 ac | B UNDER GAO AUTHORITY. When GAO 1 and section 6103(i)(7) of the Inter uned by sampling from appropriate | nal Revenue Code, tax information |
| | Lpt of Names from Tax Writing Comm Subcommittees. | ittees and Appropriate Oversight |
| Joint Committ tional intere incorrect rep taxpayers and poses, GAO wi | If the House Ways and Means Commi- tee on Taxation, or committees or a est in the administration of the ta- borting of income, expenses, or dea want to provide the names of such 11 first suggest that they give the nue Service. If these committees | subcommittees having a jurisdic- ax laws have knowledge of possible ductions on returns by specific h taxpayers to GAO for audit pur- |

39

,

| 0135.1 Appendix 10 | | September 24, 198 |
|--|--|---|
| man of the | O, GAO will accept them upon receipt of a l committee or subcommittee or by the Chief o n Taxation. | |
| other congr | GAO will not accept taxpayers' names for a essional committee or Member. GAO will ad- t they should send the names directly to th | vise other committees and |
| b. <u>Ger</u> | eral Operating Procedures. | |
| interest in the issues | GAO may analyze the tax information provi mittees or by committees or subcommittees l the administration of the tax laws to gain involved in an ongoing or planned review Ga ters the tax laws. | having a jurisdictional n a better understanding of |
| provided in tion of the sampling of | GAO will not intentionally incorporate an to any samples it draws to carry out its an tax laws. However, if such names are self appropriate universes, GAO will analyze th the same way it would for all taxpayers so | udits of IRS' administra- ected as part of a random he circumstances of that |
| names of ta taxpayers. | GAO will not report or disclose to anyone xpayers included in its samples or any info Nor will GAO advise anyone who gave it tay obtained by GAO about those taxpayers. | ormation on sampled |
| | The disclosure restrictions cited above a , 1977, conclusion of the GAO General Count | |
| | " except when we act as agents of a or subcommittee pursuant to section 610 do not believe that section 6103 authors disclose to a committee or subcommittee any tax return or any return information during the course of a self-initiated au | 3(f)(4), we izes us to of Congress n obtained |
| 3. WORK DO | NE UNDER COMMITTEE AUTHORITY. | |
| Committee, Internal Re tees and re do the same ate congres | n designated by the House Ways and Means Co or Joint Committee on Taxation pursuant to venue Code, GAO can accept the names of tay port back information on such taxpayers to when designated by other committees acting sional resolution under the provisions of s venue Code. | section 6103(f)(4) of the xpayers from such commit- those committees. GAO can g pursuant to an appropri- |
| mentioned c Internal Re | ever, even in these cases it is GAO policy ommittees to provide the names of specific venue Service if there is any suspicion on rs have incorrectly reported income, expense | taxpayers directly to the the committees' part that |
| | 40 | |

| September 24, 1985 | 0135.1 Appendix 11 |
|--|--|
| APPENDIX 11. SAMPLE FORMATS FOR ANY | NUAL REPORT |
| 1. Sample format for open recommendations to the Cor | ngress. |
| SELF-EMPLOYMENT INCOME REPORTED FOR CREDIT TOWARD SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS ALTHOUGH TAX NOT PAID | 8-137762 8-9-73 and GGD-77-78 8-8-77 |
| Summary of finding | |
| IRS reports to the Social Security Administratic persons designate on their income tax returns as self though such persons may not have paid the applicable security tax. The self-employed person thus receives security benefits even if that person has not made th | f-employment income even self-employment social s credit toward social |
| Recommendation | |
| We recommended that the Congress amend section 2 Security Act (42 U.S.C. 405(c)) to prohibit a person toward social security benefits if that person has no self-employed income. | from receiving credits |
| Action taken and/or pending | |
| During the 95th Congress, the Chairman of the Wa Subcommittee introduced H.R. 12565, the "Self-Employm 1978," which contained the substance of our recommend was taken on the bill. | ment Tax Payments Act of |
| In 1979 the Chairman of the Ways and Means Overs duced the bill which was renumbered as H.R. 5465 and mittee on Social Security. The subcommittee did not | |

41

1

| | September 24, 19 |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| 0135.1 | |
| Appendix 11 | |
| | |
| | |
| 2. Sample format for legislative action taken | during the year on |
| recommendations. | |
| DELINQUENT TAXPAYERS DUE REFUNDS | GGD-79-69 |
| ARE NOT PENALIZED FOR FILING LATE | B-137762 |
| | 7-11-79 |
| | |
| Summary of finding | |
| Section 6651(a) of the Internal Revenue Co | de does not encourage nonfilers |
| due refunds to file on time because they are no | 0 |
| Late filing penalties are assessed only on nonf | 1 |
| | |
| Recommendation | |
| We recommended that the Congress amend sec | tion 6651(a) of the Internal |
| Revenue Code to provide for a similar late fili | |
| refunds. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | |
| Action taken and/or pending | |

The Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982 adopted our recommendation by providing for a penalty when an income tax return is not filed within 60 days of the due date, whether or not taxes are owed.

| September 24, 1985 | 0135.1 Appendix 11 |
|--|--|
| 3. Sample format for recommendations made to the h concerning the administration of taxes and/or the u | |
| IRS HAS NOT REVIEWED THE FINANCIAL SOUNDNESS OF THE TEAMSTERS' CENTRAL TATES PENSION FUND | $\frac{\text{HRD}-82-13}{\text{B}-199238}$ $\frac{4-28-82}{\text{A}-28-82}$ |
| Summary of finding | |
| ERISA requires that employee pension plans sat lards each year and that each plan submit an annual enforce ERISA's minimum funding standards. | |
| Since 1975, the trustees of the Teamsters' Cen- Southwest Areas Pension Fund have had five actuaria Financial soundness. The last report, issued on Ap- current funding should satisfy ERISA's requirements and on a sound financial basis. However, the actua problems and situations that could have serious fin- fund. Consequently, the actuary recommended that u- tion on the trucking industry and the Multi-Employe- be evaluated, the fund should adopt a conservative liberalizing of benefits. Moreover, the actuary's the fund's unfunded accrued liability for current a was about \$6.05 billion at January 1, 1980. In this closely monitor the financial status of the fund to meets ERISA's funding standards. | I valuations of the fund's oril 3, 1981, stated that the and that the fund is operat- ry's report described some ancial implications for the initil the effects of deregula- er Amendments Act of 1980 can posture with respect to any April 1981 report showed that and future pension benefits is regard, IRS needs to |
| Recommendation | |
| We recommended that the Commissioner of Intern ials to closely monitor the fund's financial opera und meets the minimum funding standards of ERISA a action is needed to assure that the fund meets the | tions to ascertain that the nd, if not, take whatever |
| action taken and/or pending | |
| IRS stated that the fund's July 1982 annual re examined to ensure compliance with the minimum fund | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| 4. Sample format for reports on tax matters. <u>REPORTS ON TAX MATTERS ISSUED DURING 1982</u> <u>Title</u> Legislative and Administrative Changes to Improve Verification of Welfare Recipients' Income and Assets Could Save Hundreds of Millions (HRD-82-9) Excessive Specifications Are Limiting | 2 Date |
|--|-----------|
| <u>Title</u> Legislative and Administrative Changes to Improve Verification of Welfare Recipients' Income and Assets Could Save Hundreds of Millions (HRD-82-9) | - |
| Legislative and Administrative Changes to Improve Verification of Welfare Recipients' Income and Assets Could Save Hundreds of Millions (HRD-82-9) | Date |
| Improve Verification of Welfare Recipients' Income and Assets Could Save Hundreds of Millions (HRD-82-9) | |
| Millions (HRD-82-9) | |
| Excessive Specifications Are Limiting | 1/4/82 |
| Competition for IRS Special Design Tax | |
| Return Folders (GGD-82-61) | 3/24/82 |
| Investigation to Reform Teamsters' Central States Pension Fund Found Inadequate (HRD-82-13) | 4/28/82 |
| The Federal Government Can Save \$1.7 Million Annually by Eliminating Strip Stamps (GAO/GGD-82-60) | 5/7/82 |
| Uncertainties about the Definition and Scope of the Property Concept May Reduce Windfall Profit Tax Revenues (GAO/GCD-82-48) | 5/13/82 |
| Key Issues Affecting State Taxation of Multi-jurisdictional Corporate Income Need Resolving (GAO/GGD-82-38) | 7/1/82 |
| Impact of the Paperwork Reduction Act on the Internal Revenue Service's Ability to Administer the Tax Laws (GAO/GGD-82-90) | 7/6/82 |
| Compilation of GAO's Work on Tax Administration Activities During 1981 (GAO/GGD-82-82) | 7/22/82 |
| Further Research into Noncompliance is Needed to Reduce Growing Tax Losses (GAO/GGD-82-34) | 7/23/82 |
| Changes to Appeals Process Could Improve Settlements and Increase Taxpayers' Satisfaction (GAO/GGD-82-54) | 7/28/82 |

44

ī.

| | | Appendix 1 | l |
|--|--|--|---------|
| . Sample format for testimony on tax | matters given by GAD officials duri | ng the year. | |
| | TESTIMONY ON TAX MATTERS GIVEN BY GAO OFFICIALS DURING 1982 | | |
| GAO Official | Congressional Committee | Subject Matter | Date |
| illiam J. Anderson, Director, eneral Government Division | Subcommittee on Commerce, ^onsumer and Monetary Affairs, House Committee on Government Operations | Adequacy of IRS' Resources | 3/17/82 |
| orton A. Myers, Director, rogram Analysis Division | Senate Finance Committee | Taxation of In- surance Companies | 3718782 |
| illiam J. Anderson, Director, eneral Government Division | Subcommittee on Oversight of the internal Revenue Service, Senate Finance Committee | Senate Bill 2198, Taxpayer Compliance Improvement Act of 1982 | 3/22/82 |
| illiam J. Anderson, Director, eneral Government Division | Subcommittee on Oversight of Sovernment Management, Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs | Status of IRS* Taxpayer Service Program | 3/24/82 |
| aniel F. Stanton, Jéputy Hiector, Goneral Government Lvisión | Subcommittee on Oversight of the Internal Revenue Service, Senate Committee on Finance | Senate Bill 2369, Independent Contractor Tax Classification and Compliance Act of 1992 | 4/26/82 |
| illiam J Anderson, Director, eneral Government Division | Subcommittee on Oversight, House Committee on Ways and Means | IRS Policies and Procedures to Safeguard Taxpayer Rights and the Effects of Certain Provisions of the 1976 Tax Reform Act | 4/26/82 |
| | | | |

| 6. Sample format for sco information initiated dur: | pe and subject matter of audits req | uiring access to tax |
|--|---|----------------------|
| | SCOPE AND SUBJECT MATTER OF JOBS INITIATED DURING 1982 PURSUANT TO 31 U.S.C. 713 | |
| Subject matter | Objective/scope | Month started |
| IRS Taxpayer Assistance | To obtain information on who uses IRS as- sistance and what as- sistance the users or taxpayers in general need. To evaluate the resulting data to deter- mine how IRS could use its limited resources more effectively. | January |
| Multi-Employer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980 | To assess the impact of the act and its provisions on (1) participants, bene- ficiaries, employers, em- ployee organizations, and other affected parties, and (2) the self-sufficiency of the insurance fund estab- lished to guarantee pay- ment of basic benefits of insolvent multi-employer plans. | January |
| | To address the usability of multi-employer pension plan data maintained by the government. | |
| | To monitor efforts of IRS, Labor, and Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation to administer the act. | |
| | To assess effects of the basic withdrawal liabil- ity provisions of the act. | |

September 24, 1985

0135.1 Appendix 12

APPENDIX 12. 31 U.S.C. 713, 719(d)

AUTHORITY TO AUDIT IRS (31 U.S.C. 713)

(a) Under regulations of the Comptroller General, the Comptroller General shall audit the Internal Revenue Service and the Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco, and Firearms, of the Department of the Treasury An audit under this section does not affect a final decision of the Secretary of the Treasury under section 6406 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (26 U S C 6406)

(b)(1) To carry out this section and to the extent provided by and only subject to section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (26 U S C 6103)---

(A) returns and return information (as defined in section 6103(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (26 U S C. 6103(b)) shall be made available to the Comptroller General, and

(B) records and property of, or used by, the Service or the Bureau, shall be made available to the Comptroller General

(2) At least once every 6 months, the Comptroller General shall designate each officer and employee of the General Accounting Office by name and tutle to whom returns, return information, or records or property of the Service or the Bureau that can identify a particular taxpayer may be made available. Each designation or a certified copy of the designation shall be sent to the Committee on Finance of the Senate, the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Taxation, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, and the Director of the Bureau.

(3) Except as expressly provided by law, an officer or employee of the Office may make known information derived from a record or property of, or in use by, the Service or the Bureau that can identify a particular taxpayer only to another officer or employee of the Office whose duties or powers require that the record or property be made known

ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES (31 U.S.C. 719(d))

(d) The Comptroller General shall report each year to the Committees on Finance and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committees on Ways and Means and Government Operations of the House of Representatives, and the Joint Committee on Taxation Each report shall include---

(1) procedures and requirements the Comptroller General, the Commissioner of Internal-Revenue, and the Director of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms, prescribe to protect the confidentiality of returns and return information made available to the Comptroller General under section 713(b)(1) of this title,

(2) the scope and subject matter of audits under section 713 of this title, and

(3) findings, conclusions, or recommendations the Comptroller General develops as a result of an auoit under section 713 of this title, including significant evidence of inefficiency or mismanagement

| 0105 1 | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----|
| 0135.1 Appendix 13 | Septemb | er 24, | 198 |
| | APPENDIX 13. CAO ACCESS TO TAX INFORMATION AUTHORIZED IN 26 U.S.C. 6103(i)(7) | | |
| | (A) Comptroiler General — (A) Returns available for inspection —Except as provided in subparagraph (C) upon written request by the Comptroller General of the United States, returns and return information shall be open to inspection by, or disclosure to, officers and employees of the General Accounting Office for the purpose of and to the extent accessary in, making— | | |
| | bacco and Firearms which may be required by section 713 of title 31, United States Code or (ii) any audit authorized by subsection (p)(6), | | |
| | except that no such officer or employee shall except to the extent authorized by sub- section (f) or (p)(6), disclose to any person other than another officer or employee of such office whose offical duties require such disclosure any return or return informa- tion described in section 4424(a) in a form which can be associated with, or otherwise identify, directly or indirectly, a particular taxpayer, nor shall such officer or employee disclose any other return or return information except as otherwise expressiv provided by law, to any person other than such officer or employee of such officer in a form which can be associated with, or otherwise identify, directly or indirectly, a particular taxpayer. | | |
| | (B) Audits of other agencies — (i) In general — Nothing in this section shall prohibit any return or return information obtained under this tile by any Federal agency (other than an agency referred to m subparagraph (A)) for use in any program or activity from being open to inspection by, or disclosure to, officiers and employees of the General Accounting Office if such inspection or disclosure is— (i) for purposes of, and to the extent pecessary in making an audit authorized by law of such program or activity, and (ii) pursuant to a written reduct by the Comptroller General of the United States to the head of such Federal agency | 1 1 - | |
| | (ii) Information from secretary —If the Comptroller General of the United States determines that the returns or return information available under clause (i) are not sufficient for purposes of making an audit of any program or activity of a Federal agency (other than an agency referred to m subparagraph (A)) upon written request by the Comptroller General to the Secretary, returns and return information (of the type authorized by subsection ()) or (m) to be madi available to the Federal agency for use in such program or activity shall be open to mspection by, or disclosure to, officers and employees of the General Accounting Office for the purpose of and to the extent necessary in, making such audit (in). Requirement of notification upon completion of audit —Within 90 day | = y 1 c 2 3 8 | |
| | after the completion of an audit with respect to which returns or return information were opened to inspection or disclosed under clause (i) or (ii), th Comptroller General of the United States shall notify in writing the Joint Committee on Taxation of such completion. Such notice shall include— (i) a description of the use of the returns and return information by th Federal agency involved. | ¢ 1- | |
| I | (II) such recommendations with respect to the use of returns and return information by such Federal agency as the Comptroller General deems appropriate, and (III) a statement on the impact of any such recommendations on confidentiality of returns and return information and the administration of the title. |)- 1- 15 | |
| | (iv) Certain restrictions made applicable —The restrictions contained in sub paragraph (A) on the disclosure of any returns or return information open to inspection or disclosed under such subparagraph shall also apply to returns an return information open to inspection or disclosed under this subparagraph | 0 | |
| | (C) Disapproval by Joint Committee on TaxationReturns and return inf mation shall not be open to inspection or disclosed under subparagraph (A) or 4 with respect to an audit | (B) ung of | |



September 24, 1985 0135.1 Appendix 14 (C) Public report on disclosures -- The Secretary shall within 90 days after the close of each calendar year, furnish to the Joint Commuttee on Taxation for disclosure to the public a report with respect to the records or accountings described in subparagraph (A) which-- (a) provides with respect to each Federal agency, each agency, body, or commission described in subsection (d), (j,(3)(B)(i), or (1)(6), and the General Accounting Office the number of—

 (i) requests for disclosure of returns and return information.
 (ii) instances in which returns and return information were disclosed

 pursuant to such requests or otherwise. (III) taxpayers whose returns, or return information with respect to whom, were disclosed pursuant to such requests, and (ii) describes the general purposes for which such requests were made (4) Safeguards — Any Federal agenc described in subsection $(h_2(2), (h)(6), (p_1(1), (2), (3), or (5), (p_2(1) or (2), (1)(1), (2), (3), (3), (1)) or (o)(1), the General Accounting Office or any agency, body, or commission described in subsection (d), <math>(\mu(3)(B)(i), or (1)(6), (7), (8), or (9)$ shall as a condition for receiving returns or return information-(A) establish and maintain, to the satisfaction of the Secretary, a permanent system of standardized records with respect to any request the renson for such request, and the date of such request made by or of it and any disclosure of return or return information made by or to it, (B) establish and maintain, to the satisfaction of the Secretary, a secure area or (C) restrict, to the sausfaction of the Secretary, access to the returns or return information only to persons whose duties or responsibilities require access and to whom disclosure may be made under the provisions of this titler access and to whom disclosure may be made under the provisions of this titler. (D) provide such other safeguards which the Secretary determines (and which he prescribes in regulations) to be necessary or appropriate to protect the confiden-uality of the returns or return information, (E) furnish a report to the Secretary, at such time and containing such informa-tion as the Secretary may prescribe, which describes the procedures established and utilized by such agency, body, or commission or the General Accounting Office for ensuring the confidentiality of returns and return information required by this paragraph; and (F) upo upon completion of use of such returns or return information (i) in the case of an agency, body, or commission described in subsection (d), (i)(3)(B)(i), or (l)(6), (7), (8), or (9) return to the Secretary such returns or return information (along with any copies made therefrom) or make such re-turns or return information undisclosable in any manner and furnish a written the solution of the secretary described in subsections (h)(2), (h)(6), (i)(1), (2), (3), or (5), (j)(1) or (2), (l)(1), (2), (3), or (5), (j)(1) or (2), (l)(1), (2), (3), (5), (10) or (6), (1), (1), (2), (3), (1), (1) or (6), (1), or the General Accounting Office, either— (1) return to the Secretary such returns or return information (along with the secretary such returns or return information (along with the secretary such returns or return information (along with the secretary such returns or return information (along with the secretary such returns or return information (along with the secretary such returns or return information (along with the secretary such returns or return information (along with the secretary such returns or return information (along with the secretary such returns or returns information (along with the secretary such returns or (1) return to the Sected state feature of return information taking with any copies made therefrom), (1) otherwise made such returns or return information undisclosable, or (11) to the extent not so returned or made undisclosable, ensure that the condutions of subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), (D), and (E) of this paragraph continue to be met with respect to such returns or return information, except that the conditions of subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), (D), and (E) shall cease to apply with respect to any return or return information if, and to the extent that, such return or return information is disclosed in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding and made a part of the public record thereof if the Secretary determines that any such agency, body, or commission or the General Accounting Office has failed to, or does not, meet the requirements of this paragraph, he may, after any pro-ceedings for review established under paragraph (7), take such actions as are necessary to ensure such requirements are met, including refusing to disclose returns or return information to such agency hold, or commution are the General Accounting Office In order to such agency, body, or commission or the General Accounting Office until he determines that such requirements have been or will be met in the case of any agency which receives any mailing address under subsection (m)(2) or (4) and any agency which technics any tuning address to any agent, this paragraph shall apply to such agency and each such agent (except that in the case of an agent, any report to the Secretary or other action with respect to the Secretary shall be made or taken through such agency) 50



☆US GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 986-491-234-40083

Requests for copies of GAO reports should be sent to:

U.S. General Accounting Office Post Office Box 6015 Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877

Telephone 202-275-6241

1

The first five copies of each report are free Additional copies are \$2.00 each.

There is a 25% discount on orders for 100 or more copies mailed to a single address.

Orders must be prepaid by cash or by check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

Address Correction Requested

First-Class Mail Postage & Fees Paid GAO Permit No G100