Financial Report of the United States Government 1999

Contents

A Mes sage from the Sec re tary of the Treasury	1 3
General Accounting Office Report	
Comptroller General's Statement	15 19
Financial Statements	
State ment of Op er a tions and Changes in Net Position.	46
State ment of Net Cost	47 49
	+7
Stewardship Information (Unaudited)	
StewardshipAssets:	
National Defense As sets	51
StewardshipLand	54
HeritageAssets	56
Stewardship Responsibilities:	~~~
Social Security	58
Medicare	59
RailroadRetirement	60
BlackLungBenefits	62
UnemploymentInsurance	63
Stewardship Investments: Non-Federal Physical Property	64
HumanCapital	65
Research and De vel op ment	65
CurrentServicesAssessment	67
Notes to the Financial Statements	
Note 1 - Sum mary of Sig nif i cant Ac count ing Pol icies.	69
Note 2 - Cash and Other Mon e tary As sets	72
Note 3 - Ac counts Receivable.	73
Note 4 - Loan and Loan Guar an tee Pro grams.	74
Note 5 - Taxes Re ceiv able	76
Note 6 - In ven tories and Re lated Property	76
	77
Note 8 - Other As sets	77
Note 9 - Ac counts Pay able	78
Note 10 - Fed eral Debt Se cu ri ties Held by the Public	78
Note 11 - Fed eral Em ployee and Vet eran Ben e fits Pay able	81
Note 12-En viron mental and Disposal Liabilities	84
Note 13 - Ben e fits Due and Pay able	85
Note 14 - Other Liabilities	85
Note 15 - Col lec tions and Re funds of Fed eral Revenue	86
Note 16 - Un rec on ciled Trans actions Af fecting the Change in Net Position	87
Note 17 - Prior Pe riod Adjustments	88
Note 18 - Com mit ments and Contingencies	88
Note 19 - Ded i cated Col lec tions.	91
Note 20 - In dian Trust Funds	94

Supplemental Information (Unaudited)	
Net Cost De tail	95
DeferredMaintenance	101
Reconciliation of the Excess of Revenue Over Net Cost	102
UnexpendedBudgetAuthority	104
Tax Bur den	105
Other Information (Unaudited)	
Other Claims for Refund	107
Fed eral Taxes Receivable Net	107
Appendix	
List of Sig nif i cant Gov ern ment En tities In cluded and En titles Ex cluded from these Finan cial State ments	100

.

•



A MES SAGE FROM THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY

I am pleased to present the fiscal year 1999 Financial Report of the United States Government. The Report includes audited financial statements that cover the Executive Branch, as well as parts of the Legislative and Judicial branches of U.S. Government. The Administration initiated the development of this financial report in order to create what we believe is a practical management tool for policy-makers and a source of useful information for the public about the assets, liabilities, and operations of the government.

This report is another significant milestone in our efforts, begun in 1994, to account for the financial activities of the U.S. Government in a timely and professional manner. Developing the capability for the government to produce financial reports in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles is an enormous task.

I am also pleased to report that the standards developed by the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) are now recognized by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants as being generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for the Federal Government. This is a major accomplishment. It will enhance the acceptability of our reports and will add to the level of financial professionalism throughout the U.S. Government.

Significant progress continues to be made in the area of financial management. More agencies are completing their financial statements on time and the quality of the data continues to improve. The successful Year 2000 remediation process has resulted in better systems and we have established, through the Joint Financial Management Improvement Program, a government-wide financial software certification process that is beginning to ensure that commercial systems meet the government's needs.

Despite this progress, we have much yet to achieve. A great deal of additional effort will be necessary to fully implement an entirely new and reliable system of reporting on the operations of the U.S. Government. The audit report from the General Accounting Office (GAO) discusses many significant areas in which the reliability of the current financial statements need to be improved before the GAO will be able to render an opinion on these statements.

We are committed to producing and reporting financial information that meets the highest standards of integrity, and to provide to the American people the accountability and professionalism they expect from their government.

hume It. Summe

Law rence H. Sum mers

Fiscal 1999 Financial Report of the United States Government



The Budget and Economy Through the bud get pro cess, the Pres i dent and Con gress decide how much to spend and tax in any one fis cal year. The Federal bud get, of course, is not the only bud get that af fects the economy or the American people. The bud gets of State and lo cal gov ern ments have an im pact as well. Fed eral Gov ern ment spend ing was a lit tle less than 19 per cent of the gross do mes tic prod uct (or GDP, which mea sures the size of the econ omy) in 1999, the low est since 1966.

Continued Improvement in Fiscal Performance

a de la companya de l

Seven years ago, the Fed eral budget deficit had ex ploded. It dom i nated the Gov ern ment's ability to make policy and im posed an in sid i ous bur den on our econ omy. In 1992, the \$290 bil lion deficit was the largest in American history and was projected to continue spiraling up ward with out restraint. The econ omy suffered, inter est rates were high and job creation stalled. Cap i tal that should have been used for productive in vest ments to create new jobs was used to finance the Gov ern ment's mas sive defi cit-drivenborrowing.

In 1993, the Om ni bus Bud get Recon cili a tion Act was signed. Its deficit re duc tion plan was to cut the deficit in half as a per cent age of the econ omy in 5 years. That goal was met in only 3 years. The 1997 Bal anced Bud get Act proposed to elim i nate the Fed eral deficit by fis cal 2002. In fact, it reached its goal 4 years ahead of sched ule, producing the first bud get sur plus (\$69 billion) in a generation in 1998.

We can now look back with pride at our progress and ahead with con fi dence as we con sider the suc cess of our fiscal discipline and the opportunity to build upon it. To day we have lower in ter est rates, a higher level of investment and unprecedented prosper ity. Our econ omy has added more than 20 mil lion new jobs. The un employment rate is the lowestin 30 years; the wel fare rolls are down by more than 50 per cent since 1993; the core in fla tion rate is the low est in 35 years; and more Amer i cans own their homes than at any time in our his tory. Strong eco nomic growth and pas sage of deficit reduction programs placed the bud get on its path to ward sur plus.

The fis cal dis ci pline we have dem onstrated, combined with a fast-growing econ omy and ris ing stock market, contributed to another uni fied Fed eral bud get sur plus in fiscal 1999 of \$124 bil lion. That was \$55 bil lion above the sur plus in fis cal 1998. The sur plus rel a tive toGDP amounted to 1.4 per cent in 1999, the high est such ratio in al most 50 years. Fed eral debt held by the pub lic was re duced by more than \$85 bil lion in fis cal 1999 and by a to tal of al most \$140 bil lion over the last 2 years.



"Today we have lower interest rates, a higher level of investment and unprecedented prosperity."

These were the first re duc tions in publicly held debt since 1969 and the larg est debt re duc tions in his tory.

Re ceipts in creased by about 6 percent in fis cal 1999 to \$1,827 bil lion. This was slower growth than the 9 per cent in crease in fis cal 1998. The slow down mainly re flected a de cline in net cor po rate tax re ceipts, the first since 1990, due in part to weak ness in over sease con o mies, which dampened profits of U.S. ex port ers. In divid ual in come and pay roll tax re ceipts also grew more slowly in 1999 but still posted a siz able 6.5 per cent increase.

Growth of out lays was held to just over 3 percent in fis cal 1999, ris ing to \$1,703 bil lion. The in crease was in line with the gain in 1998. Out lays in relation to GDP were the small est since 1974, dip ping to an 18.7 per cent share from 19.1 per cent in fis cal 1998.

Pushing out lays down was a drop of \$13 bil lion in net in ter est pay ments, re flect ing the shrink ing size of the Fed eral debt and the re place ment of older debt with new debt at lower in terestrates. Medicare payments also fell modestly over the fis cal year.

Spending in creased for most other major Federal Government functions, in clud ing de fense (up 2.4 per cent after a small de cline in 1998) and So cial Se curity (up about 3 percent in fiscal 1999). The larg est per cent age gain by far among the major spend ing cate gories was for farm price sup ports, re flecting large outlays of the Com modity Credit Cor po ra tion in the wake of de pressed agricultural prices.

According to the Fis cal Year 2001 Bud get, the to tal uni fied bud get surpluses are projected to in crease each year through out the fore cast horizon to 2010. The Ad min is tra tion is commit ted to us ing the bulk of the sur pluses to strengthen and mod ern ize the Social Security and Medicare programs; in vest in key pri or i ties that will extend the economic expansion, such as ed u ca tion; and pay down the publicly held debt. Under Administrationproposals, the current \$3.6 trillion of debt held by the public is projected to be completely elim i nated on a net ba sis by 2013.



Fis cal 1999 was one of ac cel er ating eco nomic growth. The ex pansion en tered its ninth year and moved closer to a new re cord length. Real GDP grew by 4.3 per cent across the four quar ters of fis cal 1999, which en com passes the fourth quar ter of cal en dar 1998 through the third quar ter of cal en dar 1999. This was faster than growth over the previ ous fis cal year and higher than the average through out the ex pan sionary pe riod.

Growth was led by strong gains in productivity. After trending up at an average an nual rate of about 1.5 percent from 1974 to 1995, av er age increases in la bor pro duc tiv ity ac celerated by more than a full per cent age point to 2.7 per cent over the past 4 fis cal years. In 1999, produc tiv ity growth picked up even more, to 3.1 per cent over the four quar ters of the fis cal year. This is an unusually favorable performance at this stage of an ex pan sion when produc tivity growth typ i cally slows down from its ear lier pace. Partly, it reflects the cap i tal deepening that has oc curred in re cent years due to rapid gains in busi ness in vestment, and partly, it may reflect im prove ments in production deriving from in for mation tech nol ogy. The faster rate of growth of productivity has increased overall economic growth and standards of living, allowing the unemploy ment rate to fall with out a buildup of inflationary pressures.

Growth in consumer spending and busi ness in vest ment in cap i tal equipment and soft ware was very rapid in fis cal 1999. Real con sumer pur chases accelerated to more than 5 per cent over the year to post the fast est rate of in crease in 14 years. Higher spending was fueled by rising employ ment and in comes and higher net worths primarily due to the rising stock market. Pri vate in vest ment in equip ment and soft ware, which in creased at double-digit rates over the past 7 years, also ac celer ated in fis cal 1999 and recorded its best year of the ex pan sion, ris ing by 14.5 percent. Falling prices for com put ers and other high-tech



"The expansion entered its ninth year and moved closer to a new record length."

goods due to im prove ments in qual ity and process ing capacity contributed to the rapid growth in real in vest ment. A widening for eign trade deficit contin ued to offset strength in other sectors of the econ omy in fis cal 1999, although the drag on real GDP di min ished over the year as ex ports picked up due to some firm ing in oversease conomies.

La bor mar kets re mained strong in fis cal 1999. The un em ploy ment rate drifted down from 4.5 per cent at the start of the year to 4.2 per cent by the end of fis cal 1999, and dipped even lower in the first quar ter of fis cal 2000. These read ings were the low est in al most three de cades. The share of the work ing-age pop u la tion with jobs reached a re cord high, and long-term unemployment fell. The econ omy added 2.7 mil lion jobs in the fis cal year, just a bit less than an nual gains in the prior 2 fis cal years.

The rate of in fla tion in creased in fis cal 1999 due to higher oil prices, butunderly inginflationary pressures re mained in check even with strong eco nomic growth and low un em ployment. The ac cel er a tion in produc tivity growth to more than 3 per cent helped to hold down costs. The Consumer Price In dex (CPI) rose by 2.6 per cent over the fis cal year com pared with only 1.4 per cent in fis cal 1998 when oil prices fell. Ex clud ing en ergy and food, how ever, growth in consumer prices slowed to 2.1 per cent in fis cal 1999 from 2.4 per cent in fis cal 1998.

The Federal Reserve raised short-term interestrates in the sec ond half of the fis cal year and again in fiscal 2000. These ac tions more than reversed ear lier eas ing moves that had been un der taken in 1998 to deal with temporary financial tur moil both here and abroad. In rais ing rates, the Federal Re serve cited con cerns that contin ued faster growth in eco nomic demand than in po ten tial sup ply could fosterinflationaryimbalances. Long-term interestrates moved higher over the course of the fis cal year, damp en ing some what the very strong growth in hous ing.

Improving Financial Management of the Federal Government

For the first 200 years of the U.S. Government's existence, it did not publish consolidated financial reports other than on a bud get ary ba sis. Much prog ress has been made in the area of financial man age ment over the 3 years since the initial audited Con sol i dated Financial Report of the United States Government. Agencies are producing better re cords and better finan cial state ments. The Year 2000 (Y2K) pro cess has re sulted in better systems, and the Joint Financial Manage ment Improvement Program (JFMIP) certification process has forced many ven dors to pro duce systems that more directly meet Gov ernmentrequirements. Nonetheless, we have more to achieve.

Historically, effective management of the U.S. Gov ern ment has been ham pered by a lack of re li able fi nancial in for mation. To help im prove the integrity of fi nancial in formation, in 1990 the Of fice of Man age ment and Bud get (OMB), the De part ment of the Trea sury (Trea sury) and the General Ac count ing Of fice (GAO) es tablished the Fed eral Ac count ing Standards Ad vi sory Board (FASAB) to develop accounting standards for the U.S. Gov ern ment. The work of "Much progress has been made in the area of financial management . . . "

F ASAB aug ments the ef forts of the JFMIP to strengthen over all Fed eral financial management.

The stan dards de vel oped by the FASAB are now rec og nized by the American Institute of Certified Public Ac count tants (AICPA) as being generally accepted ac counting principles (GAAP) for the Fed eral Gov ern ment. This is a major ac com plish ment. It will en hance the ac cept ability of our re ports and will add to the level of financial professional ism throughout the U.S. Government.

Working to is sue agency fi nan cial reports consistent with GAAP and to ob tain clean au dit opin ions, the Ad min is tration is com mit ted to im prov-

ing the reliability of Federal financial information. Achieving an unqualified opin ion on the finan cial statements of Fed eral agen cies and the U.S. Gov ern ment is a first step. Unqual i fied opin ions lead to the de velop ment of better fi nan cial in for mation which, when provided to man age ment, will pro vide the basis for producing better decisions. Agencies and the Gov ern ment as a whole must con tinue to work to imple ment systems that report financial and pro gram in for mation quickly and re li ably, and then must use that in forma tion in the stew ard ship of the Na tion's re sources.

The ac companying Financial Report is required by 31 U.S.C. 331(e)(1) and consists of the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A), State ment of Operations and Changes in Net Position, Statement of Net Cost, Bal ance Sheet, Stew ard ship Information, Notes to the Financial State ments and Supplemental Information. Each section is preceded by a de scription of its contents.

Basis of Accounting and Reporting Entity

Accounting Standards

Theac companying financial statements generally were prepared based on GAAP standards developed by FASAB. The recent recognition of Federal ac counting standards by the AICPA as GAAP en hances their acceptability. These standards form the foundation for preparing consis tent and meaning fulfinancial state ments both for individual Federal agencies and the Government as a whole. "The recent recognition of Federal accounting standards by the AICPA as GAAP enhances their acceptability..."

GAAP for the Fed eral Gov ernment is tai lored to the U.S. Gov ernment's unique char acteristics and spe cial needs. For ex am ple, land not used in U.S. Gov ern mentop erations (stew ard ship land), weapon systems and sup port prop erty used in the perfor mance of military missions, and ves sels held as part of the National De fense Re serve Fleet (national defense as sets) are re ported in the Stewardship Information section rather than val ued on the Bal ance Sheet. The Gov ern ment's re spon sibil i ties and policy com mit ments are much broader than the re ported Balance Sheet li a bil i ties. They in clude the so cial in sur ance pro grams disclosed in the Stew ard ship In for mation sec tion, as well as a wide range

Accounting Standards, cont.

of other pro grams un der which the Government provides bene fits and ser vices to the people of this Nation.

Stan dards that were implemented in fis cal 1999 at the Governmentwide level re quire re port ing of an nual Federal ex penses for stew ard ship in vestments. These also are ex am ples of stan dards tai lored to the spe cial char - ac ter is tics of the U.S. Gov ern ment. Such in vest ments in clude:

• Non-Federal phys i cal prop

erty; the Fed eral in vest ment in prop er ties owned by State and lo cal gov ern ments (e.g., highways and air ports).

• Hu man capital; in vestments in ed u ca tion and train ing programs financed by the U.S. Gov ern ment for the ben e fit of the public.

• Research and development; the U.S. Gov ern ment's in vest ments in ba sic and ap plied research and development.

The an nual expense related to these in vest ments in cluded in the Statement of Net Cost is sep a rately reported in the Stew ard ship In for mation sec tion.

A new ac count ing stan dard, which be came effec tive for fis cal 1999, requires that de ferred main te nance be presented as required supplementary in for mation. Reporting deferred main te nance high lights there al ity that it is an ex pected cost, which has not been paid.





Financial Results

The ex cess of rev e nue over net cost figure (ac crual basis) contained in these fi nan cial state ments for fiscal 1999 is \$76.9 bil lion. In fis cal 1999, there was a uni fied bud get surplus (primarily on the cash ba sis) of \$124.4 billion. The primary com ponents of the difference that have been identified are principal payments of pre-credit re form loans, \$32.4 billion; de creases in the liability for vet eran com pensation and burial ben e fits, \$94.9 billion; de creases in the liability formilitary employee ben e fits, \$31.1 billion; in creases in

the li a bil ity for ci vil ian em ployee ben e fits, \$41.6 bil lion; in creases in en viron mental li a bil i ties, \$88.7 bil lion; and, in creases in cap i tal ized fixed assets, \$41.5 bil lion. For more in for mation on the de tailed rec on ciliation, see the Rec on cil i a tion of the Ex cess of Rev e nue Over Net Cost to the Unified Bud get Sur plus in the Supplemental Information section.



Non-exchange revenue is an in flow of resources to the Govern ment that the Govern ment de mands or receives by do na tions. The in flows that it demands in clude taxes, du ties, fines and penal ties. Non-exchange revenue is the U.S. Govern ment's primary source of revenue and totaled \$1,822.4 bil lion in 1999. More than 95 per cent of this to tal came from tax receipts, with the remain der coming from cus toms du ties and other miscella neous receipts.

Revenue and Expense Summary

Earned revenues are in flows of resources that arise from ex change trans ac tions; for ex am ple, when the U.S. Gov ern ment sells goods or services to the public. Dur ing 1999, the U.S. Gov ern ment earned \$192.6 billion in ex change revenue. Of these revenues, \$182.1 billion is off set against the gross cost of the related functions to arrive at the function's net cost. The U.S. Gov ern ment also earned \$10.5 billion that was not offset against the cost of any function (e.g., roy alties on the Outer Continental Shelf lands).

Expenses by Function

The net cost of U.S. Gov ern ment operations was \$1,756.0 billion for 1999. Net cost rep re sents the gross cost of operations less at tributable earned revenues. The State ment of Net Cost re flects the cost in curred to carry out the national pri or i ties iden ti fied by the Pres i dent and the Congress. The functions and subfunctions used to ac cu mu late costs as so ci ated with the national priorities are identified in the President's bud get and de scribed in detail in the Supplemental Information sec tion of this Finan cial Report. The accompanying chart presents the percent age of the net cost of U.S. Gov ern ment op er a tions rep resented by each of the U.S. Government'smajor functions.





Asset and Liability Summary





Assets

The as sets of the U.S. Gov ern ment are the re sources avail able to pay li abil i ties or to sat isfy fu ture ser vice needs. The ac com pa ny ing chart depicts the majorcate gories of reported as sets as of Sep tem ber 30, 1999, as a per cent age of reported total as sets. Detailed in for ma tion about the com ponents of these as set cate gories can be found in the Notes to the Fi nan cial Statements.

The as sets pre sented on the Bal ance Sheet are not a com pre hen sive list of Federal resources. For example, the U.S. Gov ern ment's most im por tant finan cial re source, its abil ity to tax and regulate com merce, can not be quan tified and is not re flected. Nat u ral resources, stewardshipland(national parks, for ests and graz ing lands), national de fense as sets and her i tage assets are other ex am ples of re sources that are not in cluded in the \$883.0 billion of Fed eral as sets reported on the Bal ance Sheet at the end of fis cal 1999. As can be seen, sig nif i cant as sets of the Fed eral Gov ern ment are not re flected on the Bal ance Sheet.

Liabilities

At the end of fis cal 1999, the U.S. Governmentreportedliabilities of \$6,909.2 billion. These liabilities are probable and measurable future out flows of re sources aris ing out of past transactions or events. The larg est componentoftheseliabilities (\$3,631.6 bil lion) is rep resented by Fed eral debt se curi ties held by the public. The next largest component (\$2,600.7 bil lion) re lates to pen sion, dis abil ity and health care costs for Federal civilian and military employ ees as well as for vet er ans. In cluded in this com po nent is a De part ment of Veteran Affairs program whereby vet er ans or their de pend ents receive compensation benefits if the vet eran was dis abled or died from militaryservice-connected causes. Changes in the as sumptions for this actuarial liability resulted in a li a bil ity de crease of \$94.9 bil lion. An other liability, which will likely require substantial future budgetary re sources to liquidate, is related to environmental cleanup costs as so ci ated with en viron mental damage/contam i nation. As of Sep tem ber 30, 1999, the cost of clean ing up en viron mental dam age/contam i nation across Govern ment pro grams was est i mated to be \$313.2 bil lion, an in crease of \$88.7 bil lion from 1998.

The ac com panying chart presents the per cent age of to tal Fed eral li a bil i ties rep resented by each of the cate gories of li a bil i ties re ported on the Bal ance Sheet. Ad ditional de tails about the U.S. Gov ern ment's re ported liabilities can be found in the Notes to the Fi nan cial Statements.

Long-term Budgetary Outlook

The lon ger term eco nomic and bud get out look is fa vor able—even more so than only a few years ago. With pru dent fis cal policy, the budget could re main in sur plus for many de cades. The Ad min is tration projects bud get sur pluses in 2000 and through out the cus tom ary 10-year bud get win dow. How ever, such projec tions are in her ently un certain, be cause, while prudent fis cal policy can safe guard our hard-earned prosper ity, so too can reck less choices dis si pate the ben e fits of the bud get dis ci pline that is re spon si ble for our ongoing success.

There are foreseeablechallenges that will threaten bud get ary stability in the 21st cen tury. In less than 10 years, the "baby-boomers"—the large gener a tion born be tween 1946 and 1964—will be come el i gi ble for early re tire ment un der So cial Se cu rity. In the space of two de cades, the el derly's share of the U.S. pop u lation will jump from around 13 per-

cent to 21 per cent. This de mographic bulge will put pres sure on the Federal budget through Medicare and Social Security. Fiscaldiscipline—payingdown the debt and re duc ing or elim i nat ing interest pay ments-improves the long-run bud get balance. Ad di tional reforms such as the Admin is tration proposals described be low, will be needed to strengthen So cial Se curity and Medicare. Ad di tional in formation on receipt and out lay estimates can be found in the Cur rent Services As sess ment in the Steward ship Information section of this Financial Report.

Financial Condition of the Medicare Trust Funds

Two trust funds have been es tab lished to fi nance the Medicare pro gram. The Medicare Part A Hos pi tal In surance Trust Fund is fi nanced by a 2.9 per cent tax on wages and sal a ries re quired to be paid equally by em ploy ees and em ploy ers. The Medicare Part B Sup ple men tary Med i cal In sur ance Trust Fund re ceives pre mium pay ments on behalf of Medicare ben e fi ciaries who have elected cover age. The Bal anced Bud get Act of 1997 pro vides that the Medicare Part B pre mium is set at a level that will cover 25 per cent of pro gram costs. The re main der of the program cost is funded by con gres sio nal ap pro pri a tions. The 1999 Trustees' An nual Re port pro jects that the Medicare Part A Trust Fund's as sets will be de pleted by 2015 us ing in ter me di ate or "bestes ti mate" as sumptions. The Ad min is tra tion has pro posed changes that will ex tend that date by at least a de cade to at least 2025. Ad di tional in for ma tion about the Medicare pro gram can be found in the Stew ard ship In for mation sec tion of this Fi nan cial Re port. At the time this re port was pre pared, the 2000 Trustees' An nual re port was sched uled to be re leased on March 30, 2000. It's re vised es ti mates will dif fer from those re ported the pre vi ous year, which have been in cluded in this Fi nan cial Re port.

Financial Condition of the Social Security Trust Funds

Two trust funds have been es tablished by law to fi nance the So cial Se curity pro gram (OASDI): Fed eral Old-Age and SurvivorsInsurance (OASI) and Fed eral Dis ability In surance (DI). OASI pays re tire ment and sur vi vors ben e fits and DI pays ben efits af ter a worker be comes dis abled. OASDI reve nues consist pri marily of taxes on earn ings that are paid by employees, their employers and the self-employed. OASDI also re ceives revenue from tax a tion of some Social Security benefits. Revenues that are not needed to pay cur rent ben efits or administrative expenses are in vested in Treasury securities to earn in ter est for the trust funds. The Board of Trustees of the OASI and DI Trust Funds provides the President and the Congress with short-range (10 years) and long-range (75 years) actuarial estimates of each trust fund. Be cause of the inherent uncertainty in estimates for as long as 75 years into the future, the So cial Se curity Trustees use three al ter na tive sets of economic and demographic assumptions to show a range of pos si bil i ties. Most an a lysts use the Trustees' in ter me diate or "best es ti mate" set of as sumptions to eval u ate the fi nan cial con dition of the So cial Se cu rity pro gram.

Under current legis lation and using intermediate as sumptions, the Trustees es ti mated in their 1999 report, re leased on March 30, 1999, that by 2014 cash dis burse ments for the programs will ex ceed cash re ceipts and by 2034 the com bined trust fund as sets, primarily in vest ments in Treasury se cu ri ties, will be ex hausted. With no change in the pro gram, in 2014 the trust funds are ex pected to be gin us ing in ter est on their in vestments to cover the cash short fall and to pay ben e fits. Starting in 2022, they "The Administration has proposed plans that would extend the life of the trust funds to at least 2050..."

would be gin re deem ing their in vest ments in Treasury securi ties to provide the needed fund ing. In 2034, trust fund as sets would be exhausted; at that time, ded i cated tax revenues would be sufficient to pay only ap proximately 71 percent of the benefits due. At the time this report was prepared, the Trustees' An nual Report was sched uled to be re leased on March 30, 2000. Its revised es ti mates will dif fer from those reported the pre vi ous year, which have been in cluded in this Fi nan cial Report.

TheAdministration has proposed plans that would ex tend the life of the trust funds to at least 2050, and intends to work with Con gress on a biparti san basis to en act long-term Social Security sol vency and reform. Acting sooner rather than later to ad dress the long-term financing needs of the pro gram will make the required changes less se vere and dis rup tive and en sure that So cial Se cu. rity works as well for future gen er a tions as it has for past gen er a tions. Additional information about the Social Security program can be found in the Stew ard ship In for mation section of this Financial Report.

Improving Government Management Overall

In addition to improving financial man age ment, the Fed eral Gov ernment has in re cent years de voted sub stantial ef forts to improving other ar eas of man age ment. These ef forts are established and re ported an nu ally by OMB as Pri or ity Manage ment Objectives (PMOs). Coordinated, sus tained and in tensive man age ment initiatives have been de signed to ad dress the is sues in the accompanying text.

Real prog ress has been made to improve program implementation and ex e cu tion through out the Govern ment, on both a Governmentwide and agency-specific basis. For ex ample:

Man aging the Year 2000 (Y2K) computerproblem. The Ad ministration's first and fore most man agement objec tive was to re solve the Y2K com puter problem. Y2K posed the sin gle larg est tech nol ogy manage ment chal lenge in his tory. The Federal Government's transition through the date change was, be yond all expectations, remark ably trouble free.

Modern izing student aid de livery. Sig nif i cant progress was made modern iz ing student aid ben e fit de livery "Coordinated, sustained and intensive management initiatives have been designed to address the issues . . . "

by ex pand ing elec tronic ac cess to ben e fits and ser vices and re form ing contracting, systems de velopment, and pro gram over sight prac tices. The new per for mance-based or gani za tion, cre ated in 1998, hired a chief operating officer, as sessed customer needs, de veloped a systems mod ern iza tion blue print, is sued a 5-year per for mance plan and re or ganized the staff into three ser vice-oriented chan nels for stu dents, schools and fi nancial in stitutions.

Reengineering the nat ural ization process and reducing the citizen ship application backlog. The De partment of Justice's Im mi gration and Naturalization Service (INS) redesigned its naturalization process to stream line and automate operations, and simulta neously reduced a backlog of more than 1.8 mil lion applications for citizen ship. In 1999, INS re duced the back log by more than 500,000 ap pli ca tions, and the av er age process ing time be tween ap plication and nat u ral ization of qualified can di dates has been re duced from 27 months in 1998 to 12 months in 1999. INS ex pects per for mance to im prove fur ther.

Improving man age ment of the decennial census. The Bu reau of the Cen sus in the De part ment of Commerce en sured that the nec es sary sup port struc ture—which in cludes open ing data cap ture cen ters, regional cen sus of fices and lo cal census of fices; print ing forms; es tab lish ing a tele phone question naire as sistance pro gram; printing language as sistance guides; and re cruiting and train ing tem po rary cen sus work ers—was es tab lished and tested and ready for op er a tion.

Priority Management Objectives

Strengthening Governmentwide Management

- 1. Use per for mance in for mation to im prove pro gram man age ment and make better bud get de ci sions.
- 2. Improve financialmanagement information.
- 3. Use cap i tal plan ning and in vest ment con trol to better manage information technology.
- 4. Provide for computer security and protect critical in for mation infrastructure.
- 5. Strengthen statistical programs.
- 6. Implementacquisitionreforms.
- 7. Implementelectronic Governmentinitia tives.
- 8. Better man age Fed eral financial port folios.
- 9. Align Fed eral hu man re sources to sup port agency goals.
- 10. Ver ify that the right per son is get ting the right ben e fit.
- 11. Stream line and sim plify Fed eral grant man age ment.
- 12. CapitalizeonFederalenergyefficiency.

Improving Program Implementation

- 13. Modernize student aid de livery.
- 14. Improve the Depart ment of Energy's (DOE's) program and contract man age ment.
- 15. Strengthen the Health Care Financing Admin is tration's (HCFA's) man agement capacity.
- 16. Im ple ment Housing and Hu man De vel op ment (HUD) re form.
- 17. Reform man agement of Indian Trust Funds.
- 18. ImplementFederalAviationAdministration(FAA) managementreforms.
- 19. Implement Internal Revenue Service (IRS) reforms.
- 20. Stream line the Social Security Administration's (SSA's) disability claims process.
- 21. RevolutionizeDepartmentofDefense(DOD) business af fairs.
- 22. Man age risks in build ing the In ter na tional Space Sta tion.
- 23. Improve security at diplomatic facilities around the world.
- 24. Reengineer the nat u ral ization process and reduce the citizen ship application back log.



The Federal Government faces daunting problems in modern iz ing its financial man. age ment sys tems. Changing tech nol ogy, as well as changing in for ma tion needs, are oc. curring sorapidly that tech nology advances in today's systems become obsolete with identification of new data and systems requirements. The cornerstone of sound financial management, as well as performance mea sure ment, is ac curate, timely and use ful infor ma tion. Many Fed eral financial systems are simply un able to pro vide the data needed to man age pro grams and make good de ci sions. The Government needs to up grade and re place many of its fi nancial man age ment sys tems.

The Fed eral Fi nan cial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA) pointed out that the development of fi nan cial manage ment sys tems that support GAAP will im prove Fed eral financialmanagement.Improve ment in financial systems de pends upon: (1) an environ ment in which finan cial managementsystems can be success fully planned, de veloped, op er ated and main tained; (2) Governmentwide systems requirements that supportinformationstandards; and (3) the avail abil ity of systems that meet the Gov ernmentwide sys tems requirements articulated in FFMIA. FFMIA sup ports and com plements the Chief Fi nan cial Offi cers (CFO) Act, the Gov ernment Performance and Re sults Act, and the Gov ernment Management Reform Act. It es tab lishes in stat ute certainfinancialmanagement system require ments that are

Controls and Compliance, cont.

al ready established by the executive branch. Spe cifically, Fed eral sys tems must comply with Fed eral Finan cial Man age ment Sys tems re quire ments, Fed eral Ac counting Stan dards and the Stan dard Gen eral Led ger, at the trans ac tion level.

The CFO Coun cil, OMB, Treasury, the Joint Fi nan cial Man age ment Improvement Program (JFMIP) and Fed eral agen cies are all work ing to im ple ment critical improvements to Fed eral financial man age ment systems in six areas: (1) plan ning and in vest ment; (2) Governmentwide and agency fi nancial man age ment sys tems in frastruc tures; (3) com prehen sive data requirements; (4) com prehen sive func tional requirements; (5) in dus try part ner ships; and (6) sys tems de ploy ment.

This past year, JFMIP im plemented a program of comprehensive test ing of ven dor core sys tems to determine compliance with JFMIP standards. Nine sys tems in volving seven ven dors have passed the rig or ous tests. Only those sys tems cert i fied by JFMIP as compliant may be pur chased by program agen cies as of Oc to ber 1, 1999.

Nu mer ous strong in ter nal controls ex ist over Fed eral as sets. These con trols in clude the ex istence of a stat u tory bud get and central ized cash man age ment, debt and disbursement functions. In ad dition, Treasury's Financial Management Ser vice (FMS) pub lishes the "Monthly Trea sury State ment of Receipts and Out lays of the United States Gov ern ment" (MTS), a summary state ment pre pared from agency accounting reports. The MTS presents the receipts, out lays, resulting bud get sur plus or deficit, and Fed eral debt for the month and the fis cal year-to-date and compares those fig ures to the same period in the pre vi ous year.

Financial Management Challenges

GAO has re ported that se ri ous financial management im provement challenges face the U.S. Govern ment. The cen tral challenge to producing re li able, use ful and timely data through out the year and at yearend is over hauling financial and re lated management information systems. Agencies also must ad dress problems with fundamental recordkeeping, incomplete doc umentation and weak internal controls before their systems can produce re li able in formation on anongoing basis.

Au dits of agency fi nan cial statements dis close de fi cien cies that impede com pli ance with GAAP and, accordingly, improved financial man age ment. As a re sult, de spite prog ress over the past year, GAO again was un able to ren der an opin ion the reliability of the on Governmentwide financial statements. The following exhibit illustrates agency prog ress to ward unqual i fied au dit opin ions on their fi nan cial state ments. (Au dits for all of the 24 ma jor agen cies were not required un til fis cal 1996.)

In 1996, only six agen cies were able to obtain clean opinions. In 1999, 13 (and ul timately per haps as many as 15) agen cies received clean opinions "While efforts have been substantial and there has been real progress, the task is extremely large . . ."

and 4 oth ers re ceived qual i fied opin ions. This leaves only five agen cies with dis claimed opin ions, a con di tion where the au di tors are un able to ren der an opin ion, gen er ally be cause of deficiencies in the ac count ing records. How ever, in a few cases, agen cies could not pre pare their fi nan cial state ments in time for the au dits to be completed within the March 1 timeframe. A total of seven agencies made some im prove ment in their au dit opin ions and four more than last year sub mit ted their state ments by the due date. While ef forts have been sub stan tial and there has been real prog ress, the task is ex tremely large and has been ham pered by Y2K work

re ceiv ing the bulk of sys tems resources in 1999. Ad di tional prog ress is ex pected in 2000.

rein.

200

While prog ress has been made, recent au dits dis closed that ma jor agencies con tinue to have se ri ous shortcom ings in fi nan cial man age ment report ing and sys tems that pre clude their fi nan cial reports from be ing audited and re ceiv ing un qual i fied opinions. These agen cies must sat is fac torily ad dress these prob lems in or der to re ceive an un qual i fied opin ion on their fi nan cial state ments and for the U.S. Gov ern ment to re ceive an unqual i fied opin ion on its fi nan cial state ments.

With re spect to intragovernmental trans ac tions, the chal lenge per tains to iden tify ing and elim i nating trans actions be tween agen cies. The au dits of the U.S. Gov ern ment's finan cial state ments for fis cal 1997 through 1999 dis closed that agen cies could noteffec tively iden tify trans ac tions with other agen cies so they could be elim i nated for Governmentwide report ing. If these trans ac tions are not prop erly elim i nated, to tal U.S. Government assets, li abilities, revenues and expenses will be mis stated by the amount of these trans ac tions.



During fis cal 1999, Trea sury continued to focus on resolving intragovernmental trans action issues. For fiduciary bal ances totaling over \$2 tril lion in volving the Bu reau of the Public Debt and the Fed eral Financing Bank, virtually all of the accounting differences have been explained so that these transactions can be elim i nated. Prog ress also has been made re gard ing intragovernmental buy ing and sell ing trans actions by using are vised elim i na tion meth od ol ogy, but work re mains to be done in this area.

Trea sury con tin ues to as sist agencies in reconciling their fund balance amount with the amount reported by Trea sury. During this past year, Treasury is sued policy state ments and guide lines for ac complishing the reconciliation. Reconciliation is an ongoing accounting function, and agencies have made sig nificant stridestoinstitutionalize the process.

	Unqualified opin ions		
	Qualified opinions		
	Opin ion dis claimers		
?	Agencies that have not yet filed.		

CFO Act Agency Audit Opinions on Financial Statements					
Agency	1996	1997	1998	1999	
USDA					
Commerce					
DOD					
Education					
DOE	Sec.				
HHS					
HUD					
DOI				?	
DOJ					
DOL					
State				?	
DOT					
Treasury					
VA					
AID					
EPA					
FEMA					
GSA					
NASA	5 (b)				
NRC					
NSF					
ОРМ					
SBA					
SSA					
Total un qual i fied	6	11	12	13	

Additional Information

Ad di tional de tails about the in for ma tion con tained in these fi nan cial state ments can be found in the fi nan cial state ments of the in di vid ual agen cies listed in the Ap pen dix. In ad di tion, re lated U.S. Gov ern ment publications such as the "Bud get of the United States Gov ern ment," the "Trea sury Bulle tin," the "Monthly Trea sury State ment of Re ceipts and Out lays of the United States Gov ern ment," the "Monthly State ment of the Public Debt of the United States," and the Trustee's re ports for the Social Se cu rity and Medicare pro grams may be of in ter est.



Comptroller General of the United States

United States General Accounting Office Washington, DC 20548

B-285019

March 28, 2000

The President The President of the Senate The Speaker of the House of Representatives

Implementation of important legislative reforms remains underway to promote greater accountability in managing the finances of our national government. These reforms include requirements for annual audited financial statements for 24 major departments and agencies as well as preparation of the financial statements of the U.S. government, which GAO is required to audit. The report on our audit of these financial statements for fiscal year 1999 is enclosed.

These financial reporting requirements are prompting steady improvements in federal financial accountability, and there has been progress toward meeting the related legislative objectives. The President has designated financial management improvement as a priority management objective and efforts are underway across government to address the pervasive, generally long-standing financial management problems discussed in our accompanying report. Thus far, 13 of 24 major agencies have received unqualified opinions on their fiscal year 1999 financial statements and others have resolved certain previously reported financial statement deficiencies. For example, the Department of Energy resolved its previously reported deficiency related to its environmental and disposal liability associated with nuclear weapons. Also, in October 1999, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants recognized federal accounting standards as a generally accepted basis of accounting, which represents a major milestone for the federal government.

At the same time, several major departments are not yet able to produce auditable financial statements on a consistent basis. There are several major obstacles to overcome, both at the agency level and in preparing reliable financial statements for the U.S. government. The deficiencies discussed in our accompanying report prevented us from being able to form an opinion on the reliability of the accompanying fiscal year 1999 financial statements, as was the case in our fiscal years 1998 and 1997 audits. These deficiencies continue to significantly impair the federal government's ability to

adequately safeguard certain significant assets, properly record various transactions, and comply with selected provisions of laws and regulations related to financial reporting. Additionally, (1) the government is unable to determine the full extent of improper payments—estimated to total billions of dollars annually—and therefore cannot develop effective strategies to reduce them, (2) serious, long-standing computer security weaknesses expose the government's financial and other sensitive information to inappropriate disclosure, destruction, modification, and fraud, and critical operations to disruption, and (3) material control weaknesses affect the government's tax collection activities.

The executive branch recognizes that, because of the extent and severity of the financial management deficiencies, addressing them will require concerted improvement efforts across government. With a concerted effort, the federal government, as a whole, can continue to make progress toward achieving accountability and generating reliable financial and management information on a timely basis and in an ongoing manner. Annual financial audits represent an important means to assure continued progress in connection with improving federal financial management.

While obtaining unqualified "clean" audit opinions on federal financial statements is an important objective, it is not an end in and of itself. The key is to take steps to continuously improve internal control and underlying financial and management information systems as a means to assure accountability, increase the economy, improve the efficiency, and enhance the effectiveness of government. These systems must generate timely, accurate, and useful information on an ongoing basis, not just as of the end of the fiscal year. Unfortunately, for fiscal year 1999, the financial management systems of almost all agencies were again found not to be in substantial compliance with the requirements of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996. In addition, while some attention to delineating core competencies and training has occurred, a great deal more needs to be done to improve financial management human capital.

Reliable financial information is essential for analyzing the government's financial condition and helping inform budget deliberations by providing additional information beyond that provided in the budget. The budget of the federal government is primarily formulated on a cash basis, which also is generally the basis for calculating the annual budget surplus or deficit. The financial statements are prepared generally on the accrual basis of accounting. The most significant difference between the budget and accrual basis of accounting is the timing of recognition and measurement of revenues and costs.

Accrual information can be used with budgetary information to provide a valuable perspective on the costs of agency programs and the government's assets and long-term commitments. This is especially important given current demographic trends and the fiscal challenges that will result.

Last year we discussed the Year 2000 challenge in our report. The federal government has met the "date change" challenge. The leadership exhibited by the legislative and executive branches and the partnerships formed by a myriad of public, private, and international organizations were critical factors behind this success.

The accompanying Financial Report and our report include certain information concerning the Social Security and Medicare (Part A) trust funds, such as projected contributions and expenditures, dates when expenditures are expected to exceed contributions, and dates when such funds are expected to be exhausted. Such information is as of January 1, 1999 for Social Security and as of September 30, 1999 for Medicare (Part A), the most recent information publicly reported by the government. The government plans to issue, on March 30, 2000, updated information as of January 1, 2000. The government's issuance of dated information in this Financial Report at about the same time that it issues more current information may cause confusion to the Congress and the public. Steps should be taken, in future years, to ensure that the government's Financial Report contains up-to-date information as of no earlier than the end of the most recent fiscal year. Because current information on the solvency of the Social Security and Medicare programs is critical to assessing the financial condition of the federal government, aiding in budget deliberations, and fostering public debate, we will include the updated information on these two important federal programs in a report that will also contain the Fiscal Year 1999 Financial Report of the United States Government.

We appreciate the cooperation and assistance we received from the Chief Financial Officers and Inspectors General throughout government, as well as Department of the Treasury and Office of Management and Budget officials, in carrying out our responsibility to audit the government's financial statements. We look forward to continuing to work with these officials and the Congress to achieve the goals and objectives associated with financial management reform.

Our report was prepared under the direction of Jeffrey C. Steinhoff, Acting Assistant Comptroller General for Accounting and Information Management, and Robert F. Dacey, Director, Consolidated Audit and Computer Security Issues. If you have any questions, please contact me on (202) 512-5500 or them on (202) 512-3317.

em Mr

David M. Walker Comptroller General of the United States



Comptroller General of the United States

United States General Accounting Office Washington, DC 20548

B-285019

The President The President of the Senate The Speaker of the House of Representatives

The Secretary of the Treasury, in coordination with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), is required to annually submit financial statements for the U.S. Government to the President and the Congress.¹ GAO is required to audit these statements. This is our report on our audit of the financial statements of the U.S. government for fiscal year 1999.²

In summary, certain significant financial systems weaknesses, problems with fundamental recordkeeping and financial reporting, incomplete documentation, and weak internal control, including computer controls, continue to prevent the government from accurately reporting a significant portion of its assets, liabilities, and costs. Some of these deficiencies primarily relate to specific major agencies; others, such as intragovernmental transactions, affect the entire government. These deficiencies affect the reliability of the accompanying financial statements and much of the related information in the Fiscal Year 1999 Financial Report of the United States Government, as well as the underlying financial information. They also affect the government's ability to accurately measure the full cost and financial performance of certain programs and effectively manage related operations.

¹The Government Management Reform Act of 1994 requires such reporting beginning with financial statements prepared for fiscal year 1997.

²Our report on the fiscal year 1998 financial statements is entitled <u>Financial Audit: 1998 Financial Report</u> of the United States Government (GAO/AIMD-99-130, March 31, 1999).

Major problems included the federal government's inability to:

- properly account for and report (1) material amounts of property, equipment, materials, and supplies and (2) certain stewardship assets, primarily at the Department of Defense;
- properly estimate the cost of certain major federal credit programs and the related loans receivable and loan guarantee liabilities, primarily at the Department of Agriculture;
- estimate and reliably report material amounts of environmental and disposal liabilities and related costs, primarily at the Department of Defense;
- determine the proper amount of various reported liabilities, including postretirement health benefits for military employees and accounts payable and other liabilities for certain agencies;
- accurately report major portions of the net cost of government operations;
- ensure that all disbursements are properly recorded; and
- properly prepare the federal government's financial statements, including balancing the statements, accounting for substantial amounts of transactions between governmental entities, properly and consistently compiling the information in the financial statements, and reconciling the results of operations to budget results.

Such deficiencies prevented us from being able to form an opinion on the reliability of the accompanying fiscal year 1999 financial statements, as was the case in our fiscal years 1998 and 1997 audits. These deficiencies continue to significantly impair the federal government's ability to adequately safeguard certain significant assets, properly record various transactions, and comply with selected provisions of laws and regulations related to financial reporting. Additionally, (1) the government is unable to determine the full extent of improper payments—estimated to total billions of dollars annually—and, therefore, cannot develop effective strategies to reduce them, (2) serious, long-standing computer security weaknesses expose the government's financial and other sensitive information to inappropriate disclosure, destruction, modification, and fraud, and critical operations to disruption, and (3) material control weaknesses affect the government's tax

collection activities. Further, the financial management systems of almost all agencies were again found not to be in substantial compliance with the requirements of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996.

Our audit and the Inspectors General (IG) audits of major component agencies' financial statements for fiscal year 1999 continue to result in (1) an identification and analysis of deficiencies in the government's recordkeeping, financial reporting, and control systems and (2) recommendations to correct them. Fixing these problems represents a significant challenge because of the size and complexity of the government and the discipline and human capital needed to follow sound financial management and reporting practices.

This report provides our (1) disclaimer of opinion on the government's fiscal year 1999 financial statements, (2) report on internal control, and (3) report on compliance with selected provisions of laws and regulations related to financial reporting. It also provides illustrations of the identified material deficiencies. A more complete discussion of these issues may be found in individual agency reports. Additionally, the report highlights certain long-term financing issues facing government. The objectives, scope, and methodology of our work are discussed in the appendix to this report. We provided a draft of this report to Department of the Treasury and OMB officials, who expressed their commitment to address the deficiencies this report outlines. We did our work in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

DISCLAIMER OF OPINION

Because we were unable to determine the reliability of significant portions of the accompanying financial statements for the reasons outlined above and described in more detail below, we are unable to, and we do not, express an opinion on the accompanying fiscal year 1999 financial statements.

Because of the serious deficiencies in the government's systems, recordkeeping, documentation, financial reporting, and controls, readers are cautioned that amounts reported in the financial statements and related notes may not be a reliable source of information for decision-making by the government or the public. These deficiencies also affect the reliability of information contained in the accompanying Management's Discussion and Analysis and any other financial management information--including information used to manage the government day-to-day and certain budget information reported by agencies--which is taken from the same data sources as the financial statements.

Further, while we have not audited and do not express an opinion on the Stewardship Information, Supplemental, or Other Information included in the accompanying Financial Report, we noted certain material omissions related to the presentation of national defense assets and issues related to the reconciliation of the results of operations to budget results, which are discussed below.

The accompanying Financial Report and our report include certain information concerning the Social Security and Medicare (Part A) trust funds, such as projected contributions and expenditures, dates when expenditures are expected to exceed contributions, and dates when such funds are expected to be exhausted. Such information is as of January 1, 1999 for Social Security and as of September 30, 1999 for Medicare (Part A), the most recent information publicly reported by the government. The government plans to issue, on March 30, 2000, updated information as of January 1, 2000. The government's issuance of dated information in this Financial Report at about the same time that it issues more current information may cause confusion to the Congress and the public. Steps should be taken, in future years, to ensure that the government's Financial Report contains up-to-date information as of no earlier than the end of the most recent fiscal year. Because current information on the solvency of the Social Security and Medicare programs is critical to assessing the financial condition of the federal government, aiding in budget deliberations, and fostering public debate, we will include the updated information on these two important programs in a report that will also contain the Fiscal Year 1999 Financial Report of the United States Government.

Material Deficiencies

The following sections describe material deficiencies that contribute to our disclaimer of opinion, discuss their effects on the financial statements and the management of government operations, and highlight certain corrective actions. Although the federal government has made steady progress, the fundamental nature of these deficiencies remains unchanged from our fiscal year 1998 and 1997 financial statement reports. Each of these deficiencies also constitutes a material weakness in internal control.³

³ A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors, fraud, or noncompliance in amounts that would be material to the financial statements may occur and not be detected on a timely basis by employees in the normal course of performing their duties.

Property, Plant, and Equipment and Inventories and Related Property

The federal government--one of the world's largest holders of physical assets-does not have adequate systems and controls to ensure the accuracy of information about the amount of assets held to support its domestic and global operations. A majority of the \$472 billion of these reported assets is not adequately supported by financial and/or logistical records. Assets that are not adequately supported include: (1) buildings, structures, facilities, and equipment, (2) various government-owned assets that are in the hands of private sector contractors, and (3) operating materials and supplies comprised largely of ammunition, defense repairable items, and other military supplies. Also, the government cannot ensure that all assets are reported. For example, no Department of Defense (DOD) contractor-held personal property was reported. Further, national defense asset unit information reported as Stewardship Information was incomplete because (1) it did not include major national defense support equipment, such as uninstalled engines and communications equipment, and (2) amounts were reported in units, rather than in dollars as required by current generally accepted accounting principles. DOD, the largest holder of these assets, has acknowledged the challenges it faces to implement effective systems and accurately record data to properly account for and report its physical assets and has a number of initiatives underway that are intended to address this problem. These initiatives are expected to span several years.

Because the government lacks complete and reliable information to support its asset holdings, it could not satisfactorily determine that all assets were included in the financial statements, verify that reported assets actually exist, or substantiate the amounts at which they were valued. For example, periodic physical counts have shown that inventory records contain significant error rates. Further, weak controls significantly impair the government's ability to detect and investigate fraud or theft of assets.

Accurate asset information is necessary for the government to (1) know the assets it owns and their location and condition, (2) safeguard its assets from physical deterioration, theft, or loss, (3) account for acquisitions and disposals of such assets, (4) prevent unnecessary storage and maintenance costs or purchase of assets already on hand, and (5) determine the full costs of government programs that use these assets.

Loans Receivable and Loan Guarantee Liabilities

As of the end of fiscal year 1999, the government reported \$184 billion of loans receivable and \$35 billion of liabilities for estimated losses related to estimated future defaults of guaranteed loans. Certain federal credit agencies, responsible for significant portions of the government's lending programs, were unable to properly estimate the cost of these programs in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and budgeting requirements. As an example, the Department of Agriculture, which represents a significant portion of loans receivable, could not estimate the net loan amounts expected to be collected because it does not maintain some of the key historical data needed to predict borrower behavior, such as the amount and timing of future defaults and prepayments. Agriculture's lack of historical data is largely the result of system inadequacies. Certain affected agencies are in the process of implementing action plans intended to develop reliable loan and loan guarantee information. Reliable information about the cost of credit programs is important in supporting annual budget requests for these programs, making future budgetary decisions, managing program costs, and measuring the performance of credit activities. Federal credit programs include direct loans and loan guarantees for farms, rural utilities, low and moderate income housing, small businesses, veterans' mortgages, and student loans.

Environmental and Disposal Liabilities

Significant portions of the liability for remediation of environmental contamination and disposal of hazardous waste, reported at \$313 billion, lacked adequate support and may not be complete. For example, the estimated cost to remove unexploded ordnance and residual contaminants from training ranges, amounting to over 40 percent of DOD's recorded liability, is not adequately supported. Also, the cost of significant estimated liabilities associated with certain major weapons systems and training ranges, initially recorded in fiscal year 1999, was reported as a current year cost, rather than as a prior period adjustment as required by generally accepted accounting principles.

Properly stating environmental and disposal liabilities and improving internal control supporting the process for their estimation could assist in determining priorities for cleanup and disposal activities and allow for appropriate consideration of future budgetary resources needed to carry out these activities. DOD, which has significant exposure for environmental and disposal liabilities, improved its initial estimate in fiscal

year 1999 by including additional categories of liabilities, such as nuclear weapons systems. Also, DOD has a project in progress that is intended to better identify and document all additional environmental and disposal liabilities.

Liabilities

Adequate systems and cost data were not available to accurately estimate the reported \$196 billion military postretirement health benefits liability included in federal employee and veteran benefits payable. Information used to develop such estimates did not include the full cost of providing health care benefits. In addition, some of the underlying patient workload data were not reliable. DOD is evaluating methods to develop a reliable estimate of this liability. Also, some agencies do not maintain adequate records or have systems to ensure that accurate and complete data were used to estimate a reported \$86 billion of accounts payable and a reported \$169 billion in other liabilities. For example, a liability was not reported for certain amounts owed to contractors that, under the terms of the contracts, were held by the government pending the acceptance of goods or services. Further, the government was unable to provide adequate information to determine whether commitments and contingencies were complete and properly reported. These problems significantly affect the determination of the full cost of the government's current operations, the value of its assets, and the extent of its liabilities.

Cost of Government Operations

The government was unable to support significant portions of the \$1.76 trillion reported as the total net cost of government operations. The previously discussed material deficiencies in reporting assets and liabilities and the lack of effective cash disbursement reconciliations and deficiencies in financial statement preparation, as discussed below, affect reported net costs. Further, we were unable to determine whether the amounts reported in the individual net cost categories on the Statement of Net Cost and in the subfunction detail in Supplemental Information were properly classified. Accurate cost information is important to the federal government's ability to control and reduce costs, assess performance, evaluate programs, and set fees to recover costs where required.

Cash Disbursement Activity

Several major agencies are not effectively reconciling cash disbursements. These reconciliations are intended to be a key control to detect and correct errors and other

misstatements in financial records in a timely manner--similar in concept to individuals reconciling personal checkbooks with a bank's records each month. Although improvements in some agency reconciliation processes have been noted, there continued to be billions of dollars of unreconciled differences between agencies' and Treasury records of cash disbursements as of the end of fiscal year 1999. As a result, the government is unable to ensure that all disbursements are properly recorded. Improperly recorded disbursements could result in misstatements in the financial statements and in certain data provided by agencies for inclusion in the President's budget concerning fiscal year 1999 obligations and outlays.

Preparation of Financial Statements

The government does not have sufficient systems, controls, or procedures to properly prepare financial statements for the U.S. government. Such deficiencies, described below, impair the government's ability to (1) properly balance the government's financial statements and account for billions of dollars of transactions between governmental entities, (2) properly and consistently compile the information in the financial statements, and (3) effectively reconcile the results of operations reported in the financial statements with budget results. Also, certain financial information required by generally accepted accounting principles was omitted from the financial statements.

<u>Unreconciled Transactions.</u> To make the financial statements balance, Treasury recorded a net \$24 billion item on the Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position, which it labeled unreconciled transactions. Treasury attributes this net out-of-balance amount to the government's inability to properly identify and eliminate transactions between federal government entities, to agency adjustments that affected net position, and to errors. An additional net \$12 billion of unreconciled transactions was improperly recorded in net cost. These unreconciled transactions result in material misstatements of assets, liabilities, revenues, and/or costs.

Agencies' accounts can be out of balance with each other, for example, when one or the other of the affected agencies does not properly record a transaction with another agency or the agencies record the transactions in different accounting periods. These out-of-balance conditions can be detected and corrected by instituting procedures for reconciling transactions between agencies on a regular basis and in a timely manner.

In fiscal year 1999, the government required agencies to reconcile certain intragovernmental accounts. Some of these accounts, such as those related to employee benefits, could not be reconciled. Also, in fiscal year 1999, the government gathered, for the first time, the detail of certain intragovernmental accounts by "trading partner"agency. Using this information, we estimated that the amounts reported for agency trading partners for these specific intragovernmental accounts were out-ofbalance by more than \$350 billion. With trading partner information, the government can begin to analyze the nature of these intragovernmental account differences and develop effective solutions. Solutions will also be required for significant differences reported in other intragovernmental accounts, primarily related to appropriations. The government stated that it plans to require agencies to reconcile additional intragovernmental accounts in fiscal year 2000 and has formed task forces to recommend solutions to this longstanding problem.

Unreconciled transactions also may arise because the government does not have effective controls over reconciling net position. The net position reported in the financial statements is derived by subtracting liabilities from assets, rather than through balanced accounting entries. Also, certain adjustments and eliminations do not balance. Such control weaknesses, combined with unbalanced transactions and the significant volume of transactions and number of reporting entities, result in misstatements in the financial statements, hinder the ability of the government to identify misstatements that may exist, and may contribute to the amount of reported unreconciled transactions.

Financial Statement Compilation. The federal government cannot ensure that the information in the financial statements of the U.S. government is properly and consistently compiled. To prepare the federal government's financial statements, about 70 agencies submit data to Treasury on approximately 2,000 separate reporting components, each having many account balances. In fiscal year 1999, the Department of Treasury, which prepares the accompanying financial statements, implemented a new process for reconciling these financial statements with the related agency financial statements. While the process identified the nature of certain inconsistencies, the government was unable to reconcile all amounts included in these financial statements with agency financial statements. Further, material adjustments and reclassifications were required to (1) make the financial statements more consistent with agency financial statements, (2) correct identified inconsistencies in reporting similar transactions, (3) conform footnote information to related financial statement line items, and (4) record other audit adjustments. We identified over \$350 billion of adjustments and

reclassifications which the government subsequently recorded, such as financial statement compilation errors that had resulted in a \$66 billion overstatement of interest cost and a \$70 billion overstatement of Medicare costs.

These problems are compounded by the substantial volume of information submitted and limitations in the federal government's general ledger (SGL) account structure. For example, some SGL accounts must be split between different financial statement line items. As a result, additional misclassifications and misstatements in the government's financial statements could exist. Also, the extensive manual intervention required to compile the federal government's financial statements requires significant resources which lessens the government's ability to perform effective financial analysis of the information. For example, because of SGL limitations, the government separately collects additional information needed to compile the financial statements. However, such additional information, historically, is initially inconsistent with the related SGL account balances by hundreds of billions of dollars. After substantial effort, such inconsistencies were reduced to an immaterial amount.

<u>Reconciling the Results of Operations With Budget Results.</u> The federal government does not yet have a process to obtain information to effectively reconcile the reported \$77 billion excess of revenue over net cost and a reported unified budget surplus of \$124 billion. Consequently, it could not identify all of the items needed to reconcile these amounts. Certain differences are expected to occur because the financial statements of the U.S. government are to be prepared on the accrual basis in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, which is a different basis than the budget. Under accrual accounting, transactions are reported when the events giving rise to the transactions occur, rather than when cash is received or paid. By contrast, federal budgetary reporting is generally on the cash basis in accordance with accepted budget concepts and policies.

Beginning in fiscal year 1998, 24 major agencies were required to reconcile their reported net costs to budget information, which could provide a basis for preparing the reconciliation. However, significant amounts reported in certain agency reconciliations, including unliquidated obligations and certain other budget information, lacked adequate supporting information and may be unreliable. For example, significant amounts of DOD transactions were not applied or were incorrectly applied to specific budget appropriations, which could misstate certain reported budget information. Once the federal government produces reliable financial statements, an effective reconciliation could help provide additional assurance of the reliability of budget results.

INEFFECTIVE INTERNAL CONTROL

Because of the effects of the material weaknesses discussed below, the federal government has not maintained effective internal control to ensure that (1) transactions are properly recorded, processed, and summarized to permit the preparation of financial statements and stewardship information in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition and (2) transactions are executed in accordance with laws governing the use of budget authority and with other laws and regulations that could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. Individual agency financial statement audit reports describe the effects of such weaknesses on specific agencies and identify additional internal control weaknesses, some of which are material to individual agencies.

In addition to the material weaknesses related to the deficiencies discussed in our disclaimer on the financial statements, we found that (1) the government's inability to determine the full extent of improper payments impairs the effective reduction of such improper payments, (2) widespread and serious computer control weaknesses affect virtually all federal agencies and significantly contribute to many of the material deficiencies discussed above, and (3) material control weaknesses affect the government's tax collection activities. Due to the deficiencies noted throughout this report, additional material weaknesses may exist that have not been reported.

Improper Payments

The government is unable to determine the full extent of improper or erroneous payments, which include payments made for unauthorized purposes, for excessive amounts, such as overpayments to program recipients or contractors and vendors, and/or not in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. Across government, improper payments occur in a variety of programs and activities, including those related to contract management, federal financial assistance, and tax refunds. Reported estimates of improper payments total billions of dollars annually.

The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has been reporting a national estimate of improper Medicare Fee-for-Service payments since fiscal year 1996. In fiscal year 1999, HHS reported estimated improper Medicare Fee-for-Service payments of \$13.5 billion, or about 8 percent of such benefits—down from \$23.2 billion or 14 percent for fiscal year 1996. HHS' reporting and analysis of improper Medicare payments has

helped lead to the implementation of several initiatives to identify and reduce such payments. Annual estimates of improper payments in future audited financial statements will provide information on the progress of these initiatives.

However, most agencies have not estimated the magnitude of improper payments in their programs, nor have they considered this issue in their annual performance plans. For example, the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) program—a refundable tax credit available to low income, working taxpayers—has historically been vulnerable to high rates of invalid claims. During fiscal year 1999, IRS examined about 573,000 suspicious tax returns claiming \$1.25 billion in EITCs and found that \$1.08 billion (86 percent) were invalid. Although the full extent of refunds resulting from invalid EITCs is unknown, the IRS has not disclosed any improper payment estimates in its financial statement reports. In another example, HHS has not reported an estimate of improper payments in its \$109 billion state-administered Medicaid program, but is currently studying methodologies for developing an estimate and has formed partnerships with various state auditors to share information on improper payments.

Improper payments can result from incomplete or inaccurate data used to make payment decisions, insufficient monitoring and oversight, or other deficiencies in agency information systems and weaknesses in internal control. The risk of improper payments is increased in programs involving (1) complex criteria for computing payments, (2) a significant volume of transactions, or (3) an emphasis on expediting payments. The reasons for improper payments range from inadvertent errors to fraud and abuse.

Without a systematic measurement of the extent of the problem, agency management cannot determine (1) if the problem is significant enough to require corrective action, (2) how much to invest in internal control, or (3) the success of efforts implemented to reduce improper payments. Developing mechanisms to identify, estimate, and report the nature and extent of improper payments in annual financial statements is only a first step for agencies. Without this fundamental knowledge, agencies cannot be fully informed about the magnitude or trends of improper payments, nor can they pinpoint or target mitigation strategies.⁴

⁴<u>Financial Management: Increased Attention Needed to Prevent Billions in Improper Payments</u> (GAO/AIMD-00-10, October 29, 1999).

In October 1999, we recommended that OMB develop and implement a methodology for annually estimating and reporting improper payments and for addressing improper payments in agencies' annual performance and strategic plans and performance reports. OMB agrees with this recommendation. In this regard, the President has made estimating and preventing improper payments a priority management objective and OMB plans to require agencies to develop and implement procedures to estimate and report the nature and extent of material improper payments in annual financial statements and have such information audited.

Computer Security Weaknesses

Continuing serious and widespread computer security weaknesses are placing enormous amounts of federal assets at risk of inadvertent or deliberate misuse, financial information at risk of unauthorized modification or destruction, sensitive information at risk of inappropriate disclosure, and critical operations at risk of disruption. Significant computer security weaknesses in systems that handle the government's unclassified information have been reported in each of the major federal agencies. The most serious reported problem is inadequately restricted access to sensitive data. Other types of weaknesses pertain to not adequately segregating duties to help ensure that people do not conduct unauthorized actions without detection, preventing unauthorized software from being implemented, and mitigating and recovering from unplanned interruptions in computer service. In today's highly computerized and interconnected environment, such weaknesses are vulnerable to exploitation by outside intruders as well as authorized users with malicious intent. Recent media reports highlight the potential damage that can result from computer security breaches.

The government cannot estimate the full magnitude of actual damage and loss resulting from federal computer security weaknesses because it is likely that many such incidents are either not detected or not reported. GAO and agency reviews illustrate the potential for negative impacts. For instance, weaknesses in DOD information security continue to provide hackers and hundreds of thousands of authorized users the opportunity to modify, steal, and destroy DOD data including financial, procurement, logistics and other sensitive information. Also, identified weaknesses at HCFA, SSA, IRS, and VA place tax, medical and other sensitive records at risk of unauthorized disclosure, modification, and destruction. Unauthorized disclosure of sensitive information has led to instances of identity theft, in which individuals use such information to commit financial crimes, such as fraudulently establishing credit and running up debts. Likewise, serious and pervasive computer security problems at EPA increase the risk that mission-related systems and

financial operations are vulnerable to tampering, disruption, and misuse. Further, pervasive weaknesses at the Department of the Treasury, which collects virtually all of the government's revenues and makes most of its disbursements, expose such collections and disbursements to significant risk of loss or fraud.

GAO and the IGs have issued numerous reports that identify information security weaknesses in the federal government and made recommendations to address them.⁵ Also, GAO has reported information security as a high-risk area across government since February 1997.⁶

Information security problems continue to persist, in large part, because agency managers have not fully established comprehensive security management programs. An effective program would include a central security function and effective procedures for assessing risks, establishing appropriate policies and related controls, raising employee awareness of prevailing risks and mitigating controls, and monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of established controls. Such programs, if properly implemented, would provide the government with a solid foundation for resolving computer security problems and managing computer security risks on an ongoing basis.

The Congress continues to express concern about the significant risks to federal government systems and information that result from computer security weaknesses. Congressional hearings have focused on specific agency deficiencies and have clarified the problem across government. Further, S. 1993, the Government Information Security Act of 1999, recently introduced in Congress, seeks to strengthen information security practices throughout the federal government.

The Administration has recognized the importance of computer security and has taken some steps to prompt improvement from a governmentwide perspective. In January

⁶<u>High-Risk Series: An Update</u> (GAO/HR-99-1, January 1999), <u>High-Risk Series: An Overview</u> (GAO/HR-97-1, February 1997), and <u>High-Risk Series: Information Management and</u> <u>Technology</u> (GAO/HR-97-9, February 1997).

⁵ See, for example, <u>Critical Infrastructure Protection: Comprehensive Strategy Can Draw on Year</u> 2000 Experiences (GAO/AIMD-00-1, October 1, 1999) and <u>Information Security: Serious</u> Weaknesses Place Critical Federal Operations at Risk (GAO/AIMD-98-92, September 23, 1998).

2000, the President released the *National Plan for Information Systems Protection*,' which calls for new initiatives to strengthen the nation's defenses against threats to public and private sector information systems that are critical to the country's economic and social welfare. In addition, the President designated computer security as a priority management objective.

Tax Collection Activities

The federal government continues to have material weaknesses in controls related to its tax collection activities, which affect its ability to efficiently and effectively account for and collect the government's revenue. This situation results in the need for extensive, costly, and time-consuming ad hoc programming and analysis, as well as material audit adjustments, to prepare basic financial information—an approach that cannot be used to prepare such information on a timely, routine basis to assist in ongoing decision-making. Additionally, the severity of the system deficiencies that give rise to the need to resort to such procedures for financial reporting purposes, as well as deficient physical safeguards, result in burden to taxpayers and lost revenue.

Serious financial management system deficiencies continue to affect the federal government's ability to effectively manage its taxes receivable and other unpaid assessments.⁸ The lack of appropriate subsidiary systems to track the status of taxpayer accounts affects the government's ability to make informed decisions about collection efforts. This weakness has resulted in the government pursuing collection efforts against individual taxpayers who had already paid their taxes in full. In addition, the government does not always pursue collection efforts against taxpayers owing taxes to the federal government. This could result in billions of dollars not being collected and adversely affect future compliance.

⁷ <u>Defending America's Cyberspace: National Plan for Information Systems Protection: Version</u> <u>1.0: An Invitation to a Dialogue</u>. Released January 7, 2000. The White House.

⁸Other unpaid assessments consist of amounts for which (1) neither the taxpayer nor a court has affirmed are owed or (2) the government does not expect further collections due to factors such as the taxpayer's death, bankruptcy, or insolvency.

The federal government also continues to be vulnerable to loss of tax revenue due to weaknesses in preventive and detective controls over disbursements for tax refunds. Although the government does have detective controls in place, they are not applied to millions of tax returns estimated to have billions of dollars in underreported tax liabilities. These conditions expose the government to potentially billions of dollars in losses due to inappropriate refund disbursements.

Also, the government does not perform sufficient up-front verification procedures to ensure the validity of amounts claimed by taxpayers as overpayments prior to making disbursements for refunds. Additionally, delays in recording tax amounts owed result in lost opportunities to retain or offset overpayments made by a taxpayer for one period to collect on outstanding amounts owed for another period, resulting in lost revenue. Finally, serious deficiencies in physical controls over cash, checks, and sensitive data received from taxpayers increase both the government's and the taxpayers' exposure to losses and increases the risk of taxpayers becoming victims of crimes committed through identity fraud.

IRS senior management has expressed a commitment to address many of these operational and financial management issues and has made a number of improvements to address some of these weaknesses. Successful implementation of long-term efforts to resolve these serious problems will require the continued commitment of IRS management as well as substantial resources and expertise.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH CERTAIN LAWS AND REGULATIONS

Tests for compliance with selected provisions of laws and regulations related to financial reporting disclosed no instances of material noncompliance. However, other instances of noncompliance, some of which are material to individual federal agencies, are reported in the individual agency financial statement audit reports. Additionally, as described below, we noted that federal systems do not substantially comply with federal financial management systems requirements. We caution that noncompliance other than that discussed in our report may occur and not be detected by these tests and that our limited testing may not be sufficient for other purposes. Further, the scope of our tests was limited by the material deficiencies discussed above. Our objective was not to, and we do not, express an opinion on overall compliance with laws and regulations.
Noncompliance With the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996

The Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA) of 1996 requires auditors, as part of financial audits of certain major agencies, to report whether agencies' financial management systems comply substantially with federal accounting standards, financial systems requirements, and the government's standard general ledger at the transaction level. Thus far, for fiscal year 1999, agency financial auditors have reported that 19 of 22 major agencies' financial systems did not comply with the act's requirements. Systems of the remaining two major agencies that have not yet issued audited fiscal year 1999 financial statements did not comply with the act's requirements for fiscal year 1999 financial statements did not comply with the act's requirements for fiscal year 1998 and 1997. Noncompliance with FFMIA, which we further discuss in our report, Financial Management: Federal Financial Management Improvement Act <u>Results for Fiscal Year 1998</u> (GAO/AIMD-00-3, October 1, 1999), is indicative of the overall continuing poor condition of agency financial systems. Also, as we reported, agency remediation plans, required by FFMIA, may not adequately address the system deficiencies. Significant time and investment are needed for agencies to address and correct these long-standing financial management systems problems.

The majority of federal agencies' financial management systems do not meet systems requirements and cannot provide reliable financial information for managing day-to-day government operations and holding managers accountable. For many agencies, the preparation of financial statements requires considerable reliance on ad hoc programming and analysis of data produced by inadequate financial systems that are not integrated, reconciled, and often require significant adjustments. As a result, reliable financial information on a day-to-day basis is not available for effective financial management. For example, as discussed above, the IRS relies on extensive, costly, and time-consuming ad hoc programming and analysis, as well as material audit adjustments, to prepare basic financial information. The significant financial management deficiencies discussed throughout this report underscore the challenge.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND BUDGET DECISIONS: ADDING THE LONG-TERM PERSPECTIVE

A view of the long-term sustainability of fiscal policies can assist decisionmakers in considering the government's financial position and making decisions about resource

allocation. Such a view requires projections of spending and revenues into the future. In this context, the sovereign power to tax and the commitments of social insurance programs—such as Social Security and Medicare—must be considered.

The accompanying Financial Report and our report include certain information concerning the Social Security and Medicare (Part A) trust funds, such as projected contributions and expenditures, dates when expenditures are expected to exceed contributions, and dates when such funds are expected to be exhausted. Such information is as of January 1, 1999 for Social Security and as of September 30, 1999 for Medicare (Part A), the most recent information publicly reported by the government. The government plans to issue, on March 30, 2000, updated information as of January 1, 2000. The government's issuance of dated information in this Financial Report at about the same time that it issues more current information may cause confusion to the Congress and the public. Steps should be taken, in future years, to ensure that the government's Financial Report contains up-to-date information as of no earlier than the end of the most recent fiscal year. Because current information on the solvency of the Social Security and Medicare programs is critical to assessing the financial condition of the federal government, aiding in budget deliberations, and fostering public debate, we will include the updated information on these two important federal programs in a report that will also contain the Fiscal Year 1999 Financial Report of the United States Government.

Commitments for the Social Security and Medicare programs are included in the Stewardship Information accompanying the financial statements. The government's 75 year estimates of the present value of expenditures in excess of contributions for the Social Security (Old Age Survivors and Disability Insurance (OASDI)) programs amounted to \$ 3.7 trillion, as of January 1, 1999, and for the Medicare (Part A) program amounted to \$3.1 trillion, as of September 30, 1999. The government's projections also indicate that Social Security and health care costs will absorb an increasing share of the federal budget.

In fiscal year 1999, Social Security trust funds reported surpluses of \$124.7 billion and Medicare (Part A) reported surpluses of \$21.5 billion, which included non-cash intragovernmental interest income of \$52.1 billion and \$9.3 billion, respectively. These surpluses contributed to the \$124.4 billion unified budget surplus. However, for example, as discussed in the accompanying Stewardship Information, using the government's best estimates as of January 1, 1999, cash disbursements of the Social

Security trust funds (OASDI) are expected to exceed cash receipts beginning in fiscal year 2014.

When trust funds' receipts exceed disbursements, they are invested in Treasury securities and used to meet current cash needs of the government. These securities are assets to the trust funds and liabilities to the Treasury. In effect, one part of the government is lending to another. As disclosed in notes 10 and 19, both the investments and liabilities, which amounted to \$2 trillion at September 30, 1999, are netted out in the accompanying financial statements. Such investments are expected to increase to over \$4 trillion in the next 20 years.

Expected cash shortfalls in the trust funds will require them to redeem their investments in Treasury securities. When this occurs, the government must fund these redemptions through some combination of future surpluses, if available, lower relative spending for other federal programs, higher relative taxes, and/or greater relative borrowing from the public. Further, under the government's projections, absent any program or financing change, the Social Security trust funds and Medicare (Part A) Trust Fund will exhaust their Treasury security holdings in 2034 and 2015, respectively.

There is general recognition that the Social Security and Medicare (Part A) programs require major reforms to deal with the long-term solvency and sustainability of these two programs. The fact that Social Security is expected to draw down its Treasury securities holdings in less than 15 years, and that it is expected that Medicare will need to do so in less time, highlights the importance of acting soon in order to avoid more dramatic changes in the future.

- - - - -

We are working with OMB, the Treasury, and other agencies across government to provide recommendations for fixing the major deficiencies cited in our audit. Considerable effort is now being exerted to address the problems, and several agencies, such as SSA, have made good progress toward achieving financial management reform goals. We have designated the most serious situations as high risk, including financial management at DOD, IRS, the Forest Service, and the Federal Aviation Administration, as well as information security.

In addition, the continued coordinated efforts of the Treasury and OMB will be required to provide solutions for certain governmentwide deficiencies, such as the inability to properly identify and eliminate transactions between federal entities and the compilation of the financial statements. We will continue to provide suggestions for resolving governmentwide problems and to evaluate progress in overcoming them.

David M. Walker Comptroller General of the United States

March 20, 2000

APPENDIX

OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

The federal government is responsible for

- preparing the annual financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles;
- establishing, maintaining, and assessing internal control to provide reasonable assurance that the broad control objectives of the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act (FMFIA) are met⁹; and
- complying with applicable laws and regulations and FFMIA requirements.

Our objective was to audit the fiscal year 1999 financial statements.

The Government Management Reform Act expanded on the requirements of the CFO Act by requiring that the IGs of 24 major federal agencies annually audit agencywide financial statements prepared by these agencies.¹⁰ Our work was performed in close coordination and cooperation with the IGs to achieve our joint audit objectives. This work included separate GAO audits of certain material agency components, as discussed below. Our audit approach focused on the Departments of the Treasury, Defense, and Health and Human Services and the Social Security Administration. These agencies comprise a major portion of the amounts reported in the federal government's financial statements. At other federal agencies, we focused largely on accounts that are material to the financial statements. Additionally, for two agencies, information has been included in these financial statements for fiscal year 1999. Therefore, we were unable to determine the reliability of the amounts included in the accompanying financial statements for these agencies. We performed sufficient audit work to provide our report on the financial statements, internal control, and compliance with laws and regulations.

⁹The FMFIA requires agency managers to evaluate and report annually to the President on the adequacy of their internal controls and accounting systems and what is being done to correct the problems.

¹⁰GMRA authorized OMB to designate agency components that also would receive a financial statement audit.

APPENDIX

We separately audited the following material agency components.

• We audited and expressed an unqualified opinion on the IRS statement of custodial activity for fiscal year 1999. IRS was able to reliably report on the results of its custodial activities, including nearly \$1.9 trillion of tax revenue, \$185 billion of tax refunds, and \$21 billion of net federal taxes receivable. However, we issued an opinion on the IRS balance sheet that was qualified for the components of net position, disclaimed an opinion on its statements of net cost, changes in net position, budgetary resources, and financing, and reported numerous material internal control weaknesses.¹¹

- We audited and expressed an unqualified opinion on the Schedule of Federal Debt Managed by Treasury's Bureau of the Public Debt for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1999.¹² This schedule reported (1) over \$3.6 trillion of federal debt held by the public comprising individuals, corporations, state or local governments, the Federal Reserve System, and foreign governments and central banks, (2) \$2 trillion of federal debt held by federal entities, such as the Social Security trust funds, and (3) \$230 billion of interest on federal debt held by the public.
- We performed audit procedures on cash balances maintained and internal controls over the cash receipts and disbursements processed by Treasury on behalf of the federal government. We provided the results of our work to the Treasury Office of Inspector General for consideration in its audit of the Treasury's fiscal year 1999 departmentwide financial statements.

¹²Financial Audit: Bureau of the Public Debt's Fiscal Years 1999 and 1998 Schedules of Federal Debt (GAO/AIMD-00-79, March 1, 2000).

¹¹<u>Financial Audit: IRS' Fiscal Year 1999 Financial Statements</u> (GAO/AIMD-00-76, February 29, 2000).

APPENDIX

• We audited and expressed unqualified opinions on the December 31, 1998, financial statements for the funds administered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), including the Bank Insurance Fund, the Savings Association Insurance Fund, and the FSLIC Resolution Fund.¹³ In addition, we performed audit procedures and tests of internal controls for cash, investments, and other material balances of the funds administered by FDIC as of September 30, 1999.

At CFO Act agencies and other agencies, we reviewed the fiscal year 1999 financial statement audits performed by the IGs or their contractors and, for certain agencies, assisted in the development of audit plans for fiscal year 1999 audits. Financial statements and audit reports for these agencies provide additional information about the operations of each of these entities. For example, these audits have identified numerous internal control and accounting systems weaknesses and noncompliance with laws and regulations, some of which are material to the respective agencies or components. Further, as of the completion of our field work on March 20, 2000, 22 of the 24 CFO Act agencies had received audit opinions or disclaimers on their fiscal year 1999 financial statements. Of the 22 agencies, 13 received unqualified opinions. These agencies are the

Social Security Administration, National Science Foundation, General Services Administration, Department of Energy National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Department of Labor, Small Business Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Commerce, Department of Health and Human Services, Department of Transportation, and Department of Veterans Affairs.

¹³Financial Audit: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's 1998 and 1997 Financial Statements (GAO/AIMD-99-202, June 30, 1999).

Intentional Blank Page

Financial Statements of the United States Government for the Year Ended September 30, 1999

Statement of Operations and Changes

This State ment re ports the re sults of Gov ern ment op er a tions. This in cludes reve nues prin cipally gen er ated by the Gov ern ment's sover eign power to tax, levy du ties, and as sess fines and pen al ties. This state ment cov ers the cost of Govern ment op er a tions, net of reve nue earned from the sale of goods and ser vices to the pub lic. It also in cludes any ad just ments and un rec on ciled trans ac tions that af fect the net po si tion.

Revenue

The main source of revenue for Government operations consists of taxes and other revenue the Federal Government generates under its sover eign powers.

"In dividual In come Tax and Tax With holdings" consist of Federal in dividual in come taxes, So cial Se curity taxes, Medicare taxes and rail road retire ment taxes, net of related refunds.

"Miscellaneous earned revenue" consists of earned revenues received from the public with virtually no associated cost. This category in cludes

revenues generated from spectrum auctions and rents and roy al ties on the Outer Con tinental Shelf Lands.

Net Cost of Government Operations

The State ment of Net Cost sum marizes the "Net cost of Government operations," which is gross cost minus earnedrevenue.

Unreconciled Transactions

"Unreconciled transactions" are ad just ments made to balance the change in net position.

Net Position, Beginning of Period

The "Net po si tion, be gin ning of pe riod" re flects the net po sition re ported on the prior year's Bal ance Sheet.

Prior Period Adjustments

"Prior period adjust ments" are re vi sions to cor rect the begin ning net po si tion.

Net Position, End of Period

This amount re flects the net position on the current year's Bal ance Sheet.

Statement of Net Cost

This State ment presents the net cost of fis cal 1999 Govern ment operations. It also shows the cost to carry out na tional prior i ties as determined by law.

It also cat e go rizes costs by ma jor function. It pres ents costs in much the same way as does the bud get, ex cept that costs are al lo cated to func tions based on ac count ing stan dards. Thus, this Statement reports costs on an ac crual ba sis and in some cases al lo cates them dif ferently than the bud get. For ex am ple, this State ment al lo cates the cost of pen sions and retiree health ben e fits among all the func tions that employ work ers. The bud get cat e go. rizes pen sion pay ments to ci vil ian re tir ees as asubfunction, found under "In come se curity." The bud get cate gorizes agency contributions to retirement funds as intragovernmental out lays distributed among all the func tions that em ploy workers. A de scrip tion of each of the functions and the components of net cost for the ac tiv i ties in cluded in each func tion is pre sented in Supplemental Informationas "Net cost de tail."

This State ment con tains the follow ing three com po nents for each function:

> • The gross cost of Gov ernmentoperations.

• The rev e nues earned from the sale of goods and pro vision of ser vices to the public. • The net cost of Gov ernment op er a tions, which is gross cost less rev e nue earned.

Gross Cost

"Gross cost" in cludes the full cost of all func tions. These costs may be di rectly traced, as signed on a cause and effect ba sis, or rea son ably al lo cated to the func tion.

Earned Revenue

This is revenue the Government earned by providing goods and services to the public at a price.

Net Cost

The "Net cost" of Gov ern ment op er a tions is com puted by subtracting "Earned revenues" from "Gross cost."

Balance Sheet

The Bal ance Sheet shows the Gov ern ment's as sets and li a bil i ties. When combined with Stew ard ship In formation, this in formation presents a more comprehen sive under standing of the Gov ern ment's finan cial posi tion. Most line items on the Bal ance Sheet are de scribed in the Notes to the Finan cial State ments. The first note, for ex am ple, provides in for mation on the accounting policies for as sets and liabilities.

Assets

As sets in cluded on the Bal ance Sheet are re sources of the Fed eral Government that re main avail able to meet fu ture needs. The most sig nificant as sets that are re ported in the Bal ance Sheet are loans re ceiv able and in ven to ries, as well as property, plant and equip ment. There are, however, other significant resources avail able to the Gov ern ment that ex tend be yond the as sets pre sented in this Fi nan cial State ment. Those assets in clude Stew ard ship As sets and the Govern ment's sover eign powers to tax, reg u late com merce and set mon e tary policy. They also in clude natural resources.

Se lected as sets are high lighted in the Stew ard ship In for mation section of this re port to dem on strate the Federal Gov ern ment's ac count ability for these as sets. Stew ard ship as sets in clude na tional de fense as sets, steward ship land and her i tage assets.

National defense assets

"Na tional de fense as sets" are weapon sys tems and sup port ing assets used by the mil i tary for the Nation's com mon de fense and general wel fare.

Stewardship land

"Stew ard ship land" is land that the Fed eral Gov ern ment does not ex pect to use to meet its ob li ga tions, un like the as sets listed in the Bal ance Sheet. This land in cludes land set aside for the use and en joy ment of present and fu ture gen er a tions and land on which mil i tary bases are located. Stew ard ship land is mea sured in non-financial units such as acres of land and lakes, miles of parkways, and miles of wild andscenic rivers. Ex am ples of stew ard ship land in clude na tional parks, na tional for ests, wil der ness ar eas, and land used to en hance eco sys tems to encour age an i mal and plant spe cies and to con serve na ture.

Heritage assets

"Her i tage as sets" are Gov ern ment-owned as sets that have one or more of the follow ing char ac ter istics: his tor i cal or nat u ral sig nifi



cance; cultural, educational, or artistic im por tance; or sig nif i cant architectural features. The cost of her i tage as sets of ten is not deter mina ble or rel e vant to their sig nif i cance. Like stew ard ship land, the Gov ern ment does not ex pect to use these as sets to meet its ob li ga tions. The most rel e vant in for mation about her i tage as sets is non-financial. Exam ples of her i tage as sets in clude: the Declaration of Independence, the Con stitution and the Bill of Rights pre served by the Na tional Ar chives. Also in cluded are national mon u ments such as the Viet nam Vet erans Me morial, Jeffer son Me morial and the Washing ton Mon u ment as well as art and cul tural trea sures at the Smith sonian Institution and the Li brary of Con gress.

Many other sites such as the bat tle fields, his toric struc tures and national his toric land marks also are placed in this category.



Li a bil i ties are ob li ga tions of the Federal Govern ment re sult ing from prior ac tions that will re quire fi nan cial re sources. The most sig nif i cant li a bil i ties re ported on the Bal ance Sheet are Fed eral debt se cu ri ties held by the pub lic and ac crued pen sion li a bil i ties for cur rent and re tired Federal civilian and military personnel. Li a bili ties also in clude so cial in sur ance ben e fits due and pay able as of the re port ing date.

As with re ported as sets, the Gov ern ment's responsi bil i ties and policy com mit ments are much broader than these re ported Bal ance Sheet Ii a bilities. They include the social insurance pro grams dis closed in Stew ard ship In for mation, a wide range of other pro grams un der which the Govern ment pro vides ben e fits and ser vices to the people of this Nation, and cer tain fu ture loss contingencies.

The mag ni tude and com plex ity of so cial insur ance pro grams, cou pled with the ex treme sensitivity of projections relating to the many as sump tions of the pro grams, pro duce a large range of pos si ble re sults. The Steward ship Respon si bil ities section de scribes the so cial in surance pro grams, re ports long-range estimates that can be used to as sess the fi nan cial con di tion of the pro grams, and ex plains some of the fac tors that im pact the var i ous pro grams. Using this infor mation, read ers can ap ply their own judg ment as to the sol vency and sustainability of the in divid ual pro grams.

Each of the so cial in sur ance pro grams has an as so ci ated trust fund to ac count for its ac tiv ity. A n ex pla na tion of the trust funds for so cial in sur ance and many of the other large trust funds is in cluded in Note 19—Ded i cated Col lections. That note also contains in for mation about trust fund receipts, dis burse ments and as sets.

A broad per spec tive on the Fed eral Gov ernment's re spon si bil i ties is provided by the Current Ser vices As sess ment, which also can be found un der Stew ard ship In for mation. Presented in ac cor dance with the Pres i dent's bud get, this in formationestimates Federal expenditures and re ceipts for fis cal 2000 to 2005, provided there are no changes to cur rent law.

The Gov ern ment has entered into contractual com mit ments requiring the future use of financial resources and also has un resolved con tingencies where existing conditions, situations or circum stances create uncertainty about future losses. Com mit ments as well as con tingen cies that do not meet the criteria for recog nition as a li a bility on the Bal ance Sheet, but for which there is at least a rea son able pos si bility that a loss has been in curred, are dis closed in Note 18—Com mitments and Contingencies.

"Net po si tion" is pre sented as the sum of Balance Sheet as sets less Bal ance Sheet Ii a bil i ties.

The large neg a tive net po si tion amount does not im ply that the Gov ern ment is in sol vent. Because of its sov er eign power to tax, and the country's wide eco nomic base, the Gov ern ment has unique ac cess to fi nan cial re sources to finance its debts. This pro vides the Fed eral Govern ment the abil ity to meet present ob ligations and those that are an tic i pated from fu ture op er ations.

United States Government Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position for the Year Ended September 30, 1999

(In bil lions of dol lars)

Revenue:

Individual income tax and tax withholdings	1,456.0
Corporation income taxes	1822
Unemployment taxes	25.6
Excise taxes	70.5
Estate and gift taxes	27.7
Customs duties	18.4
Other taxes and receipts	42.0
Miscellaneous earned revenues	10.5
Total revenue	1,8329
Net Cost of Government Operations:	
National defense	413.2
Human resources	905.3
Physical resources	95.1
Interest	230.1
Other functions	1123
Total net cost of Government operations	1,756.0
Excess of revenue over net cost	
Unreconciled transactions affecting the change in net position (Note 16)	24.4
Increase in net position	101.3
Net position, beginning of period	(6,1344)
Prior Period Adjustments (Note 17)	69
Net position, end of period=	(6,0262)
Net position, end of period	

The ac com pany ing notes are an in tegral part of these financial statements.

Intentional Blank Page

United States Government Statement of Net Cost for the Year Ended September 30, 1999

(In bil lions of dol lars)	Gross Cost	Earned Revenue	Net Cost
National defense.	451.2	38.0	413.2
Hu man Resources:			
Education, training, employment			
and social services	57.9	1.4	56.5
Health	140.6	0.7	139.9
Medicare	207.0	21.7	185.3
Income security	188.0	6.2	181.8
Social Security	387.7	-	387.7
Veterans benefits and services (Note 11)	(43.2)	2.7	(459)
Total human resources	938.0	32.7	905.3
Physical Resources:			
Energy	12.9	12.4	05
Natural resources and environment	27.1	2.9	24.2
Commerce and housing credit	89.2	73.9	15.3
Transportation	44.1	1.1	43.0
Community and regional development	14.9	2.8	12.1
Total physical resources	188.2	93.1	95.1
Interest	230.1	-	230.1
Other Functions:			
International affairs	29.6	9.6	20.0
General science, space and technology	17.5	0.1	17.4
Agriculture	27.2	2.4	24.8
Administration of justice	31.2	1.6	29.6
General government	25.1	4.6	20.5
Total other functions	130.6	18.3	1123
Total	1,938.1	182.1	1,7560

The ac com pany ing notes are an in tegral part of these financial statements.

United States Government Balance Sheet as of September 30, 1999

(In bil lions of dol lars)

Assets:

Cash and other monetary assets (Note 2)	115.2
Accounts receivable (Note 3)	35.0
Loans receivable (Note 4)	183.7
Taxes receivable (Note 5)	22.7
Inventories and related property (Note 6)	173.3
Property, plant and equipment (Note 7)	298.8
Other assets (Note 8)	54.3
Total assets	883.0
Liabilities :	
Accounts payable (Note 9)	85.8
Federal debt securities held by the public (Note 10)	3,631.6
Federal employee and veteran benefits payable (Note 11)	2,600.7
Environmental and disposal liabilities (Note 12)	313.2
Benefits due and payable (Note 13)	73.8
Loan guarantee liabilities (Note 4)	35.1
Other liabilities (Note 14)	169.0
Total liabilities	6,909.2
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 18)	
Net Position	(6,026.2)
Total liabilities and net position	883.0

The ac com pany ing notes are an in te gral part of these finan cial state ments.

Intentional Blank Page

United States Government Stewardship Information for the Year Ended September 30, 1999 (Unaudited)

Stewardship Assets

The Fed eral Gov ern ment holds "Stew ard ship as sets" for the bene. fit of the Na tion. Be cause the Gov ern ment has been en trusted with, and made ac count able for, these re sources and re spon si bil i ties, they are rec og nized in the *Fi nan cial Re port of the United States Gov ernment*.

When ac quired, "Stew ard ship as sets" are treated as ex penses in the finan cial state ments. This section provides more detailed stew ard-ship in for mation on these resources to high light their long-term benefit and to demon strate ac count ability. This in for mation facilitates the understanding of the operations and financial condition of the Government.

National Defense Assets

Na tional de fense property, plant and equip ment con sist of: (1) as sets owned by the De partment of De fense in the per formance of mil i tary mis sions, such as com bat op er a tions, peacekeep ing and sup port of ci vil ian au thori ties during civil emer gencies; and (2) ves sels held in a pres er vation status by the Maritime Administration's National De fense Re serve Fleet.

"National de fense as sets" are de fined in terms of four cat e gories:

Weapons sys tems— equipment that launches, re leases, carries, or fires a par tic u lar piece of ord nance and/or carries weap ons systems-related property, equipment, materials, or per son nel. Ex am ples in clude air craft, ships, tracked com bat ve hi cles and missiles.

> • Weapons sys tems sup port principal end items —items that are ac quired to sup port weapons sys tems and may ultimately be in corporated in weapons sys tems. Ex amples in clude air craft engines, tank en gines, air craft ra dars, ship so nar, uninstalled mis sile mo tors, gun mounts and guidance sys tems.

• Mis sion sup port equip. ment-deployable equipment that: (1) is essential to the effective operation of a weaponssystem or is used by the military departments to effectively per form their mil i tary missions; (2) has an in de ter minate or unpredictable use ful life due to the man ner in which it is used; and (3) is at a very high risk of be ing de stroyed dur ing use or of pre ma ture ob so lescence.

National Defense Assets, cont.

Examples include: sur veillance unmanned air ve hicles, non-tactical ve hicles (e.g., fuel tank ers, com bat operations centers, mess vehicles), field meteorological systems, cryptog raphy systems, and field security systems.

Weapons sys tems sup port real property—facilities and structures af fixed to the land that are in tegral to aweaponssystem. Examples include am munition bunk ers in active use and mis sile si los in ac tive use.

The accompanying "National defense as set" in for mation does not report quantities of mission support equip ment al though the an nual investments in these items are re ported.

The investment amounts in "National defense as sets" presented in this re port re flect the sum of an nual investment amounts re ported by eachmilitary department. DOD does not cur rently have cost ac counting systems that cap ture the full costs, as de scribed in State ment of Fed eral Fi nancial Ac counting Standards (SFFAS) No. 4 as so ci ated with "National de fense as sets." There fore, the annual in vest ments shown in this re port rep re sent annual dis burse ments for each cat e gory of "National de fense as sets."

National Defense Assets

	Restated* Balance as of September 30,			Balance as of September 30
(In number of systems or items)	1998	Additions	Deletions	1999
Aircraft:				
Combat	8,660	52	351	8,361
Airlift	6,059	23	148	5,934
Other aircraft	3,740	67	247	3,560
Ships:				
Submarines	123	1	7	117
Aircraft carriers	18	-	-	18
Surface combatants	269	26	1 <u>3</u>	282
Amphibious warfare ships	83	-	7	76
Mine warfare ships	38	1	-	39
Support ships	241	6	33	214
Other ships	3,921	55	229	3,747
Combat Vehicles:				
Tracked		328	684	44,166
Wheeled		1,596	-	141,972
Towed			78	6,966
Other combat vehicles	12,744	829	19	13,554
Guided, Self-propelled Ordnance:				
Missiles		18,094	6,832	464,318
Torpedoes	8,486	216	29	8,673
Space Systems:				
Satellites	78	8	1	85
Weapons Systems Support Real Prope	rty:			
Active ammunition bunkers	23.468	398	756	23,110
Active missile silos.	993	1	158	836
Active satellite ground stations	81	-		81
Reserve Fleet Vessels	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	144

*The bal ances as of Sep tem ber 30, 1998, have been re stated to re flect changes from pre vious year's re port ing.

n.a. = Not available

Investments in National Defense Assets for the Period Ended September 30, 1999

(In millions of dollars)	
Aircraft: Combat Airlift Other aircraft Aircraft support principal end items Other aircraft support property, plant and equipment	6,901 4,354 2,662 1,387 1,418
Ships: Surface combatants Submarines Ship support principal end items Aircraft carriers Aircraft carriers Amphibious warfare ships Support ships Mine warfare ships Other ships Other ship support property, plant and equipment	3,591 1,409 852 823 581 371 73 30 6
Combat Vehicles: Combat vehicle support principal end items Tracked Wheeled Other combat vehicles support property, plant and equipment	1,199 354 261 1
Guided, Self-propelled Ordnance: Missiles	1,299 815 245 34 70
Space Systems: Satellites Space systems support principal end items	1,438 558
Weapons systems support real property: Active ammunition bunkers General mission support property, plant and equipment	19 5,274
Other: Other weapons systems Other weapons systems support principal end items Other weapons support property, plant and equipment	115 37 62
Reserve fleet vessels	1,905 38,144

Stewardship Land

"Stew ard ship Land" re fers to fed er ally owned land that is not used, or held for use, in "General Gov ern ment" op er a tions. This cat e gory in cludes land on which mil i tary bases are lo cated. This cat e gory ex cludes lands admin is tered by the Bu reau of In dian Af fairs and held in trust.

Most Stew ard ship land is "public do main." Be tween 1781 and 1867, the Gov ern ment ac quired acres of land equal to 79.4 per cent of the cur rent acre age of the United States, spend ing a to tal of \$85.1 mil lion.

United States Government Stewardship Land as of September 30

(In millions of acres)	Predominate Use	Acres	Percent- age
Agency:			
Bureau of Land Management	Public land	264.2	40.9
U.S. Forest Service	National Forest system	192.0	29.8
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	National Wildlife Refuge system	88.6	13.7
National Park Service	National Park system	77.9	12.1
Department of Defense	Defense facilities	16.7	26
Bureau of Reclamation	Water, power and recreation	5.8	0. 9
Total acres		645.2	100.0

Bureau of Land Management

The De part ment of the Interior's Bureau of Land Man age ment (BLM) man ages 264.2 mil lion acres of fed er ally owned land. Con gress has charged the Bu reau with main tain ing this land and its re sources to best serve the pres ent and fu ture needs of the Amer i can peo ple. To ward this end, BLM man ages these lands to allow for a combination of uses in cluding mineral de velop ment, out door recreation and nat ural habitat. Some BLM lands are protected and used for their scenic, scientific or his tor i cal value. The follow ing ta ble de scribes those hold ings.

	Number	Acreage (in thousands)	Miles
National wild and scenic river segments	34	998	2,038
National wilderness areas	136	5,243	-
Wilderness study areas	622	17,298	-
National conservation areas	8	11,692	-
National scenic areas	1	101	-
National recreation areas	1	1,000	-
National historic trails	8	-	3,533
National scenic trails	2	-	568
National recreation trails	26	-	429
Outstanding natural areas	1	-	-
Herd management areas	200	36,070	-
National monuments	1	1,880	-
Areas of critical environmental concern	740	13,112	-
Research natural areas	152	347	-
National natural landmarks	43	599	
National back country byways	64	-	3,518
Globally important bird areas	2	57	-
National "multiple use" lands	-	175,775	-
BLM total	2,041	264,172	10,086

U.S. Forest Service

The U.S. For est Ser vice man ages 192.0 million acres of fed er ally owned lands for the sus tained use of out door rec re ation, range, tim ber, wa ter shed, wild life and fish.

For est land con tains 155 named Na tional For ests to taling 153.0 mil lion acres.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

The U.S. Fish and Wild life Service man ages 88.6 mil lion acres of fed er ally owned lands held primarily for wild life conservation. It has five goals:

- Pre serve, re store and enhance in their natural ecosystems, all spe cies of an i mals and plants en dan gered or threatened.
- Perpetuate the migratory bird resource.

• Preserve a nat u ral di ver sity and abun dance of fauna and flora.

- Provide an under standing and ap pre ci a tion of fish and wild life ecology.
- Provide refuge visitors a safe, whole some and en joyable recreational experience oriented to ward wild life.

The U.S. Fish and Wild life Servicesubdivides its man age ment re-

The For est Ser vice re for ested 267,013 acres primar ily with ge net i cally im proved seed lings in fiscal 1999.

Wilder ness land contains 34.8 million acres in 38 states and is served by 133,087 miles of trails.

The U.S. For est Ser vice also man ages 20 named grass lands on 3.8 mil lion acres and about 4,348 miles of the wild and scenic river system.

spon si bil ity into the following categories:

- "Na tional Wild life Refuges" (521 sites on 87.6 million acres).
- "Refuge Coordination areas" (50 sites on 197,049 acres).
- "Wa ter fowl Production areas" (200 sites on 715,200 acres).
- "Fisheries Re search Centers" (83 sites on 16,083 acres).
- "Wild and Scenic Rivers" (8 rivers to tal ing 1,258 miles in length).

National Park Service

The Na tional Park Ser vice man ages 77.9mil lion acres of fed er ally owned lands. These lands are set aside to conserve scenery, na ture, his toric ob jects and wild life so that cur rent and fu ture gener ations of Amer i cans can en joy them.

Other types of park ar eas in clude: national rivers, park ways, na tional lake shores, his toric parks, sce nic trails, wild and sce nic rivers, mil i tary parks, reserves, and bat tle fields.

Department

of Defense

Summary of Acreage

(In millions of acres)	Acreage
Type of Park Area:	
National parks	49.6
National preserves	21.4
National recreation areas	3.4
National monuments	1.9
National seashores	0.5
Other park areas	1.1
Total acres	77.9
10 at a the 10 and 10 and 10 at 10	

The De part ment of De fense uses 16.7 mil lion acres of fed er ally owned land for mis sion es sen tial pur poses in cluding:

- Military bases
- Installations
- Training ranges





Heritage Assets

The Fed eral Gov ernment in ven to ries, proserves and in ter prets vast num bers of her i tage as sets for the ben e fit of the American public. These as sets en com pass many of the Na tion's most precious his toric, nat ural and cul tural re sources. Her i tage as sets are unique property, plant and equipment with:

- His toric or nat u ral significance.
- Cultural, educa tional or ar tis tic im portance.
- Significantarchitectural characteristics.

The public entrusts the Government with these

as sets and holds it ac count able for their preser vation. Ex am ples of heritage as sets in clude the Washing ton Mon u ment, Dec la ration of In dependence, YosemiteNational Park and mu seum ob jects on dis play at the Smith sonian Institution.

The following discussion of the Government'sheritage as sets is not all-inclusive. Rather, it high lights



Source: Na tional At las of the United States of America, U.S. Geological Survey

significantheritage assets reported by Fed eral agencies.

The Gov ern ment class i fies her i tage as sets into three broad cat e go. ries:

- Collection-type
- Natural
- Cultural

Collection-typeheritageassets in clude objects gath ered and maintained for mu seum and li brary collections. Natural heritageassets include na tional wilder ness ar eas, wild and sce nic rivers, nat ural landmarks, for ests and grass lands. Cultural her i tage as sets in clude his toric places and struc tures, me mori als and mon u ments, na tional cem e teries, and ar che ological sites.

See the Stew ard ship Land sec tion for the total acre age of some natural her i tage as sets such as National Forests. Collection-type Heritage Assets

The Smith so nian Institution holds some of the most prom i nent Federal museum collections. The Smith so nian acquires, protects and preserves ap proximately 140 million in dividual objects for publicex hibition, education and research.

Sim i larly, the Li brary of Congress holds the world's larg est library collection. That collection com prises more than 115 million items. The Li brary re ceives two cop ies of every book, pam phlet, map, print, pho to graph and piece of mu sic reg is tered for copy right in the United States.

The National Archives holds more than 2 mil lion cu bic feet of records. These records en sure ready ac cess to es sen tial in for ma. tion documenting the rights of citizens, actions of Federal of ficials and the effects of those actions on thenational experience. These records in clude text and leg is la tive records; car to graphic and ar chitectural records; motion picture, sound and video re cords; and still pictures and graphics. The National Ar chives also main tains historicallyimportantdocuments such as the U. S. Con sti tu tion and theLouisianaPurchase Treaty.







The Na tional Reg is ter of His toric Places lists his toric places and structures. This is America's official list of cultural resources wor thy of preservation. Official properties in clude districts, sites, build ings, struc tures and objects significant to American his tory. It also in cludes significant architectural, archaeological engineering and cultural properties. For est Service land encompasses 887 such properties.

The Nation's monuments and memorials include the Washington Monument, the Viet nam Vet erans Memorial and the Jeffer son Memorial in Wash ing ton, D.C. The Na tional Park Ser vice man ages these. Also, the American Battle Monuments Com mis sion man ages 27 me morials, mon u ments and mark ers around the world. This in cludes the Belleau Wood Marine Mon u ment in France.

Ar cheological sites contain there mains of human activity. The Department of the Interior man ages over 290,000 ar cheological sites. The an cient earthen mounds at the Hopewell Culture National Historic Site in Ohio are notable ex am ples.

National cemeteries in clude the Arlington National Cemetery in Vir ginia and the Fort Logan National Cemetery in Colorado. The Depart ment of the Army man ages the Arlington National Cemetery. The Depart ment of Veterans Affairs manages Fort Logan National Cemetery and 118 other cemeteries.

Stewardship Responsibilities

a cintantati

Stew ard ship Responsibilities provides information on the largest Social Insurance pro grams: Social Security, Medicare, Railroad Retirement, Black Lung and UnemploymentInsurance. Its purpose is to assist the American people in evaluating the financial condition and sustainability of these pro grams.



Social Security Estimated Trust Fund Balances

Con gress passed the So cial Se curity Act in 1935. The Act, as sub sequently amended, in cludes pro grams that pro vide re tire ment and dis ability benefits.

Social

Security

Congresses tab lished two trust funds for So cial Se cu rity: The Federal Old-Age and Sur vi vors In sur ance (OASI) and the Fed eral Dis ability In sur ance (DI) Trust Funds (OASDI). OASI pays re tire ment and sur vi vors ben e fits and DI pays ben e fits to dis abled work ers.

Revenue to OASDI consists primar ily of taxes on earnings paid by employees, their employers and the self-employed. OASDI also receives revenue from the in come taxes on some Social Security and interest on its in vest ments in Federal debt securities. So cial Security revenues not needed to pay cur rent ben efits or administrative expenses are invested in special-issue Federal debt securities. Those securities are guar an teed as to both principal and interest and backed by the full faith and credit of the Government.

The Board of Trustees of the OASI and DI Trust Funds pro vides in its An nual Report to the President and Con gress short-range (10 year) and long-range (75 year) ac tu ar ial es timates of each trust fund. Be cause of the in her ent un cer tainty in esti mates for 75 years into the fu ture, the Board of Trustees uses three al ter na tive sets of eco nomic and de mo graphic assump tions to show the range of pos sibilities. As sumptions are made about many economic, and de mographic factors, including gross domestic product, earnings, the Consumer Price Index (CPI), the unem ploy ment rate, the fer til ity rate, im mi gration, mortality, and disability incidence and terminations. The assumptions used in the ac com pany ing tables gener ally referred to as the "inter mediate as sumption," reflect the best estimate of expected future experience, under cur rent law.

The present values of ac tu ar iales timates were com puted as of Jan u ary 1, 1999, the be gin ning of the val u a tion period. The ac tu ar ial es ti mated contri bu tions equal the sum of the present value of all es ti mated non-interest income dur ing the period. The ac tu ar ial esti mated ex pendi tures equal the sum of the pres ent value of all es ti mated pay ments during the valuation period. These es ti mates were pre pared using the financing method deemed the most ap propriate by both Congress and the Board of Trustees. Es ti mates as sume the program will cover fu ture work ers as they enter the labor force.

Undercurrentlegislationandusing intermediate as sumptions, the DI and OASITrust Funds are pro jected to be ex hausted in 2020 and 2036 re spectively. Com bined OASDI expenditures will ex ceed cur rent tax in come be gin ning in 2014 and will ex ceed total current in come (in cluding current interestin come) for calendar years 2022 and later. Thus, cur rent tax income plus a por tion of an nual in terest in come will be needed to meet ex pendi tures for the years 2014 through 2021. There after, in ad dition to current tax in come and cur rent in ter est in come, a por tion of the prin ci pal (com bined OASDI as sets) will be needed each year un til the trust fund as sets are to tally ex hausted in 2034. At that point, cur rent tax in come will be suf fi cient to pay only ap prox imately 71 per cent of the ben e fits due.

Social Security, cont.

Social Security Present Value Estimates for the Period of 75 Years into the Future, as of January 1, 1999			
OASI	DI	O ASDI	
16,830	2,763	19,593	
19,925	3,366	23,291	
	603	3,698	
682	81	763	
2/12	522	2,935	
	OASI 16,830 19,925 3,095 682	OASI DI 16,830 2,763 19,925 3,366 3,095 603 682 81 2,413 522	



Federal HospitalInsuranceTrust Fundrevenueconsists primarily of taxes on earn ings paid by employ ees, their employers and the selfemployed. The fund also re ceives revenue from part of the tax a tion of So cial Security ben e fits and from inter est on its in vest ments in Fed eral debt se curi ties. Revenues not needed to pay cur rent ben e fits of the Fed eral Hospital Insurance program (Medicare Part A) or ad min is tra tive expenses are invested in special issue Federal debt securities. These securities bear a mar ket rate of in ter est and are guar an teed both as to prin ci pal and interest. In addition, the securities are backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Gov ern ment.

The present values of actuarial esti mates were computed as of the begin ning of the valuation period, Septem ber 30, 1999. The contributions consist of the sum of the present value of var i ous program in come items expected to be received through fis cal 2074. The expenditure consists of the sum of the present value of esti mated payments through fis cal 2074, claims in curred through Septem ber 30, 1999, that were un paid as of that date, and ad min is tra tive expenses re lated to those claims. Un der intermediate as sumptions from the

Estimated Balances of Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund (Medicare Part A) Under Intermediate Assumptions



1999 Trustees Re port, and leg is la tion in place at the time, the fund is projected to be ex hausted in the cal en dar year 2015.

Appropriations as well as premiums paid by medical beneficiaries finance the benefits and administrative expenses of the Federal Supplementary Medical In sur ance (SMI) program (Medicare Part B). The Balanced Bud get Act of 1997 provides that the monthly premium be set to cover 25 per cent of the Medicare Part B pro gram's estimated cost of each calendar year's estimated Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance pro gram costs.

Medicare Part B has a sur plus of \$35.2 bil lion. This rep re sents the es timated book value amount of the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund as sets as of Sep tem ber 30, 1999, less un paid ben e fits and re lated ad min is trative expenses in curred through September 30, 1999.

Medicare Part A (Hospital Insurance) Present Value Estimates for the Period of 75 Years into the Future, Beginning September 30, 1999
(In billions of dollars)
Present value of contributions
to the year 2074
Prosent value of expenditures

to the year 2074	6,538.6
Present value of expenditures to the year 2074	9,615.2
Present value of future resources needed	
Less: Net assets in Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund as of September 30 (Note 19)	141.4
Present value of additional resources needed	2,935.2



Medicare Part B (Supplementary Medical Insurance) Balances as of September 30, 1999

(In billions of dollars)	
Total Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund assets (Note 19)	45.6
Total unpaid benefits	10.4
Excess of trust fund assets over unpaid benefits	35.2



Rail road re tire ment pays full an nu. ities when el i gi ble per sons reach age 65 with 10 years of ser vice or age 62 with 30 years of service. It pays reducedannuitiestoeligiblebeneficiaries who are age 62 with 10 to 29 years of ser vice, or age 60 with 30 years of ser vice. The Rail road Re tirement program pays disability an nuities based on to tal or oc cu pational disability. It also pays an nu ities to divorced spouses, remarried widow(er)s, sur viving divorced spouses, children and par ents of deceased rail road work ers. Medicare coversqualifiedrailroadretirement

ben e fi cia ries in the same way as So cial Security beneficiaries.

The Rail road Re tire ment Board (RRB) and Social Security Ad min is tration (SSA) share juris diction over the pay ment of re tire ment and sur vi vors bene fits. RRB has juris diction over the pay ment of re tire ment ben o fits if the em ployee had at least 10 years of rail road ser vice. Ad di tionally, for sur vi vor bene fits, RRB requires that the em ployee's last regular em ploy ment be fore re tire ment or death was in the rail road in dus try. If a railroad em ployee or his or her sur vi vors do not qual ify for rail road re tire ment ben e fits, the RRB trans fers the em ployee's rail road re tire ment credits to SSA. SSA treats them as So cial Securify credits.

Pay roll taxes paid by rail road employ ers and their em ploy ees provide the pri mary source of in come for the Rail road Re tire ment-Survivor Benefit pro gram. By law, rail road re tirement taxes are co or di nated with Social Se cu rity taxes. Em ployees and em ploy ers pay tier I taxes at the same rate as Social Se cu rity taxes. Tier II taxes fi nance rail road re tire ment bene fit pay ments that are higher than Social Se cu rity levels.



The net book value of as sets in the RailroadRetirementAccountatSeptem ber 30, 1999, was \$21.9 billion.

Railroad Retirement Account Estimated Activity

An nual expenditures

2060

2072

2048





The Black Lung Disabil ity Bene fits pro gram compensateseligible coal miners who are disabled be cause of employ ment-related pneumoconiosis(blacklung disease). The program provides both medical and sur vivor benefits. Under Part C, the Black Lung Dis ability Trust Fund (BLDTF) pro vides ben e fit pay ments to el i gible disabled miners whennoresponsible mine op er a tor can be assigned the liability. The DepartmentofLabor (Labor) op er ates Part C of the Black Lung DisabilityBenefitsprogram.

Ex cise taxes on coal mine op er ators, based on the sale of coal, partially fund the black lung dis abil ity pay ments and the related ad min is trative and in ter est costs. Intragovernmen tal ad vances to the Black Lung Dis abil ity Trust Fund, which must be re paid with in ter est, fund the shortfall.

Under current conditions, analysts project that sched uled re duc tion in taxes on coal sales will de crease cash



in flows for the year 2014 and be yond. Be tween the years 2013 and 2015, projections estimate a 49-percent decrease in ex cise tax collections. By the year 2040, the rate reduction is expected to de crease cash in flows by a to tal of more than \$12.6 billion.

To ad dress the Black Lung Dis ability Trust Fund's grow ing def i cit prob lem, the fis cal 2001 bud get states that the Ad min is tration will pro pose legislation that will re struc ture the BLDTF debt and ex tend ex cise taxes at cur rent rates.

The total li a bil i ties (net borrowings from Trea sury to cover ben e fit payments) of the Black Lung Dis abil ity Trust Fund ex ceed as sets by \$6.3 billion. This deficit represents the ac cumu lated short fall of ex cise taxes nec es sary to meet ben e fit pay ments and in terest ex penses. Intragovernmental ad vances, which the Trust Fund must re pay with in terest, fi nance the shortfall.

Black Lung Disability Trust Fund Present Value Estimates for the Period of 41 Years into the Future, Beginning September 30, 1999 (In billions of dollars) Present value of contributions to September 30, 2040 9.4 Present value of expenditures to September 30, 2040 16.7 Present value of excess estimated expenditures over estimated contributions 5.2 Excess of liabilities over assets in the Black Lung Disability Trust Fund (6.3)

Congress created the Unemployment Trust Fund in 1935. The Fund pro vides in come as sis tance to un em ployed work ers who have lost their jobs through no fault of their own. A unique sys tem of Fed eral and State part nershipsadministersthe UnemploymentInsuranceprogram. Although established by Federal law, State of fi cials execute the pro gram. La bor pro vides broad policy guid ance and pro gram di rec tion. State unemployment in sur ance statutes establish local pro gram de tails, which they ad minister.

Unemployment Insurance

Fed eral and State un em ployment taxes paid by em ploy ers finance the UnemploymentTrust Fund. The Governmentdeposits those funds in the Un em ployment Trust Fund and re ports the in come as Fed eral tax rev e nue.

To tal Un em ploy ment Trust Fundas sets ex ceeded li a bilities by \$78.9 bil lion. This bal ance approx imates the ac cumulated sur plus of tax rev e nues and the earn ing on these rev e nues. This surplus remains available to supple ment future bene fit pay ments if and when an nual rev e nues be come in sufficient. Trea sury invests the sur plus in Fed eral debt securities.





Unemployment Trust Fund Present Value Estimates in Nominal Dollars for the Period of 9 Years into the Future, Beginning September 30, 1999

(In billions of dollars)	
Present value of contributions to September 30, 2008 296	5.1
Present value of expenditures to September 30, 2008	2.3
Present value of excess estimated contributions over estimated expenditures	3.8
Excess of assets over liabilities in the Unemployment Trust Fund as of September 30, 1999 (Note 19)	3.9

Stewardship Investments

Stew ard ship Investments fo cus on G ov ern ment pro grams aimed at provid ing long-term ben e fits by im proving the Nation's pro duc tiv ity and enhanc ing eco nomic growth. These investments can be provided through di rect Fed eral spend ing or grants to State and lo cal gov ern ments for cer

tained u cation and train ing programs, re search and de vel op ment, and fed erally fi nanced but not fed er ally owned prop erty, such as bridges and roads. When in curred, these in vest ments are

in cluded as expenses in deter mining the net cost of op er a tions.



The Fed eral Gov ern ment makes grants and pro vides funds for the pur chase, con struction and/or major ren o va tion of State and lo cal gov ern ment physical properties.

The Federal High way Ad min istra tion re im burses States for construction costs on projects related to the Federal High way system. Im provements to national high ways, in terstate systems, surface trans por ta tion as well as con gestion mit i ga tion and air qual ity im prove ment are backed by these efforts. States contribute 10 percent of the cost for in ter state system improve ments and 20 per cent of costs for other con struc tion.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) provides in frastructure as sis tance to State and tribal gov ern ments. This as sis tance is in the form of grants for the con struction of wastewater and drink ing wa ter treat ment fa cil i ties and ground wa ter protection.

Mean while, for mula grants as sist urban and non-urban areas. States and lo cali ties use these grants for a variety of mass tran sit purposes in cluding planning, construction of facil i ties, and purchases of railcars and buses. Funding also pays for trans por tation for the el derly and dis abled.

The Fed eral Avi ation Admin is tration's (FAA's) Air Trans por tation pro gram pro vides fund ing to sustain the current in frastruc ture and ad vances mod ern ization and im prove ment of the Na tional Airspace system.

General Transit Administration discretionary grants provide cap ital as sis tance to finance ac qui sition, construction, reconstruction and im provement of fa cil i ties and equipment. Discretion ary grants fund the cat e go ries of new starts, fixed guid ance modern ization and bus and bus-related ac tiv i ties.

Investments in Non-Federal Physical Property for the Period Ended September 30

(In billions of dollars)

Highway program	22.9
Water infrastructure grants, Environmental Protection Agency	2.2
Formula grants	2.1
Air transportation	1.6
General Transit Administration	1.5
Economic Development Administration	0.4
Washington Metro	0.2
Investments from all other programs	0.3
Fiscal 1999 investments in non-Federal physical property $\ldots \ldots \ldots =$	31.2
······································	



The Fed eral Gov ern ment runs several pro grams that in vest in hu man capi tal. Those in vest ments go to ward in creas ing and main tain ing a healthy econ omy by ed u cat ing and train ing the gen eral public. Costs do not in clude train ing ex penses for Fed eral work ers.

Education Grants and Administrative Programs

Education grant activities cover improve ments of both public and private preschool and sec ond ary education; as sistance to post-secondary educational in stitutions and students pur suing a post-second ary education; pro grams that as sist in educating children and adults with special needs and dis abil i ties; bilin gual education; and vo cational-technicaleducation.

Employment and Training Administration

The De part ment of La bor pro vides job train ing for the gen eral public to increase and main tain na tional eco nomic productive capacity. Programs include: adult em ploy ment and train ing; dis lo cated worker em ploy ment and train ing; youth train ing; school-to-work op portu ni ties; Job Corps; train ing pro grams for Na tive Amer i cans and mi grant and seasonal farm workers.

Federal Family Education Loan Program

The Fed eral Fam ily Edu cation Loan pro gram oper ates with State and pri vate non profit guar anty agen cies to pro vide loan guar an tees and in ter est sup ple ments on loans by pri vate lend ers to el i giblestu dents attend ing partic i pating post-secondary schools.

Veterans Benefits Administration

This agency pro vides train ing to assist dis abled vet er ans to be come employ able. Educational assistance also is provided to vet er ans un der the GI bill.

National Institute of Health (NIH)

The NIH Re search and Training and Career De vel op ment pro gram ad dresses the need for trained per son nel to con duct med i cal re search. The primary goal is to pro duce highly trained in ves ti gators who are likely to per form re search that will ben e fit the Nation's health.

Veterans Health Administration

This agency provides education and training efforts for health profession students and residents through part nerships with affiliated ac a demic institutions.

Bureau of Indian Affairs

This agency provides education and Job Corps pro grams.

Investments in Human Capital for the Period Ended September 30

(In billions of dollars)	
Education grants and administrative programs	31.5 5.5
Federal family education loans program	3.1
Veterans Benefits Administration	1.6
National Institutes of Health	0.8
Veterans Health Administration	0.7 0.5
Investments from all other programs	1.3
Fiscal 1999 investments in human capital	45.0

Research and Development

Fed eral in vest ments in research and development com prise those expenses for basic research, ap plied research and development that are intended to in crease or main tain national economic productive capacity or yield other future benefits.

In vest ments in basic research are a systematic study to gain knowledge

or under standing of the fundamental as pects of phe nom ena and of ob servable facts with out specific ap plications to ward processes or products in mind.

In vest ments in applied re search are a system atic study to gain knowl edge or under stand ing nec es sary for de termin ing the means by which a rec ognized and spe cific need may be met.

In vest ments in de vel op ment are sys tem atic use of the knowl edge and un der stand ing gained from research for the production of use ful materials, devices, sys tems, or meth ods, in cluding the de sign and de vel op ment of prototypes and processes.

Research and Development, cont.

Investments in Basic Research for the Period Ended September 30

(In billions of dollars)	··· =
National Institutes of Health	7.9
Department of Energy.	2.5
Science, Aeronautics and Technology	1.8
Department of Defense	1.1
Environmental Protection Agency	0.6
Agricultural Research	0.4
Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service	0.2
Investments from all other programs	1.1
Fiscal 1999 investments in basic research=	

Investments in Applied Research for the Period Ended September 30

National Institute of Health	3.9
Department of Defense	3.0
Science, Aeronautics and Technology	2.6
Department of Energy	2.0
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	1.0
U.S. Geological Survey	0.7
Investments from all other programs	3.0
Fiscal 1999 investments in applied research	16.2

Investments in Development for the Period Ended September 30

(In billions of dollars)	
Department of Defense	31.9 27
Human Space Flight	2.5
Department of Energy	2.2
National Institutes of Health	1.7
Investments from all other programs	0.4
Fiscal 1999 investments in development	

Current Services Assessment

The Current Services As sessment table shows the Office of Management and Bud get's (OMB's) es ti mated receipts, out lays, and sur plus or deficit in the bud get if no changes are made to laws that are already enacted. Receipts and man da tory out lays, such as So cial Security ben e fits and net in terest, in volveongoingactivitiesthatgenerally operate underpermanent le gal au thority au tho rized by leg is la tion. The current ser vices es ti mates of re ceipts and man da tory spend ing as sume that receipts and man da tory spend ing continue in the fu ture as spec i fied by current laws. The cur rent ser vices estimates for discretionary spending as sume dis cre tion ary funding for fis

cal 2000 equals ap pro pri a tions en acted by Con gress. It also as sumes that discre tion ary fund ing for sub se quent years holds con stant in real terms. Because laws al ready en acted pro vide the bases for cur rent ser vices es ti mates, they do not con sti tute a pro posed bud. get, nor do they pre dict the most likely budget outcomes.

The cur rent ser vices es ti mates may be used to as sess the sustainability of pro grams un der cur rent law. That is, they may be used to pro ject if fu ture re sources can sustain public ser vices and meet ob li ga tions as they come due. In this way, they can warn of future prob lems in her ent in cur rent law. They also can pro vide a bench mark against which tax and spend ing propos als can be com pared. Cur rent services es ti mates are use ful in as sess ing the mag ni tude of pro posed changes. Also, they can pro vide an an alyt i cal perspective of Government by showing the short- and me dium- term di rection of cur rent pro grams.

The following schedule presents the ac tual bud get re sults for fis cal 1999 and the cur rent ser vices es ti mates for all Fed eral taxes and spending programs for the sub se quent 6 years. It shows re ceipts by source and out lays by function. The est i mates for these years are iden ti cal to the cur rent ser vices est i mates in the Pres i dent's bud get for fis cal 2001. The follow ing estimates are based on the same economic, program matic and other technical as sumptions as the cur rent ser vices estimates document.

Current Services As as Presented in the				utiay Est	Imates		
(In billions of dollars)	Base Fiscal Year 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Receipts:							
Individual income	070	050	070	1 000	1 0 4 0	1 000	4 4 4 0
taxes	879 _.	952	978	1,006	1,040	1,086	1,143
taxes	185	192	190	190	192	196	205
retirement receipts .		650	683	713	742	771	814
Excise taxes	. 70 81	68 94	69 90	71 100	72 105	74 111	76 11 2
Other receipts						2,238	2,350
Total receipts	1,827	1,956	2,010	2,080	2,151	2,230	2,350
Outlays:							
National defense	275	284	295	300	309	318	326
Social Security		407 203	426 221	446 227	469 245	493 259	520 281
Income Security	238	203	261	274	245	297	310
Health	141	154	166	179	191	205	220
Veteran benefits and	141	104	100		101	200	220
services	. 43	45	48	49	52	54	58
Education, training,							
employment and	FC	60	60	70	70	74	76
social services Transportation	56 43	63 47	69 48	70 50	72 51	74 53	76 55
Other programmatic	-0	-17		00			00
functions		148	143	139	141	143	145
Net interest		220	208	198	189	176	161
Undistributed offsetting	(40)	(43)	(46)	(49)	(47)	(47)	(4 9)
receipts	1,703	1,777	1,839	1,883	1,958	2,025	2,103
Total outlays					-		·
Unified surplus	124	179	171	197	193	213	247

Intentional Blank Page

United States Government Notes to the Financial Statements for the Year Ended September 30, 1999

Note 1. Summary of Significant AccountingPolicies

A. Reporting Entity

This Fi nan cial Re port in cludes the fi nan cial status and ac tiv i ties of the executive branch and portions of the leg is la tive and judi cial branches of the Gov ern ment. This in cludes those Gov ern ment cor por a tions that are part of the Fed eral Gov ern ment. The Ap pen dix con tains a list of sig nif i cant Gov ern ment ent i ties in cluded in these fi nan cial state ments and also con tains a par tial list of en ti ties excluded. For the pur poses of this doc ument, "Gov ern ment" re fers to the U.S. Gov ern ment. The finan cial report ing pe riod is the same used for the an nual bud get. It is based on the Gov ern ment's fis cal year, which ends Sep tem ber 30.

Material intragovernmental transactions were elim i nated in con sol i dation, ex cept as de scribed in Note 16.

B. Basis of Accounting The Finan cial Report was gen er ally based on generally accepted accounting principles. These principles typically recognize: Ex penses when in curred. Non-exchange rev e nues on a mod i fied cash ba sis of ac count ing. Exchange (earned) rev e nues when earned. This ba sis of ac count ing differs from that used forbud get ary reporting. This fis cal year, new ac count ing stan dards became effective pertaining to deferred maintenance.

C. Revenue Recognition

Gov ern ment reve nue co mes from two sources: non-exchange trans ac tions and exchange trans actions. Non-exchange revenues arise primarily from exercise of the Gov ern ment's power to tax and levy du ties, fines and pen al ties. Ex change (earned) reve nues arise when a Gov ernment en tity provides goods and services to the pub lic for a price.

Remittances of non-exchange revenue are recognized when received. Related receivables are recognized when measurable and le gally collect ible. Re funds and other off sets are rec og nized when mea sur able and le gally pay able and netted against non-exchange rev e nue.

Earned revenue represents revenue earned from user charges such as ad mission fees to Fed eral parks, in sur ance pre mi ums, and fees on Fed eral hous ing and loan pro grams. It is rec og nized when the Gov ern ment pro vides the goods or ser vices.

D. Direct Loans and Loan Guarantees

Direct loans ob ligated and loan guarantees committed after fis cal 1991 are reported based on the present value of the net cash-flows es timated over the life of the loan or guarantee. The difference between the out stand ing princi pal of the loans and the pres ent value of their net cash inflows is rec og nized as a sub sidy cost al low ance: the present value of es ti mated net cash out flows of the loan guar an tees is rec og nized as a li abil ity for loan guar an tees. The subsidy expense for direct or guar an teed loans dis bursed dur ing a year is the pres ent value of es ti mated net cash

out flows for those loans or guar an tees. A sub sidy ex pense also is recognized for modifications made during the year to loans and guar an tees out stand ing and for reestimates made as of the end of the year to the subsidy allow ances or loan guar an tee li a bility for loans and guar an tees out standing.

Di rect loans ob li gated and loan guar antees com mitted be fore fis cal 1992 may be re ported un der the al low ance-for-loss method or the pres ent-value method. Un der the al low ance-for-loss method, the out stand ing prin ci pal of di rect loans is re duced by an al low ance for uncollectible amounts; and the li a bil ity for loan guar an tees is the amount the agency es ti mates would more likely than not re quire a fu ture cash out flow to pay de fault claims.

Under the pres ent-value method, the out stand ing prin c i pal of di rect loans is re duced by an al low ance equal to the dif fer ence be tween the out stand ing prin c i pal and the pres ent value of the ex pected net cash-flows; and the li a bil ity for loan guar an tees is the pres ent value of ex pected net cash out flows due to the loan guar antees.

E. Taxes Receivable

"Taxes receivable" primarily consist of un collected tax as sessments, pen al ties and in terest when tax pay ers have agreed the amounts are owed, or a court has determined the as sess ments are owed. The Bal ance Sheet does not include un paid as sess ments when nei ther tax pay ers nor a court has agreed that the amounts are owed (compliance as sess ments) or the Govern ment does not expect further collections due to fac tors such as the tax payer's death, bankruptcy or insolvency (writeoffs). Taxes receivable are re ported net of an allow ance for the estimated portion deemed to be uncollectible.

F. Inventories and Related Property

"In ven tories" are val ued at his torical cost. His torical cost methods in clude first-in-first-out, weighted average and moving average. Estimated repair costs reduce the value of in ven tory held for repair. Ex cess, obsolete and unservice able inventories are val ued at estimated netre al iz able values.

G. Property, Plant and Equipment

"Property, plant and equip ment" used in Gov ern ment op er a tions are car ried at cost. De pre ci a tion and am or ti za tion ex pense ap plies to property, plant and equip ment re ported in the Bal ance Sheet ex cept land, unlim ited duration land rights, and construction in progress. De pre ci a tion is rec og nized us ing the straight-line method over the as sets es ti mated use ful lives.

H. Pension and Post-Retirement Health Benefits Programs "Pen sion and post-retirement health ben e fit ex penses" are re corded during the time em ployee ser vices are ren dered. The re lated li a bil i ties for defined ben e fit pen sion plans and post-retirement health ben e fits are recorded at es ti mated pres ent value of fu ture ben e fits, less the es ti mated pres ent value of fu ture nor mal cost con tri bu tions.

"Nor mal cost" is the por tion of the ac tu ar ial pres ent value of projected bene fits allocated as expense for employee services rendered in the current year. Ac tu ar ial gains and losses (and prior and past service cost, if any) are rec og nized im me di ately in the year they oc cur, without amortization.
I. Environmental Liabilities

"Environmentalliabilities" are re corded at the es ti mated cur rent cost to remediate haz ard ous waste and environmental contamination.assuming the use of cur rent tech nol ogy. Remediation consists of removal, treat ment and/or safe con tain ment. Where tech nol ogy does not ex ist to cleanup haz ard ous waste, only the estimable portion of the liability, typ i cally safe con tain ment, is re corded.

J. Deferred Maintenance

"De ferred main te nance" is main te nance that was not per formed when it should have been or was sched uled to be per formed and, therefore, is put off or de layed for a fu ture period. Main te nance is the act of keeping fixed as sets in acceptable condition in cluding preventative maintenance, normal repairs, and other activities needed to preserve the as set so that it con tin ues to pro vide ac cept able ser vices and achieves its expected life. Main te nance ex cludes ac tiv i ties aimed at ex pand ing the ca pacity of an as set or oth er wise up grad ing it to serve needs differ ent from those orig i nally in tended. "De ferred main tenance" in for mation is disclosed in the Supplemental Information to the FinancialStatements.

De ferred main te nance ex penses are not ac crued in the State ment of Net Cost, or rec og nized as li a bil i ties on the Bal ance Sheet.



event has oc curred. • A fu ture out flow or

other sac ri fice of resources is prob a ble and measurable.

The esti mated con tin gent li a bility may be a spe cific amount or a range of amounts. If some amount within the range is a better es ti mate than any other amount in the range is rec og nized.

Contingentliabilitiesthatdo not meet the above cri te ria for rec og ni tion, but for which there is at least a rea son able pos si bility that a loss has been in curred are disclosed in Note 18-Commit ments and Contingencies.

そんつ L. Social Insurance

A li a bil ity for so cial in surance programs (Social Secu rity, Medicare, rail road re tire ment, black lung and unemployment) is recognized for any un paid amounts due as of the report ing date. No li a. bility is recognized for future ben e fit pay ments not yet due. For fur ther in for mation, see theStewardshipInformation section on Stew ard ship Re spon si bil ities and Note 19 on Dedicated Collections.

M. Related Party Transactions

Fed eral Reserve Banks (FRBs), which are not part of the reporting entity, serve as the Gov ern ment's depositary and fis cal agent. They pro cess Fed eral pay ments and deposits to Trea surv's ac count and service Fed eral debt securities.FRBs owned \$488.9 bil lion of Fed eral debt se curi ties held by the public as of Sep tem ber 30, 1999. FRB earnings that exceed stat u tory amounts of sur pluses tablished for FRBs are paid to the Gov ern ment and are rec ognized as non-exchange rev e nue. Those earn ings to taled \$26.0 bil lion for the year ended Sep tem ber 30, 1999. The

pri mary source of these earn ings is from in ter est earned on Fed eral debt se cu ri ties held by the FRBs.

FRBs is sue Fed eral Re serve notes, the cir cu lat ing cur rency of the United States. These notes are collater alized by spe cific as sets owned by FRBs, typ i cally Fed eral debt se cu ri ties. Fed eral Re serve notes are backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Gov ern ment.

The Gov ern ment does not guar an tee pay ment of Govern ment-sponsored en ter prises li a bil i ties such as the Federal National Mort gage As so ci a tion or the Fed eral Home Loan Mort gage Cor poration, which are privately owned. These enter prises also are ex cluded from the report ing entity.

Note 2. Cash and Other Monetary Assets

1

1

Cash

"Cash," in the amount of \$60.4 billion, con sists of:

• Treasury bal ances held at the FRBs, net of out stand ing checks.

• Treasury balances in special depositaries, known as the U.S.

Gold

"Gold" is val ued at the stat u tory price of \$42.2222 per fine troy ounce. As of Sep tem ber 30, 1999, the num ber of fine troy ounces was 261,571,005. The mar ket value of gold on the Lon don Fixing as of the re port ing date was \$299.00 per fine troy ounce. Gold was pledged as collateral for gold certificates is sued to the FRB stotal ing \$11.0 bil lion. See Note 14—Other Li a bil i ties.

Domestic Monetary Assets

Trea sury Tax and Loan Note accounts.

• Funds held out side of Treasury and the FRBs by au thorized fis cal of fi cers or agents.

• Mon ies held by Gov ern ment col lec tion and dis burs ing of fi. cers, agen cies' undeposited collec tions, un confirmed de posits, and cash trans fers. • Time de pos its at fi nan cial in stitutions.

The Gov ern ment main tains for mal ar range ments with numer ous banks to main tain time de pos its known as "compensating balances." These balances com pen sate the banks for services pro vided to the Gov ern ment, such as main tain ing zero-balance accounts for the col lec tion of pub lic monies.

Cash and Other Monetary Assets as of September 30

)	
).4
	0.1
ary assets	1.7
netary assets 42	2.1
d other monetary assets	5.2
a other monetary assets	-

"Domestic monetary as sets" consist of liquid as sets, other than cash that are based on the U.S. dol lar, in cluding coins, silver bullion and other coin age met als. These items to taled \$1.7 billion.

International Monetary Assets

As sets val ued on a basis other than the U.S. dol lar com prise "In ter national mon e tary as sets."

The U.S. reserve position in the Inter national Monetary Fund (IMF) represents an investment in the IMF. The IMF provides financial as sistance to about 180 countries. It seeks to promote currency ex change stability.

Only a por tion of the re quired payment to the IMF was paid in cash, with the re main der treated as a subscrip tion. The recorded bal ance is shown net of the sub scrip tion por tion, which represents a let ter of credit pay able to the IMF.

As of September 30, 1999, the remain ing avail able bal ance un der the let ter of credit to taled \$31.4 billion. The U.S. reserve position in the IMF has a U.S. dol lar equiv a lent of \$19.2 bil lion as of that date.

Special Drawing Rights (SDRs) are interest-bearing as sets obtained through either IMF allocations, trans ac tions with IMF mem ber coun tries or interest earnings on SDR holdings. Treasury's Exchange Stabilization Fund held SDR stotaling \$10.3 billion at the end of fis cal 1999. Those hold ings are sim i lar to an in vest ment in the IMF.

On Sep tem ber 30, 1999, "Other li abil i ties" in cluded an \$6.8 bil lion inter est-bearing li a bil ity to the IMF. This liability consisted of SDRs obtained through IMF allocations. International Monetary Assets, cont. The SDR Act of 1968 au tho rized the Sec re tary of the Trea sury to is sue SDR cer tif i cates to FRBs in exchange for cash. The value of these certificates can not ex ceed the value of the SDR hold ings. The Sec re tary of the Trea sury de ter mines when the FRBs can re deem the SDR cer tif i cates. The liability for such re demptions, which to taled \$7.2 bil lion at the end of the fis cal year, is in cluded in Note 14—Other Liabilities. Each SDR was val ued at \$1.38769 as of Sep tem ber 30, 1999.

"International monetary as sets" also include for eign currency and other monetary as sets denominated in for eign currency.

Note 3. Accounts Receivable

"Ac counts receivable" in cluding related in terest receivable, rep resent claims to cash or other as sets from en ti ties out side the Fed eral Gov ern ment that arise from the sale of goods or services, du ties, fines, cer tain license fees, recoveries, or other provisions of the law.

An allow ance fores timated losses due to uncollectible amounts is established when it is more likely than not that the receivables will not be to tally collected. Accounts receivable are net of an allow ance for uncollectible amounts of \$12.5 billion as of Sep tem ber 30, 1999.

Accounts Receivable as of September 30

(In billions of dollars)

Agency or Program Name:	
Department of Energy	4.1
Bureau of Reclamation	3.5
Foreign military sales	24
Federal family education loan program	1.8
Operations and maintenance	1.6
Multi-Peril Crop Insurance Fund	0.8
Tennessee Valley Authority power program	0.7
Hazardous Substance Superfund	0.6
Minerals Management Service	0.5
Mail delivery service	0.5
Veterans Health Administration	0.4
Veterans Benefits Administration	0.2
All other programs	17.9
Total accounts receivable, net	35.0

Note 4. Loan and Loan Guarantee Programs

The Fed eral Gov ern ment uses two meth ods, di rect loans and loan guaran tee programs, to ac complish the same goals. These goals are to promote the Nation's welfare by making direct loans and guaranteeing non-Federal loans to seg ments of the population not ad equately served by non-Federal in stitutions. For those un able to af ford credit at the mar ket rate, Fed eral credit programs provide sub si dies in the form of di rect loans of fered at an in ter est rate lower than the mar ket rate. For those to whom non-Federal financial institutions are re luc tant to grant credit be cause of the high risk in volved, Fed eral credit pro grams guar an tee the pay ment of these non-Federal loans and ab sorb the cost of de faults.

The long-term cost of loans and guar an tees out standing for loans obligated or guar an tees com mit ted after fis cal 1991 is the sub sidy cost al lowance for direct loans out standing and the liability for loan guar an tees outstand ing as of the end of fis cal 1999. The long-term cost for loans ob ligated or guar an tees com mit ted be fore fiscal 1992 is the allow ance for uncollectible amounts (or pres ent value al low ance) for di rect loans outstanding and the liability for loan guarantees outstanding. The long-term cost is based on all di rect loans and guar an teed loans dis bursed in fis cal 1999 and pre vi ous years that are out stand ing as of the end of fis cal 1999. It in cludes the sub sidy cost of these loans and guar an tees es ti mated as of the time of loan dis burse ment and subsequent adjustments such as modifications, reestimates, amortization and write-offs.

"NetLoans Receivable" include related in terest and fore closed property. They are in cluded in the as sets section of the Balance Sheet.

The to tal sub sidy ex pense is the cost of di rect loans and loan guar antees rec og nized during fis cal 1999. It con sists of the sub sidy ex pense incurred for di rect and guar an teed loans dis bursed during fis cal 1999, for modifications made dur ing fis cal 1999 of loans and guar an tees out stand ing, and for reestimates as of the end of fis cal 1999 of the cost of loans and guar an tees out stand ing. This expense is in cluded in the State ment of Net Cost.

The Di rect Stu dent Loan pro gram, es tab lished in fis cal 1994, of fers four types of ed u ca tion loans: Stafford, Unsubsidized Stafford, PLUS for parents and con sol i da tion loans. Ev i dence of fi nan cial need is required for a stu dent to re ceive a sub si dized Stafford loan. The other three loan pro grams are avail able to bor row ers at all in come lev els. These loans usually ma ture 9 to13 years af ter the student is no lon ger en rolled. They are unsecured.

Rural Electri fication and Tele commu ni ca tions loans are for the construction and operation of generating plants, electric trans mission, and distri bu tion lines or systems. These loans carry an average maturity of greater than 20 years and are usu ally secured.

The ma jor ru ral pro grams are funded through the Ru ral Housing Insur ance Fund pro gram ac count, which includes:

• Very low and low-to-mod erate in come home own er ship loans and guar an tees.

• Very low-income housing repair loans.

• Multifamily housing loans and guar an tees.

• Do mes tic farm la bor housing loans.

• Housing site loans.

• Credit sales of ac quired property.

Loan pro grams are lim ited to ru ral ar eas that in clude towns, vil lages and other places not part of an ur ban area. The ma jor ity of these loans ma ture in ex cess of 25 years and are se cured by the prop erty of the bor rower.

The Federal Family Education Loan program, for merly known as the Guar an teed Student Loan program, was established in fis cal 1965. Like the Di rect Stu dent Loan pro gram, it of fers four types of loans: Stafford, Unsubsidized Stafford, PLUS for parents and consol i dation loans.

The Agency for In ter na tional Devel op ment provides eco nomic as sistance to se lected coun tries in sup port of U.S. ef forts to pro mote stability and se curity in ter ests in strate gic regions of the world.

Ex port-Import Bank aids in financing and pro mot ing U.S. ex ports. To ac com plish its objectives, the bank's au thor ity and re sources are used to:

• As sume com mer cial and polit i cal risk that ex port ers or private institutions are unwilling or are un able to un der take.

• Over come ma tu rity and other lim i ta tions in pri vate sec tor financing.

• Assist U.S. exports to meet for eign of ficially spon sored export credit competition.

• Pro vide lead er ship and guidance in ex port fi nanc ing to the U.S. ex port ing and bank ing com mu ni ties and to for eign borrowers.

Re pay ment terms for these loans are usu ally 1 to 7 years.

The Fed eral Housing Ad min is tra tion (FHA) provides mort gage in surance en cour ag ing lend ers to make credit avail able to ex pand home ownership. FHA pre dom i nately serves borrowers that the conventional market does not ad e quately serve such as first-time home buyers, minorities, lower-income families and residents of un der served ar eas.

Veteran Housing Bene fits provide partial guar anty of res i den tial mortgage loans is sued to el i gi ble vet er ans reservists and ser vice mem bers by pri vate lend ers. This guar an tee allows veter ans, reservists and service mem bers to pur chase a home with out a sub stan tial down pay ment.

Other loan guar an tees in clude: Small Busi ness Administration loans to mi nor ity busi nesses; and the Farm Ser vice Agency for farm own er ship, emer gency and di sas ter loans.

•

(In billions of dollars)	Loans and Loan Guarantees Outstanding	Cost of Loans and Loan Guarantees Outstanding	Net Loans Receiv- able	Amount Guaranteed by the Federal Government	Subsidy Expense for the Fiscal Year ended September 30, 1999
Direct Loans:					
Federal direct student loans	46.5	0.4	46.1		0.4
Rural development	68.9	13.1	55. 8		
Federal family education loan programs	23.7	14.5	92		
Assistance for states of the former Soviet Union	11.0	4.4	66		
Food for progress credits	10.6	7.2	34		0.5
HUD, all other	10.3	0.6	97		
Direct loans for spectrum auction sales	8.3	(0.4)	87		1.2
Export credit guarantees	6.9	3.9	30		
All other direct loan programs	49.9	8.7	41.2		1.7
Total	236.1	52.4	183.7		3.8
Guaranteed Loans:					
Federal Housing Administration	551.4	5.9		508.1	(5.2)
Veterans housing benefit program	213.5	5.8		84.0	1.1
Federal family education loan programs	127.6	12.2		121.2	3.1
Small business loans		1.4		31.9	(0.1)
Financing account	0.60	1.4		5.10	(0.1)
guarantees and insurance	24.2	5.6		24.2	1.9
Rural Housing Service	10.0	0.2		9.0	
All other guaranteed loan programs	38.6	4.0	<u></u>	36.9	1.0
Total	1,004.9	35.1		815.3	1.8

Loan and Loan Guarantee Programs as of September 30

Note 5. Taxes Receivable

Taxes receivable are the gross tax receivables net of allow ance for doubtful ac counts.

Taxes Receivable as of Septembe	r 30
(In billions of dollars)	
Gross taxes receivable	79.2
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(56.5)
Taxes receivable, net =	22.7

Note 6. Inventories and Related Property

"In ventories and related property" consist of the categories listed below, net of al low ance for ob so lete and unservice able in ventory, as of September 30, 1999.

"In ven tory held for sale" in cludes tan gi ble per sonal prop erty held for sale, net of al low ances.

Operating Materials and Sup plies" are com prised of tan gi ble personal prop erty pur chased for use in normaloperations.

"Ma te rials and sup plies held for fu ture use" in clude tan gi ble per sonal prop erty not readily avail able in the mar ket or held be cause there is more

than a remote chance that they will even tu ally be needed.

"Stock pile materials" are strategic and crit i cal ma teri als held for use in national de fense, con ser vation or national emergencies due to stat u tory requirements; for example, cobalt, tin and nickel.

"Commodities" include items of com merce or trade that have an exchange value used to sta bi lize or support mar ket prices.

"Seized monetary instruments" comprise only mone tary in struments. These mon etary in struments are awaiting judgement to determine

own er ship. The related li a bil ity is included in "Other liabilities." Other property seized by the Government, such as real property and tan gible per sonal property, is not in cluded as a Government as set. It is ac counted for in agency prop erty-management records un til the prop erty is for feited, re turned or oth er wise liq ui dated.

"For feited prop erty" is com prised of monetary instruments, intangible property, real property and tan gible per sonal property ac quired through forfeiture proceedings; property acguired by the Gov ern ment to sat isfy a tax li a bil ity; and un claimed and abandoned mer chan dise.

(In bil lions of dol lars)	Defense	All Others	Total
Inventory held for sale	67.0	1.1	68.1
Operating materials and supplies	40.9	5.5	46.4
Materials and supplies held for future use	17.8	0.1	17.9
Stockpile materials	2.8	37.5 0.4	40.3 0.4
Seized monetary instruments	-	0.1	0.1
Forfeited property	-	0.1	0.1
Total inventories and related property	1005	44.8	173.3

Note 7. Property, Plant and Equipment

"Property, plant and equip ment" con sist of tan gi ble as sets, in cluding land, build ings, struc tures and other as sets used to provide goods and services. Certain types of tan gi ble as sets, collectively referred to as "Stew ard ship As sets," are not reported as property, plant and equipment or else where on the Bal ance Sheet. This is based on accounting standards that be came effective for fis cal 1998. "Stew ardship as sets" in clude "Na tional defense as sets," "Her i tage as sets" and "Stew ard ship land." These as sets are pre sented in the Stew ard ship Information section.

Property, Plant and Equipment as of September 30

Cost	Accumulated Depreciation/ Amortization	Net
284.8	135.3	149.5
151.0	75.0	76.0
49.3	-	49.3
25.4	5.5	19.9
3.8	2.0	1.8
1.5	0.5	1.0
2.0	0.7	1.3
517.8	219.0	298.8
	284.8 151.0 49.3 25.4 3.8 1.5 2.0	Cost Depreciation/ Amortization 284.8 135.3 151.0 75.0 49.3 - 25.4 5.5 3.8 2.0 1.5 0.5 2.0 0.7

Note 8. Other Assets

The cate gory of "Other as sets" con sists of advances and pre pay ments, securi ties and in vest ments, and other Govern ment as sets not other wise class i fied. This fig ure presents securi ties at cost, net of un am ortized pre mi ums and dis counts.

Other Assets as of September 30

(In billions of dollars)	
Securities and investments	17.2
Advances and prepayments	13.0
Other	24.1
Total other assets	54.3

Note 9. Accounts Pavable

The figure under "Ac counts payable" in cludes "In ter est on Fed eral debt se cu ri ties held by the pub lic." This reflects unpaid interest accrued on Federal debt se cu ri ties held by the public (see Note 10) as of Sep tem ber 30, 1999. Other ac counts pay able are for goods and prop erty or dered and re ceived, and for ser vices ren dered by other than em ploy ees.

Note 10. **Federal Debt Securities** Held by the Public

"Fed eral debt held by the pub lic" to taled \$3,631.6 bil lion at the end of fis cal 1999. The ac com pa ny ing Federal Debt Securities table details Govern ment bor row ing to finance oper a tions. This table shows debt at face value. Un am or tized premi ums are added and un am or tized dis counts sub tracted.

"Intragovernmental holdings" rep resent the portion of the gross Federal debt held as in vest ments by Governmententities.

This in cludes ma jor trust funds. For more in for mation on trust funds, see Note 19-Ded i cated Collections. This report eliminates

Accounts Payable as of September 30

.

(in billions of dollars)	
Agency:	
Interest on Federal debt securities held by the public	42.6
	16.7
ОРМ	1.2
U.S. Postal Service	4.0
NASA	2.9
Agriculture	2.7
VA	2.3
HUD	1.8
Justice	1.6
AID	1.4
General Services Administration	1.2
Transportation	1.0
Energy	1.0
Executive Office of the President	1.0
All other departments	4.4

Definitions of Debt

Total accounts payable.

· Gross Federal Debt - All Government debt, whether issued by Treasury (Trea sury se curities) or by other agencies (agency securities). "Gross Federal debt" is either held by the public or by Fed eral Gov ern ment en ti ties. • Debt Held by the Public - Fed eral debt held out side the Government by in dividuals, corporations, State or local gov ern ments, the Fed eral Re serve Sys tem, and for eign governments and central banks.

 Intragovernmental hold ings - Fed eral debt held by Government trust funds, re volving funds and special funds.

intragovernmental hold ings in consolidation.

Securities that represent debt held by the public are primarily is sued by the Trea sury and in clude:

• Interest-bearing marketable se cu ri ties (bills, notes and bonds).

 Interest-bearing nonmarket able securities (foreign series, State and lo cal gov ern ment se-

ries, do mes tic se ries, and savings bonds).

• Non-interest bear ing debt (ma tured and other).

<u>85.8</u>

As of Sep tem ber 30, 1999, \$5,568 bil lion of Fed eral debt was subject to a stat u tory limit (31 U.S.C. 3101). That limit was \$5,950 billion. The debt sub ject to the limit in cludes:

• Debt held by the public and intragovernmentalhold ings,

less most agency securities, Fed eral Fi nancing Bank debt, mis cel la neous debt, and un re al ized dis count on Govern ment ac countseries securities.

• Un am or tized net dis counts on public is sues of Trea sury notes and bonds (other than zero-coupon bonds).

Federal Debt Securities Held by the Public as of September 30

(In bil lions of dol lars)	Beginning Balance Sept. 30, 1998	Net Change During Fiscal 1999	Ending Balance Sept. 30, 1999	Average Interest Rate During Fis cal 1999
Treasury Securities:				
Marketable securities	3,331.0	(98.0)	3,233.0	6.341%
Non-marketable securities	2,187.7	226.6	2,414.3	6.674%
Non-interest bearing debt	7.5	1.5	9.0	_
Total Treasury securities	5,526.2	130.1	5,656.3	_
Plus: Unamortized premium on Treasury securities		(0.9)	16.0	
Less: Unamortized discount on Treasury securities	78.9	1.5	80.4	_
Total Treasury securities, net of unamortized premiums and discounts	5,464.2	127.7	5,591.9	_
Agency Securities:				
Tennessee Valley Authority	26.7	(0.8)	25.9	
All other agencies	23	(0.2)	2.1	
Total agency securities, net of unamortized premiums and discounts		(1.0)	28.0	_
Total Federal debt		126.7	5,619.9	_
Less: Intragovernmental holdings, net of unamortized premiums and discounts		212.8	1,988.3	_
Total Federal debt securities held by the public		(86.1)	3,631.6	=
* I				

Types of mar ket able se curities:

Bills-Short-term ob li ga tions is sued with a term of 1 year or less.

Notes-Me dium-term ob li ga tions is sued with a term of at least 1 year, but not more than 10 yeas. Bonds-Long-term ob li ga tions of more than 10 years.

Intragovernmental Holdings: Federal Debt Securities Held as Investments by Government Accounts as of September 30

(In billions of dollars)	Beginning Balance Sept. 30, 1998	Net Change During Fiscal 1999	Ending Balance Sept. 30, 1999
SSA, Old-Age and Survivors Insurance	653.3	108.9	762.2
OPM, civil service retirement and disability	451.3	30.0	481.3
DOD, military retirement	133.8	7.5	141 .3 .
HHS, Hospital Insurance Fund	1183	35.4	153.7
SSA, disability insurance	77.0	15.7	92.7
Labor, unemployment	70.6	6.8	77.4
HHS, supplementary medical insurance	39.5	(13.0)	26.5
FDIC funds	39.1	1.7	40.8
Railroad Retirement Board	21.8	26	24.4
OPM, Employees Life Insurance	19.4	1.3	20.7
Transportation, Highway Trust Fund	17.9	10.2	28.1
Energy, nuclear waste disposal	11.2	4.0	15.2
All other programs and funds	117.6	8.0	125.6
Subtotal	1,7708	219.1	1,989.9
Plus: Unamortized net premiums (discounts)	4.7	(6.3)	(1.6)
Total intragovernmental holdings, net	1,7755	212.8	1,988.3

Note 11. Federal Employee and Veteran Benefits Payable

The Govern ment of fers its em ploy ees life and health in sur ance, as well as re tire ment and other ben e fits. These ben e fits ap ply to ci vil ian and mil i tary em ploy ees.

The Federal Govern ment administers more than 40 pen sion plans. The Of fice of Per son nel Man age ment (OPM) ad ministers the largest civil ian plan. De part ment of De fense (DOD), mean while, ad ministers the largest mil i tary plan. The Govern ment of fers both de fined bene fit and de fined con tribution pen sion plans. The largest are de fined bene fit plans. The change in ac tu ar ial ac crued post-retirement health ben e fits liability and com po nents of re lated ex pense for fis cal 1999 are presented be low.

Federal Employee and Veteran Benefits Payable as of September 30

(In billions of dollars)	Civilian	Military	Total
Pensions Post-retirement health benefits Veterans compensation	1,025.2 179.7	661.8 196.2	1,687.0 375.9
and burial benefits	-	483.2	483.2
Liability for other benefits	49.0	5.6	54.6
Total Federal employee and veteran benefits payable	1,253.9	1,346.8	2,600.7

In billions of dollars)	Civilian ¹	Military	Total
Actuarial accrued pension liability, as of September 30, 1998	990.3	650.5	1,640.8
Pen sion expense: Normal costs Interest on liability Plan amendments and assumption changes	22.2 72.0	10.4 33.7 5.7	32.6 105.7 5.7
Actuarial (gains)/losses	81.0	(6.5) 43.3	<u>(19.7)</u> 124.3
Benefits paid Actuarial accrued pension liability, as of September 30, 1999		(32.0) 661.8	(78.1) 1,687.0

Significant Assumptions Used in Determining Pension Liability and the Related Expense

(In percentages)	Civilian	Military
Rate of interest	7.00% 4.00%	6.25% 3.00%
Projected salary increases	4.25%	3.50%

Change in Actuarial Accrued Post-Retirement Health Benefits Liability and Components of Related Expenses

(In billions of dollars)	Civilian	Military	Total
Actuarial accrued post-retirement health benefits liability,			
as of September 30, 1998	181.8	223.4	405.2
Prior period adjustments	-	(37.5)	(37.5)
Prior period adjustments			
benefits liability	181.8	185.9	367.7
Post-retirement health ben e fits expense:			
Normal costs	60 11.8	4.7 12.0	10.7 23.8
Actuarial (gains)/losses	(135)	-	(13.5)
Total post-retirement			
health benefits expense	4.3	16.7	21.0
Claims paid	(6.4)	(6.4)	(12.8)
Actuarial accrued post-retirement health benefits liability, as of September 30, 1999	179.7	196.2	375.9

Significant Assumptions Used in Determining Post-Retirement Health Benefits and the Related Expense

Civilian	Military
7.0%	6.5%
7.0%	4.5-10.4%
	7.0%

Civilian Employees

Pensions

The larg est ci vil ian pen sion plan is administered by OPM and cov ers approx i mately 90 per cent of all Fed eral ci vil ian em ploy ees. This plan in cludes two com po nents of de fined ben e fits. Those are the Civil Ser vice Retire ment System (CSRS) and the Fed eral Em ployees' Retire ment System (FERS). The basic ben e fit compo nents of the CSRS and the FERS are financed and op er ated through the Civil Ser vice Retire ment and Dis ability Fund (CSRDF).

CSRDF mon eys are gen er ated primar ily from em ploy ees, agency contributions, pay ments from the gen eral fund and in ter est on in vest ments in Fed eral debt se curi ties. See Note 19—Dedicated Collections, Civil ServiceRetirement and Dis abil ity Fund.

The Fed eral Re tire ment Thrift Invest ment Board, an in de pend ent Govern ment agency, op er ates the Thrift Savings Plan. Fed eral em ploy ees and re tir ees covered byCSRS and FERS own the fund's as sets. This Fi nan cial Re port ex cludes this fund be cause the employees own its assets.

Fed eral debt held by the fund is included and class if ied as Federal debt held by the public. FER Sem ploy ees may con trib ute up to 10 per cent of base pay to the plan, which the Gov ern ment matches up to 5 per cent. CSRS em ploy ees may con trib ute up to 5 per cent of base pay with no Gov ern ment match.

The Thrift Sav ings Plan held \$29.4 bil lion in non mar ket able Trea sury se cu ri ties as of Sep tem ber 30, 1999. The Fed eral Gov ern ment's re lated lia bil ity is in cluded in "To tal Fed eral debt se cu ri ties held by the pub lic" in the Bal ance Sheet.

Health Benefits

Ci vil ian re tir ees pay the same insur ance pre mium as ac tive em ployees un der the Fed eral Em ployee Health Ben e fits Pro gram (FEHBP). These pre mi ums cover only a por tion of the costs.

Other Benefits

Employee and an nuitant contributions and in ter est on in vest ments fund a por tion of the Fed eral Employees Group Life In sur ance program. This in sur ance pro gram pays private in sur ance com panies for Federal em ployees' group life in sur ance. The Of fice of Per son nel Man agement ad min is ters this pro gram.



Pensions

The De part ment of Defense (DOD) Military Retire ment Fund finances military retire ment and survivor benefit programs.

The military retire ment system con sists of a funded, noncontributory, defined ben e fit plan. It applies to the Army, Navy, Ma rine Corps and Air Force. This system includes non-disability retirement pay, dis ability retire ment pay and retire ment pay for reserve ser vice and sur vivor an nuity pro grams.

Health Benefits

Military benefitsentitleretirees and their de pend ents to health care inmilitary medical facilities if a facility can provide the needed care. Un til they reach age 65, mil i tary retir ees and their de pend ents also are entitled to be re im bursed for the cost of health care from civil ian provid ers. A pre mium is charged to enroll in DOD's civil ian care pro gram. In addition, there are de duct ible and copayment re quire ments for civil ian care. Af ter they reach 65 years of age, Medicare cov ers mil i tary retirees. Mil i tary re tiree health care figures in clude the cost of ed u ca tion and train ing, staffing, build ings and equip ment, as well as the operations and main tenance of med i cal facil i ties. They also include claims paid tocivilian providers and the cost of administering the program.

Compensation and Burial Benefits

The Govern ment compensates dis abled vet er ans and their sur vivors. Veterans compensation is payable as a dis abil ity ben e fit or a survi vor's ben e fit. En title ment to compensation de pends on: the vet eran's dis abil i ties hav ing been in curred in, or ag gra vated dur ing, active mil i tary ser vice; death while on duty, or death re sult ing from service-connected dis abil i ties, if not in ac tive duty.

Burial benefits include a burial and plot or interment allow ance pay able for a vet eran, who at the time of death, qual i fied to receive com pensation or a pension, or whose death oc curred in a VA fa cility.

The liability for veterans compensation and burial ben e fits pay able de creased in fis cal 1999 by \$97.8 billion. The primary fac tor contributing to this de crease was a change in in ter est rate as sump tions. Due to this change, the State ment of Net Cost item titled "Veterans ben e fits and services" de creased by \$204.8 billion.

Other Benefits

Vet erans in sur ance in cludes the follow ing programs:

• United States Gov ern ment Life In sur ance es tab lished in 1919 to han dle new is sues and the con ver sion of World War I Risk Term In sur ance.

• National Service Life Insurance established in 1940 to meet the needs of World War II service personnel.

• Vet erans Spe cial Life Insurance established in 1951 for Ko rean vet er ans who did not have ser vice-connected dis abilities.

• Service-Disabled Veterans Insurance established in 1951 for vet er ans with service-connected disabilities.

• Vet erans Re opened In sur ance es tab lished a 1-year re open ing in 1965 of Na tional Ser vice Life In sur ance for cer tain disabled World War II and Korean veterans.

Veterans Compensation and Burial Benefits Payable as of September 30 (In billions of dollars) Veterans 397.5 Survivors 82.8 Burial benefits 2.9 Total compensation and burial benefits payable 483.2

Note 12. Environmental and Disposal Liabilities

Dur ing World War II and the Cold War, the United States de vel oped a mas sive in dus trial com plex to research, pro duce and test nu clear weap ons. This in cluded nu clear reac tors, chem i cal pro cess ing buildings, metal machin ing plants, lab oratories and maintenance facilities.

These activities left an environmental legacy of contaminated areas and build ings. Volumes of waste and special nuclear materials require treatment, stabilization and disposal. The resulting environmental liabilities consist of the costs as so ci ated with removing, containing and/or disposing of this haz ard ous waste.

Of those environmental liabilities, this report presents only cleanup costs from Fed eral op er a tions known to re sult in haz ard ous waste that the Fed eral Govern ment is required to clean up by Fed eral, State, or local statutes and/or regulations.

The Depart ment of Energy incurred operating and cap i talex penditures to tal ing \$5.8 billion in fis cal 1999. It used these funds to remediateleg acy waste. This includes nuclear materials and facilities stabilization, and waste treatment, storage and disposal activities at each installation.

"En viron mental management facil i ties and sites" in clude costs for environmental restoration; nuclear material and facility stabilization; and waste treat ment, stor age and disposal activities at each in stal lation. It also in cludes cost for related activities such as land lord re sponsibilities, program management and legally prescribed grants for participation and oversight by Native American tribes and regulatory agencies.

"Active and sur plus facilities" representantic ipated remediation cost for those facilities that are conducting on going operations but ultimately will require stabilization, deactivation and decommissioning.

"High-level waste and spent nuclear fuel" in clude the full cost to provide for per manent dis posal of the Nation's high-level radio active waste and spent nu clear fuel.

Projects with no cur rent feasi bil ity remediation ap proach are ex cluded from the estimate. Significant projects not in cluded are:

• Nu clear ex plo sion test ar eas (e.g., Ne vada test site).

• Large sur face wa ter bod ies (e.g., Clinch and Co lum bia Rivers).

• Most ground water (even with treat ment, fu ture use will be re stricted).

- Some spe cial nu clear ma terial (e.g., ura nium
- hexafluoride).

The Department of Defense (DOD) is responsible for the cleanup of facil i ties it op er ates or has op erated, including restoration of active and Base Re align ment and Clo sure in stal la tions and for merly used defense sites; dis posal of chem i cal weapons; environmental costs as soci ated with the dis posal of weap ons systems (primarily nu clear powered air craft car riers and sub marines); and for train ing range cleanup.

Environmental and Disposal Liabilities as of September 30

(In billions of dollars)

Environmental management facilities and sites	183.7
Active and surplus facilities	25.4
High-level waste and spent nuclear fuel	14.9
Other	6.7
Total Energy	230.7
DOD:	
Training ranges	34.0
Active installations	15.4
Nuclear powered aircraft carriers and submarines	10.8
Chemical weapons disposal	8.9
Other	10.6
Total DOD	79.7
All other agencies	2.8
Total environmental and disposal liabilities	313.2

Note 13. Benefits Due

These amounts are the ben e fits owed to program recipients or medical ser vice providers as of the fis cal year end that have not yet been paid. For a description of the programs, see the Steward ship Responsibilities see tion under Steward ship In formation.

Benefits Due and Payable as of September 30

(In billions of dollars)	
Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance	29.0
Federal Hospital Insurance (Medicare Part A).	13.3
Grants to States for Medicaid	11.6
Federal Supplemental Medical Insurance	
(Medicare Part B)	10.4
Federal Disability Insurance	6.8
Supplemental security income	1.0
Railroad retirement.	0.7
Unemployment insurance	0.4
Other benefits	0.6
Total hanafite due and poweble	73.8
Total benefits due and payable	

Note 14. Other Liabilities

"In sur ance pro grams" in clude bank de posit in sur ance, guar antees of pen sion ben e fits, life and med i cal in sur ance. They also in clude in surance against dam age to prop erty (home, crops and air planes) caused by per ils such as flood ing and other nat u ral di sas ters, risk of war, and insolvency.

"Ac crued wages and ben e fits" consist of the estimated liability for civilian and commissioned officers' sal a ries and wages earned but unpaid. They also in clude funded an nual leave and other em ployee ben e fits that have been earned but are un paid.

Amounts re ceived for goods and ser vices to be pro vided com prise "Advances from others".

"Ex change Stabilization Fund" includes Special Drawing Rights (SDRs) certificates is sued to the Fed eral Reserve Banks and allocations from the International Monetary Fund. "Other debt" in cludes Govern ment obligations, whether secured or un se cured, not in cluded in pub lic debt.

"Gold cer tif i cates" are monetarized por tions of gold and the cer tif i cates are de pos ited in the Fed eral Re serve Bank.

"De ferred revenue" re fers to revenue re ceived but not yet earned.

"Other miscellaneous liabilities" in clude amounts ac crued for con tin gent liabilities.

(In billions of dollars)			
	S		21.2
Accrued wages and	d benefits		18.5
Advances from othe	ers		16.0
Exchange Stabiliza	tion Fund		14.0
Other debt			11.3
Gold certificates			11.0
Deferred revenue			9,5
Unclassified deposit	ited funds		7.1
Other miscellaneou	us liabilities		60.4
		_ =	169.0

Note 15. Collections and Refunds of Federal Revenue

Treasury is the Federal Government's principal revenue-collecting agency.

Collections of "In divid ual income and tax with holdings" in clude est i mated in come tax pay ments by in dividuals, Social Security and Medicare taxes, rail road re tirement taxes and in divid ual in come tax with hold ings.

Refunds of "In divid ual in come and tax with hold ings" in clude refunds from the Earned In come Tax Credit (EITC). The EITC is a refund able credit for tax pay ers who work and whose earn ings fall be low the estab lished ceil ing. A re fund able credit is first used to off set any in divid ual taxes owed; any re main ing amounts are is sued to the tax payer. Amounts re ported for cor po rate in come taxes in tax year 1999 in clude cor po rate taxes of \$8 billion for tax year 2000. In fis cal 1999, the IRS is sued \$25.6 billion in EITC re funds. An ad di tional \$4.9 billion of the EITC credits were ap plied to re duce tax payer li a bility. These EITC amounts are in cluded in "Gross Cost" in the Statement of Net Costs as a com po nent of the in come se curity function.

Collections of Federal Revenue for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30

(In billions of dollars)	Federal Revenue Collections	1999	1998	1997	Prior years
Individual income and tax withholdings	1,588.2	1,0204	547.4	11.4	9.0
Corporate income taxes	216.0	1428	62.5	1.1	9.6
Unemployment taxes	26.5	24.6	1.9	_	
Excise taxes	72.0	48.4	23.5	•	0.1
Estate and gift taxes	28.4	-	25.0	1.0	24
Customs duties.	19.1	19.1	-	-	-
Federal Reserve Bank earnings	26.0	18.6	7.4	-	-
Fees and licenses	1.6	1.6	-	-	-
Fines, penalties, interest and other taxes.	6.7	4.4	2.3		-
Total	1,984.5	1,2799	670.0	13.5	21.1

Tax year to which collections relate

Federal Tax Refunds Disbursed for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30

Tax year to which the refunds relate

(In billions of dollars)	Refunds Dispersed	1999	1998	1997	Prior years
Individual income and tax withholdings	149.2	0.6	138.9	7.2	2.5
Corporate income taxes	33.8	1.5	14.2	63	11.8
Unemployment taxes	0.1	-	0.1	-	-
Excise taxes	1.3	0.2	0.4	-	0.7
Customs duties	1.2	0.4	0.3	Q1	0.4
Estate and gift taxes	0.7	-	0.2	03	0.2
Total	186.3	2.7	154.1	13.9	15.6

Note 16. Unreconciled Transactions Affecting the Change in Net Position

The rec on cil i a tion of the "Change in Net Po si tion" requires that the differ ence be tween end ing and be gin ning net po si tion equals the ex cess of rev e nues over cost, plus or minus prior period ad just ments.

The unrec on ciled trans actions needed to bring the change in net position into bal ance net to \$24.4 billion.

The three primary fac tors af fecting this out-of-balance situation are:

• Im proper re cording of intragovernmental transactions by agencies.

• Transactions affecting Bal ance Sheet as sets and li a bil i ties not properly iden ti fied by agen cies as prior period ad just ments.

• Timing differ ences and er rors in the reporting of transactions.

The Federal financial community considers the identification and reporting of these unreconciled trans actions a priority.

Note 17. Prior Period Adjustments

"Prior period adjust ments" con sist of a net \$6.9 bil lion adjust ment to the open ing net po sition, to cor rect er rors in prior periods.

Significant components of this net ad just ment in clude:

• A \$37.5 bil lion de crease of the be gin ning post-re tirement health benefitsliability for militarypersonnel (see Note 11— Fed eral Employee and Vet eran Ben e fits Pay able), and

• A \$28.5 bil lion in crease of theen vironmental liabilities for long-term sur veil lance and main te nance, and de con tam ination and de com missioning costs.

Note 18. Commitments and Contingencies

The Gov ern ment has entered into contrac tual commit ments that re quire fu ture use of fi nancial re sources. It has sig nifi cant amounts of long-term lease ob ligations as shown in the ta ble below. "Unde livered or ders" represent the value of goods and ser vices or dered that have not yet been re ceived.

Contingentliabilities related to the loan guar an tee pro grams are de scribed in Note 4.

A contingency is an existing conditionor situation involving uncertainty as to a possible loss. A loss is considered reasonably pos si ble if the fu ture con firm ing event or events are more than re mote, but less than prob a ble. These con tin gen cies do not include existing conditions or situations where the fu ture oc cur ring event is only con sid ered re mote, nor do they in clude con tin gen cies that would re sult in a gain.

The Gov ern ment also is subject to contingencies, including litigation, that arise in the nor mal course of oper a tions. The ul timate disposition of these matters is un known. Based on in for mation currently available, how ever, it is man age ment's opin ion that the expected out come of these mat ters, in di vid u ally or in the ag gre gate, will not have a mate rial ad verse ef fect on the fi nan cial state ments, except for lit i ga tion de scribed in the next para graph.

Nu mer ous cases are pend ing involving super visory good will at savings and loan in stitutions, Medicare cost re port set tle ments, har bor main te nance fees and cer tain other matters. While it is likely that the United States will have to pay some amount of dam ages on the claims, the ul timate costs can not be rea son ably es timated at this time.

The Gov ern ment also has un used stat u tory lines of credit to Gov ern ment sponsored enterprises to taling \$10 bil lion.

Financial Treatment of Loss Contingencies

Probability of Loss	Probable	Reasonably Possible, more than remote but less than probable	Remote, chance of occurrence slight
Financial Treatment	Balance Sheet	Footnote Disclosure	No disclosure

(In billions of dollars)	Capital Leases	Operating Leases
Long-term Leases:		
General Services Administration (GSA)	0.3	15.7
U.S. Postal Service	0.6	85
Department of Justice	-	39
National Institutes of Health	-	06
Other long-term leases	0.9	23
-	1.8	31.0
Total long-term leases		
Undelivered Orders:		
HUD		
Navy		
Education		
HHS		
Executive Office of the President		
Defense agencies		
Rural development		
Other undelivered orders	187.1	
Total undelivered orders	405.0	=
Other Commitments:		
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	5.0	
satellites and weather systems		
Transportation		
Navy		
·		
Commodity Credit Corporation		_
Total other commitments	10.8	

Contingencies as of September 30

(In billions of dollars)

Insurance:	
Export-Import Bank	40.9
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	19.0
Overseas Private Investment Corporation	0.2
Bank Insurance Fund	0.2
Other insurance programs	0.2
Total insurance programs	60.5
Unadjudicated Claims:	
Air Force	0.8
Interior	0.4
GSA	0.2
Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation Resolution Fund	0.1
Bank Insurance Fund	0.1
Army	0.1
Other unadjudicated claims	
	0.0
Total unadjudicated claims	
Other Contingencies:	
Multi-lateral development banks	67.4
Production flexibility program.	5.1
Conservation reserve program	1.3
Environmental cleanup	1.1
Contingent liabilities	0.5
Nuclear waste fund	0.5
Real property activities	0.4
Other contingencies	1.4
Total other contingencies	77.7
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

97 ie .

Note 19. Dedicated Collections

The term "trust fund," as used in this re port and in Fed eral bud get account ing, is fre quently mis un derstood. In the pri vate sec tor, "trust fund" re fers to funds of one party held by a sec ond party (the trustee) in a fidu ciary ca pacity. In the Fed eral budget, the term "trust fund" means only that the law re quires the funds be accounted for sep a rately, used only for spec i fied pur poses and des ig nated as a "trust fund." A change in law may change the fu ture re ceipts and the terms un der which the fund's resources are spent.

"Trust fund as sets" rep re sent the unex pended bal ance from all sources of re ceipts and amounts due the trust fund, re gard less of source. This in cludes re lated govern men tal trans actions. These are trans ac tions be tween two dif fer ent en ti ties within the Federal Gov ern ment (for ex am ple, monies re ceived by one en tity of the Govern ment from an other en tity of the Government).

"Intragovernmental net as sets" are comprised of in vest ments in Fed eral debt securi ties, related accrued in terest and fund bal ance with Trea sury. These amounts were elim i nated in preparing this FinancialReport.

"Consolidated as sets" represent only the amounts due from in di vid uals and other en ti ties out side the Govern ment. This means that all re lated govern mental trans ac tions are removed to pres ent the Govern ment's positionas a whole.

The major ity of trust fund as sets is in vested in intragovernmental Fed eral debt se curi ties. These se curi ties require re demp tion if a fund's dis bursements ex ceed its re ceipts. Re deeming these se curi ties will in crease the Govern ment's financ ing needs and re quire more bor row ing from the public (or less re pay ment of debt prior to ma turity) or will re sult in higher taxes than

oth er wise would have been needed.

Assets					
(In billions of dollars)	Receipts	Disburse- ments	Trust Fund Net Assets	Less Intragovern- mental Net Assets	Consoli- dated Assets
Fund Name					
Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance					
	444.7	334.4	745.9	745.9	-
Federal Disability		00			
Insurance Trust Fund	67.9	52.0	87.1	87.1	-
Medicare Part A.	150.5	1324 79.6	141.4	1 4 1.4 45.6	-
Medicare Part B	85.1	79.6	45.6	45.6	-
Trust Fund	31.8	25.0	78.9	78.9	-
Hazardous Substance	01.0	20.0	70.0	70.0	
Superfund	Ó.9	1.5	4.4	4.4	-
Highway Trust Fund	39.3	29.3	28.0	28.0	-
Airport and Airway	11.1	7.7	12.7	12.7	
Trust Fund	11.1	1.1	12.7	12.1	-
and Disability Fund	73.9	43.9	490.4	490.1	0.3
Military Retirement Fund .	38.0	32.0	156.0	156.0	-
Railroad Retirement Board					
Trust Fund	5.1	8.2	21.9	21.9	-

Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund

This trust fund pro vides as sis tance and pro tec tion against the loss of earnings due to re tire ment or death. The as sis tance is in the form of money pay ments. The Federal Old-Age and Survivors In sur ance Trust Fund is ad min istered by the So cial Se curity Administration(SSA).

Pay roll and self-employment taxes pri mar ily fund the Fed eral Old-Age and Sur vi vors In sur ance Trust Fund. In ter est earn ings on Fed eral debt se curi ties, Fed eral agen cies' pay ments for the So cial Se curity bene fits earned by military and Fed eral ci vilian employees, and Treasury payments for a por tion of in come taxes paid on So cial Se curity ben e fits pro vide the fund with ad ditional income.



The Federal Dis abil ity In sur ance Trust Fund provides as sistance and protection against the loss of earnings due to a wage earner's disability. The assistance is in the form of money pay ments. SSA ad min is ters the Federal Disability In sur ance Trust Fund.

Like the Fed eral Old-Age and Sur vivors In sur ance Trust Fund, pay roll taxes primarily fund the Federal Disability Insur ance Trust Fund. The Fund also receives in come from in ter est earn ings on Federal debt se curities, Federal agencies' pay ments for the Social Se curity ben e fits earned by mil i tary and Fed eral ci vil ian em ploy ees, and a portion of in come taxes paid on So cial Se curity ben e fits.



Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund (Medicare Part B)

The Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund finances the Supplemental Medical Insurance program (Medicare Part B), which provides supplementary medical insurance for eligible partic i pants to cover med i cal ex penses not cov ered by Medicare Part A. The De part ment of Health and Hu man Ser vices ad min is ters the program. Appropriations, premiums charged to en rollees and in terest earned on in vest ments in Federal debt se curities fund the Federal Supplementary MedicalInsurance Trust Fund.



The Un em ploy ment Trust Fund pro tects work ers who lose their jobs through no fault of their own. The Un em ploy ment In sur ance pro gram is a unique Fed eral and State part ner ship based on Fed eral law, but ex e cuted through State law by State of ficials. The De part ment of La bor ad min is ters the Fed eral op er a tions of the pro gram.

Taxes on em ploy ers pri mar ily fund the Un em ploy ment Trust Fund. How ever, in ter est earned on in vest ments in Fed eral debt se cu ri ties also pro vides in come to

the fund. Ap propriations have supplemented its in come during periods of high and extended unemployment.



The Haz ard ous Sub stance Superfund was au tho rized to ad dress public health and en viron men tal threats



The fol low ing fi nance the Haz ardous Substance Superfund:

• Ex cise taxes col lected on petroleum, chemicals and im ported sub stances (ex pired in 1995). • Environmental taxes from corporations with alternative minimum tax able in come in ex cess of \$2 mil lion (ex pired in 1995).

• Fi nes and pen al ties and cost recoveries from responsible parties.

- Appropriations.
- Interest earned on investments in Fed eral debt se curities.

Highway Trust Fund



The High way Trust Fund was es tab lished to pro mote do mes tic in terstate trans por ta tion, and mov ing peo ple and goods. The fund pro vides Fed eral grants to States for high way con struction and re lated trans por tation pur poses. Trans por ta tion ad min is ters the High way Trust Fund.

The following provide all financing for the High way Trust Fund:
Earmarked taxes on gas o line and other fuels, cer tain tires, vehi cle and truck use.

• In ter est earned on in vest ments in Fed eral debt se cu ri ties.

Airport and Airway Trust Fund

The Air port and Air way Trust Fund provides for air port im provement, main tenance of air port facilities and equipment, research, and a portion of oper ations. Trans portation ad min is ters the Air port and Air way Trust Fund.

The follow ing provide funding for the Air port and Airway Trust Fund:

- Taxes received from trans por tation of per sons and prop erty in the air and fuel used in non-commercial air craft.
- International departure taxes.
- In ter est earned on in vest ments in Fed eral debt securities.

Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund The Civil Ser vice Retire ment and Dis abil ity Fund cov ers two Federal civilian retirement systems: the Civil Ser vice Re tirement Sys tem (CSRS) for em ployees hired be fore 1984 and the Federal Em ployee Retire ment System (FERS), for em ploy ees hired af ter 1983.

The CSRS is fi nanced by:

- Federalcivilianemployees' contributions.
- Agencies' contributions on be half of the em ploy ees.
- Appropriations.

• In ter est earned on in vestments in Federal debt se curities.

Military Retirement: Fund

The Military Retirement Fund provides retirement bene fits for Army, Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force per son nel and their survivors. The fund is financed by DOD contributions, appropriations and interest earned on in vest ments in Fed eral debt se curi ties.



The Rail road Re tire ment Trust Fund provides an nuities and sur vivorbene fits to el i giblerail road employ ees and their sur vi vors. The fund also pays dis abil ity an nuities based on to talor oc cupational dis abil ity. Pay roll taxes paid by rail road employ ers and their employ ees provide the primary source of income for the Rail road Re tire ment Sur vi vor Ben efit pro gram. By law, rail road taxes are co or di nated with So cial Se curity taxes.

Note 20. Indian Trust Funds



The In dian Trust Funds dif fer from other ded i cated col lec tions reported in Note 19. The De part ment of the In te rior (In te rior) has re spon si bil ity for the assets held in trust on be half of Amer i can Indian tribes and individuals. The trust funds are held in ac counts for ap prox imately 315 tribes, 317,000 in di vid ual In dian ac counts and other funds, in cluding the Alaska Na tive Es crow Fund. The as sets held in trust for Na tive Amer i cans are owned by the trust ben efi ciaries and are not the Fed eral Govern. ment's as sets. There fore, these amounts are not re flected in the Bal ance Sheet or State ment of Op er a tions and Changes in Net Po si tion ex cept for their hold ings of non market able Treasury securities, for which the Govern ment's li a bil ity is in cluded in Fed eral debt se curi ties held by the public.

U.S. Government as Trustee for Indian Trust Funds Held for Indian Tribes and Other Special Trust Funds Statement of Changes in Trust Fund Balances as of September 30 (Unaudited)

(In millions of dollars)	
Receipts	873.0
Disbursements	(736.7)
Receipts in excess of disbursements	136.3
Trust fund balances, beginning of year	2,460.0
Adjustment	(0.5)
Trust fund balances, end of year	2,595.8

U.S. Government as Trustee for Indian Trust Funds Held for Individual Indian Monies Trust Funds Statement of Changes in Trust Fund Balances as of September 30 (Unaudited)

(In millions of dollars)	
Receipts	306.7
Disbursements	<u>(33</u> 6.6)
Receipts in excess of disbursements	(29.9)
Trust fund balances, beginning of year	479.2
Trust fund balances, end of year	449.3

United States Government Supplemental Information for the Year Ended September 30, 1999 (Unaudited)

Net Cost Detail

The State ment of Net Cost pres ents the cost of the Gov ernment's ma jor func tions. The ob jec tives of each of the func tions are de scribed be low. Also, the state ment con tains the def i ni tions of "Gross cost," "Earned revenue" and "Net cost."

National Defense Amounts listed un der this fune tion in clude the cost to provide military forces to de ter war; to be prepared to en gage in war; and to pre serve the peace and se curity of the United States, the Ter ri tories, Com mon wealth, its possessions and any area oc cu pied by the United States. Na tional de fense also in cludes the cost to train, equip, compen sate and pro vide re tire ment ben efits for the armed forces; de velop, ac quire, utilize and dis pose of weapon systems; conduct research and de velop ment to main tain tech

no logical superiority, cut costs and im prove per for mance of weapon sys tems; and carry out other de fense related activities.

Human Resources

Education, Training, Employment and Social Services

The "Education, training, employ ment and so cial services" function serves to extend knowl edge and skills, enhance employment and employmentopportunities, protect workplacestandards and pro vide ser vices to the needy.

Education, Training, Employment and Social Services

(In bil lions of dol lars)	Gross Cost	Earned Revenue	Net Cost
Subfunctions :			
Elementary, secondary and vocational education	17.6	-	17.6
Higher education	14.5	1.4	13.1
Research and general education aids	2.5	-	2.5
Training and employment	5.7	-	5.7
Other labor services	1.0	-	1.0
Social services	16.6	•	16.6
Total education, training, employment and social services	57.9	1.4	56.5



Health

Listed under the "Health" function are the costs to pro mote phys i cal and men tal health, in clud ing the prevention of ill ness and ac ci dents and the Medicaid pro gram. Although the Medicare pro gram is the larg est Fed eral health pro gram, by law it is in a sep a rate function for bud get pur poses. Also ex cluded from the "Health" subfunction is Federal health care for mil i tary personneland veterans.

Medicare

Federal Hospital Insurance (Medicare Part A) and Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance (Medicare Part B) pro grams make up Medicare. This func tion is not fur ther sub di vided. For more in for mation on Medicare, see the note in the Stew ard ship Re sponsi bilities sec tion of Stew ard ship In for mation, and Note 19—Ded icated Collections.

Social Security

"So cial Se cu rity" costs in_ clude pay ments to el i gi ble ben eficiaries of the Old-Age and SurvivorsInsurance (OASI) and Disability Insurance (DI) pro grams. These are col lec. tively referred to as "So cial Se curity." The Social Security pro gram is the sin gle larg est Fed eral pro gram and is funded primarily by pay roll taxes. For more in for mation on Social Security, refer to the Stew ardship Information section on StewardshipResponsibilities and Note 19-Ded i cated Col lections.

Health

(In bil lions of dol lars)	Gross Cost	Earned Revenue	Net Cost
Subfunctions:			
Health care services	. 123.4	0.5	122.9
Health research and training	. 14.7	0.1	14.6
Consumer and occupational health and safety	2.5	0.1	2.4
Total health		0.7	139.9

Income Security

The cost of providing payments to per sons un related to any cur rent ser vice com prises the "In come se curity" function. Included are dis ability, rail road retire ment benefits, tem porary as sis tance to needy fam i lies and sim i lar programs, other than amounts related to So cial Se curity and vet er ans. Also in cluded are food stamps, spe cial milk and child nu trition programs; unemployment compensation; and workers' compensation earned in come tax credit refunds and re duc tion of tax payer liabilities; public as sistance cash pay ments; ben e fits paid to the el derly and coal min ers; and low- and mod er ate-income hous ing as sistance. The cost of Fed eral pen sions and re tiree health ben e fits are al lo cated to other functions.

Income Security

7 23.8
27.8
1 34.0
7 86.1
7 10.1
2 181.8

Veterans Benefits and Services

Human Resources, cont. The amounts listed un der this func tion in clude spe cific ben e fits and ser vices paid to those with prior mil i tary ser vice or their spouse, de pend ents and sur vi vors. In cluded are vet er ans com pensation, life in sur ance, pensions, burial ben e fits, ed u cation, training, med i cal care, vet er ans housing and ad min is trative ex penses of the De part ment of Veterans Af fairs. The net cost line ti tled "Veterans ben e fits and ser vice" de creased this fis cal year by \$204.8 bil lion pri mar ily due to a change in in ter est rate as sump tions in the cal culation of the related liability for veterans compensation.

Veterans Benefits and Services

Gross Cost	Earned Revenue	Net Cost
·		
(65.5)	-	(65.5)
· · ·		· · ·
1.7	0.2	1.5
18.2	1.8	16.4
1.3	0.5	0.8
1.1	0.2	0.9
(43.2)	2.7	(45.9)
	(65.5) 1.7 18.2 1.3 1.1	Gross Cost Revenue (65.5) - 1.7 0.2 18.2 1.8 1.3 0.5 1.1 0.2

Physical Resources

Natural Resources and Environment

This function is comprised of costs in curred to develop, man age and main tain the Nation's natural resources and environment. Excluded are funding for community water supply programs, basic sewer systems and waste treat ment plants that are part of community or regional development programs.

Energy

Energy			
(In bil lions of dol lars)	Gross Cost	Earned Revenue	Net Cost
Subfunctions:			
Energy supply	11.3	11.9	(0.6)
Energy conservation	0.6	-	0.6
Emergency energy preparedness Energy information,	0.2	-	0.2
Energy information,	0.8	0.5	0.3
policy and regulation	12.9	12.4	0.5
Total energy			

Energy

The "Energy" function in cludes the cost of promoting an ad e quate supply and ap pro pri ate use of energy to serve the needs of the Na tion.

Natural Resources and Environment

(In bil lions of dol lars)	Gross Cost	Earned Revenue	Net Cost
Subfunctions:			
Water resources.	5.5	0.5	5.0
Conservation and land management	6.2	0.9	5.3
Recreational resources	3.0	0.3	27
Pollution control and abatement	8.6	0.6	8.0
Other natural resources	3.8	0.6	3.2
Total natural resources and environment	27.1	2.9	24.2

Physical Resources, cont.

Commerce and Housing Credit

This function en com passes the promotion and regulation of the commerce, hous ing and de posit insurance in dustries. Included under "Commerce and hous ing credit" are costs to collect and dis sem i nate social and economic data; provide general pur pose sub si dies to busi ness and individuals, including credit

sub si dies for hous ing; and sup port the Postal Service fund.

Transportation

Grants to States and oth ers for local or na tional trans por ta tion of passen gers and prop erty make up the bulk of the cost as so ci ated with this func tion. In cluded are costs to construct facilities; purchase equipment; do re search, testing and eval u a tion; and pro vide op er at ing sub si dies to transportation facilities (such as airports and rail roads).

Commerce and Housing Credit

(In bil lions of dol lars)	Gross Cost	Earned Revenue	Net Cost
Subfunctions:			
Mortgage credit	(3.4)	3.7	(7.1)
Postal Service	80.0	61.9	18.1
Deposit insurance	4.3	1.4	2.9
Other advancement of commerce	8.3	6.9	1.4
Total commerce and housing credit =	89.2	73.9	15.3

Transportation Earned (In bil lions of dol lars) **Gross Cost** Revenue Net Cost Subfunctions: 29.2 Ground transportation 29.2 Air transportation 9.6 0.4 9.2 Water transportation 5.1 0.7 4.4 0.2 0.2 Other transportation. 44.1 1.1 43.0 Total transportation. . . .

Community and Regional Development

The costs of promoting viable community economies by developing physical facilities or financial in frastructures comprise this function. Also in cluded are the costs of developing transportation facilities that are in te gral parts of com munity develop ment programs. Aids to busi nesses is usu ally ex cluded from this func tion un less it promotes the eco nomic de vel op ment of de pressed ar eas and is not de signed to pro mote par tic u lar lines of business for their own sake.

Community and Regional Development

(In bil lions of dol lars)	Gross Cost	Earned Rev e nue	Net Cost
Subfunctions			
Community development	5.1 4.2	- 1.1	5.1 3.1
Disaster relief and insurance	5.6	1.7	3.9
Total community and regional development=	14.9	28	12.1



Interest costs are pri mar ily amounts on Fed eral debt securi ties held by the public. In terest pay ments on these se cu ri ties are made by Trea sury's Bu reau of the Public Debt.



International Affairs

This function in cludes the cost of main tain ing peace ful relations, supporting commerce and travel be tween

the United States and the rest of the world, and promoting international security and economic development abroad.

General Science, Space and Technology

This function covers the cost of National Science Foundation research, NASA space programs and De part-

ment of En ergy gen eral sci ence research.

Agriculture

Costs as sociated with promoting agricultural economic stability and main taining and increasing agricultural production are found under the "Agriculture" function.

International Affairs

		Earned	
(In bil lions of dol lars)	Gross Cost	Revenue	Net Cost
Subfunctions:			
International development and humanitarian assistance International security assistance Conduct of foreign affairs Foreign information and exchange activities International financial programs	9.8 5.8 6.1 1.2 6.7	0.4 0.8 1.0 - 7.4	9.4 5.0 5.1 1.2 (0.7)
Total international affairs=	29.6	9.6	20.0

General Science, Space and Technology

(In bil lions of dol lars)	Gross Cost	Earned Rev e nue	Net Cost
Subfunctions:			
General science and basic research	5.6	-	5.6
Space flight, research and supporting activities	11.9	0.1	11.8
Total general science, space and technology=	17.5	0.1	17.4

Agriculture

(In bil lions of dol lars)	Gross Cost	Earned Revenue	Net Cost
Subfunctions:			
Farm income stabilization	23.7	1.9	21.8
Agriculture research and service	3.5	0.5	3.0
Total agriculture=	27.2	2.4	24.8

Other Functions, cont.

Administration of Justice

The cost of ju di cial ser vices in cludes police protection, law enforce ment (in cluding civil rights), rehabilitation and in car cer a tion of crim i nals, and the general main tenance of do mes tic or der. It also in cludes the cost of providing court-appointed counsel or other legal services for in di vidu als. Not found un der "Ad min is tration of justice" are the costs of the leg is la tive branch and police and guard activities that protect Fed eral property. Also, the cost of National Guard person nel and military person nel who are called upon oc casion ally to main tain public safety and the cost of military police are included under the national defense function.

General Government

"Gen eral Gov ern ment" cov ers gen eral over head costs of the Fed eral Gov ern ment. This in cludes leg is la tive and exec u tive ac tiv i ties as well as cen tral fis cal, per son nel and property ac tiv i ties. All ac tiv i ties rea son ably or closely asso ci ated with other func tions are in cluded in those functions rather than "Gen eral Gov ern ment."

Administration of Justice

	Revenue	Net Cost
14.8	0.8	14.0
7.8	0.3	7.5
3.6	0.1	3.5
5.0	0.4	4.6
31.2	1.6	29.6
-	7.8 3.6 5.0	7.8 0.3 3.6 0.1 5.0 0.4

General Government

(In bil lions of dol lars)	Gross Cost	Earned Revenue	Net Cost
Subfunctions:			
Legislative functions	1.9	-	1.9
Executive direction and management	0.7	·_	0.7
Central fiscal operations	13.1	0.5	12.6
General property and records management	0.1	0.1	-
Central personnel management	0.3	-	0.3
General purpose fiscal assistance	1.1	-	. 1.1
Other general Government	7.9	4.0	3.9
T () () () () () () () () () (25.1	4.6	20.5
Total general Government			_

Deferred main te nance is the estimated cost to bring Gov ern ment owned property to an ac cept able condition. This results from not per form ing main te nance on a timely basis. Deferred main tenance ex cludes the cost of ex panding the capacity of as sets or upgrad ing them to serve needs different from those orig i nally intended. The con se quences of not performing regular maintenance could include in creased safety hazards, poor ser vice to the public, higher cost in the fu ture and in effi-

cient op er a tions. Es ti mated deferred main te nance costs are not ac crued in the State ment of Net Costor rec og nized as a li a bil ity on the Bal ance Sheet.

The amounts dis closed for deferred main te nance have been measured using the following two methods:

• Condition as sess ment surveys are periodic in spections of the Gov ern ment owned property to de ter mine the cur rent condition and es ti mated cost to bring the property to an acceptablecondition.

• Life-cycle cost fore cast is an acquisition or procurement tech nique that con sid ers op erating, main te nance and other costs in ad di tion to the ac quisition cost of as sets.

Some de ferred main te nance has been deemed to be crit i cal. Such amounts and con di tions are de fined by the in di vid ual agen cies with respon si bil ity for the safe keep ing for these as sets.

Deferred Maintenance as of September 30			
_	Deferred Maint Cost Ran	- .	
_(In bil lions of dol lars)	Low Estimate	High Estimate	Critical Maintenance
Asset Category:			
Buildings, structures and facilities	44.3	525	43.8
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	.4	. 8	-
Other general property, plant and equipment	.2	. 3	-
Total general property, plant and equipment	44.9	536	43.8
Heritage assets	.3	1. 0	1.0
National defense assets	2.7	27	
Total stewardship assets	3.0	3.7	1.0
Total deferred maintenance	47.9	57.3	44.8

Reconciliation of Excess of Revenue over Net Cost

For fis cal 1999, the uni fied bud get re ported a sur plus of \$124.4 bil lion. For the same period, the Fi nan cial Re port re ports an ex cess of rev e nue over cost of \$76.9 bil lion. The dif fer ence be tween these two amounts oc curs be cause they are pre pared pri mar ily on dif fer ent mea sure ment bases to carryout their differ ent objectives.

The Fi nan cial Re port gen er ally is based on gen er ally ac cepted ac count ing principles. Thus, ex penses and ex change revenue are generally recog nized when the events giving rise to the trans ac tions oc cur rather than when the cash is received or paid.

Non-exchange revenues are rec og nized on a mod i fied cash basis of ac counting. By contrast, the uni fied bud get is com puted pri mar ily on the cash basis, accord ing to ac cepted bud get concepts and pol i cies. The most sig nif i cant differ ences be tween these two bases in volve the timing of rec og ni tion and mea surement of revenue and costs.

The differences between these two bases of ac counting can be

For fis cal 1999, the unified divided into four primary cate ad get reported a sur plus of gories.

1. Re ceipts rec og nized in the bud get that are not rec og nized as revenue in the Fi nan cial Re port, such as:

- Collections of pre-credit reform loans.
- Collections of taxes receivable.
- Collections of accounts receivable.
- Pro ceeds from the sale of cap i tal as sets rep re senting "book value."

2. Revenues recognized in the Financial Report that are not ree og nized as receipts in the bud get, such as:

- In creases in taxes re ceivable.
- In creases in ac counts receivable.

3. Out lays rec og nized in the bud get that are not rec og nized as costs in the Fi nan cial Re port, such as:

> • Pur chases of in ven tory and gen eral prop erty, plant and equip ment.

• Payments of accounts pay able.

• Payments of employee pen sions and other ben e fits that re duce prior re lated liabilities.

363 Q

100

Ú.

8336.

• Payments of environmental cleanup and disposal costs that reduce prior related liabilities.

4. Costs rec og nized in the Finan cial Re port that are not recog nized as out lays in the bud get, such as:

- Depreciation on general property, plant and equipment.
- Increases inliabilities for em ployee pen sions and other ben e fits.
- In creases in estimated

environmentalliabilities.

- De faults on pre-credit
- re form loans.
- De creases in in ventory.
- In creases in ac counts payable.

Theremainingunidentified dif fer ence is a net of \$30.5 billion. Since some of the dif ferences may be off set ting, the gross differ ence is larger than the \$30.5 bil lion and may include trans ac tions in all four cate go ries listed above. It also includes the effect of misclassifying intragovernmentaltrans actions and relatively small differences in entity coverage.

Reconciliation of the Excess of Revenue over Net Cost to the Unified **Budget Surplus for the Year Ended September 30 (Unaudited)** (In billions of dollars) 76.9 Excess of revenue over net cost Decrease in veteran compensation and burial benefits: (64.8)Decrease in liability for survivors. (29.3)(0.8) Decrease in liability for burial benefits..... (94.9)Decrease in liability for veterans Increase in environmental liabilities: Increase in Energy's environmental liabilities 44.3 Increase in Defense's environmental liabilities 45.7 Decrease in all others environmental liabilities..... (1.3) 88.7 Increase in environmental liabilities Capitalized fixed assets: (23.5)Department of Defense Civilian agencies (18.0) (41.5) Total capitalized fixed assets Increase in liability for civilian employee benefits: 28.8 Increase in civilian pension liabilities. Decrease in civilian health liabilities (2.1)14.9 Increase in other civilian benefits liabilities 41.6 Increase in liability for civilian employee benefits liabilities Decrease in liability for military employee benefits: Increase in military pension liabilities. 11.3 Decrease in military health liabilities..... (27.2) (15.2) Decrease in other military benefits (31.1) Decrease in liability for military employee benefits 17.3 Depreciation expense 1999 Decrease in benefits due and payable (3.8)(6.5)Increase in inventory Decrease in taxes receivable..... 4.4 Increase in other liabilities 14.4 (1.0)(4.2)Decrease in accounts receivable 1.2 Principal repayments of pre-credit reform loans 32.4 30.5 Net amount of all other differences. 124.4 Unified budget surplus =

Unexpended Budget Authority

a sa a sinana

Unexpended Budget Authority is the sum of the ob li gated, but un liqui dated, and unobligated bud get authority.

Unobligated bud get authority, in clud ing trust fund bal ances, is the cumulative amount of bud get authority that is not obligated and that remains available for obligation. In 1-year accounts the unobligated bal ance is not available af ter the end of the fis cal year. In multi-year ac counts the ob ligated bal ance may be car ried forward and re mains avail able for obligation for the period spec i fied. In no year ac counts the unobligated bal ance is car ried for ward un til spe cif i cally re scinded by law, or un til the pur poses for which it was provided have been ac complished.

Obligated bud get authority is the cumula tive amount of bud get au thor ity that has been obligated but not yet liquidated. This bal ance can be car ried for ward for a max i mum of 5 years after the appropriation has ex pired.

Unexpended Budget Authority as of September 30, 1999 (Unaudited)

	Unobligated Bud get	Obligated Bud get
(In bil lions of dol lars)	Au thor ity	Authority
Social Security Administration.	819.6	36.1
Office of Personnel Management	502.8	5.9
Department of Health and Human Services	198.8	55.8
Department of Defense-Military	60.6	152.7
Other Defense Civil Programs	149.9	2.8
Department of Housing and Urban Development	36.8	107.0
Department of Transportation	58.9	52.5
Department of the Treasury	21.6	18.4
International Assistance Program	36.0	65.2
Department of Labor	90.4	8.4
Independent agencies	74.1	5.9
Department of Agriculture	23.2	15.1
Department of Education	10.2	24.4
Department of Veterans Affairs	16.1	7.1
Department of Energy	12.5	7.8
Department of Justice	4.7	13.8
Environmental Protection Agency	8.0	8.5
Department of State	12.7	3.0
Federal Emergency Management Agency	0.8	8.3
Department of the Interior	5.3	2.9
National Aeronautics and Space Administration	0.9	5.3
Department of Commerce	0.8	3.7
Corps of Engineers	4.0	0.4
National Science Foundation	0.2	4.2
General Services Administration	3.8	0.5
Legislative Branch	1.7	0.4
Small Business Administration	0.7	1.0
Judicial Branch	0.7	0.5
Executive Office of the President.	0.3	0.1
Total	2,156.1	617.7

Tax Burden

The In ter nal Rev e nue Code pro vides for pro gres sive rates of tax, whereby higher in comes are gen er ally subject to higher rates of tax. The tables present the latest avail able in for mation on in come tax and on related in come, de duc tions and credit for in di vid u als by in come level and for cor por a tions by size of as sets.

Individual Income Tax Returns for Tax Year 1997

			Size of Adjust	ed Gross Incor	ne	
(In mil lions of dol lars	Under	\$15,000 under	\$30,000 under	\$50,000 under	\$100,000 under	Greater than
un less oth er wise noted)	\$15.000	\$30,000	\$50,000	\$100.000	\$200.000	\$200,000
Total number of					- /	
returns	41.4	29.4	22.8	21.6	5.4	1.8
Gross income Adjusted gross	251.9	649.1	895.2	1,490.0	717.3	1,013.4
income	247.9	642.9	887.9	1,478.9	707.8	1,004.5
Tax	9.1	47.4	90.6	191.6	126.7	274.0
Tax burden, percentage of gross total receipts	3.60%	7.30%	10.13%	12.86%	17.67%	27.04%
Average tax dollars per return	219	1,612	3,983	8,858	23,562	151,565
Deductions on taxab	le income:					
Standard deduction	175.4	137.2	82.6	42.1	36	09
Medical and dental	52	8.6	6.6	6.2	20	06
expense	53	0.0 18.4	45.5	100.0	46.5	34.9
Charitable						
contributions	1. 2	5.5	12.3	29.9	169	33.4
Other itemized deductions	35	14.0	35.9	91.1	50.5	46.7
Total itemized deductions	152	46.5	100.3	227.2	115.9	1156
Total deductions	190.6	183.7	182.9	269.3	119.5	1165
Total expenditures, deductions	6.9	13.4	18.5	34.6	21.1	31.5
Credit against tax liat						
Child care credit	- -	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.2	-
Credit for elderly and			•••	••••		
disabled	-	-	•	•	-	-
Foreign tax credit	-	-	0.1	0.3	06	30
EITC, offset tax liability	Q 4	3.5	-	-	-	-
Other credits		-	0.1	0.3	0.3	1.0
Total credits.	0.4	4.1	0.9	1.5	1. 1	4.2
Tabal anna 19						
Total expenditures and credits,	7.3	17.5	19.4	36.1	222	35.7
individual	/. U	17.5	<u> </u>		~~~	

Corporate Income Tax Returns for Tax Year 1996

	Size of Total Assets (in thousands)					
(In mil lions of dol lars un less oth er wise noted)	Under \$1,000	\$1,000 under \$10,000	\$10,000 under \$50,000	\$50,000 under \$100,000	\$100,000 under \$250,000	Greater than \$250,000
Total returns (in thousands)	4,193.3	370. 9	42.9	8.5	7.6	8.2
Total receipts	2,103.7	2,204. 3	1,357.3	505.4	741.0	8,614.1
Taxable income	24.0	26.2	25.8	16.3	28.9	518.6
Total tax	5.6	8. 1	8.4	5.2	9.0	134.2
Tax burden, percent of gross total receipts	0.27%	0.37%	0.62%	1.04%	1.22%	1.56%
Average tax per return (in thousands)	1.3	21.8	196.1	618.5	1,187.2	16,346.4
Deductions on taxable inc	ome:					
Net operating loss	10.2	5.6	4.4	2.5	4.2	28.2
Dividends received	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.9	16.9
Public utility dividends paid	-	-		-	-	0.1
Total deductions	2,064.5	2,163.3	1,326.2	486.7	703.4	7,984.1
Total expenditures, deductions	5.5	7.9	8.2	5.0	8.6	124.4
Credits against tax liabilit	y:					
Foreign tax credit	0.1	-	0.1	0.2	0.6	39.2
U.S. Possessions tax credit	-	-	0.2	0.2	0.3	2.4
Nonconventional source fuel credit	-	-	-	-	-	0.8
General business credit	0.2	0. 1	0.1	0.1	0.1	3.6
Other credits	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	4.1
Total credits	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.6	1.1	50.1
Total expenditures, corporation	5.9	8.2	8.8	5.6	9.7	174.5

Other Information (Unaudited)



Man age ment has estimated amounts that may be paid out as other claims for tax re funds. This estimate rep resents an amount (prin ci pal and in ter est) that may be paid for claims pend ing ju dicial re view by the Fed eral courts or, in ter nally, by Ap peals. The to tal estimated pay out (in clud ing prin ci pal and in ter est) for claims pend ing ju di cial re view by the Fed eral courts is \$7.6 bil lion and by Ap peals is \$11.4 bil lion. Although these re fund claims have been deemed to be prob a ble, they do not meet the cri te ria in SFFAS No. 5 for re port ing the amounts in the bal ance sheet or for dis clo sure in the notes to the fi nan cial statements. How ever, they meet the crite ria in SFFASNo. 7 for in clu sion as supplemental information.

Federal Taxes Receivable Net

In ac cor dance with SFFAS No. 7, some un paid as sess ments do not meet the cri te ria for fi nan cial state ment rec og ni tion as discussed in Note 1 to the fi nan cial state ments. Al though com pli ance as sess ments and write-offs are not con sid ered receiv ables un der Fed eral ac count ing stan dards, they rep re sent le gally en force able claims of the IRS—act ing on be half of the Fed eral Govern ment. There is, how ever, a sig nif i cant differ ence in the collection potential of these categories.

The com po nents of the to tal un paid assess ments and der i vation of net Fed eral taxes receivable at September 30, 1999, were as fol lows:

Federal Taxes Receivable as of September 30

Total unpaid assessments	\$233.2
Less: Compliance assessments	(27.0)
Write-Offs	(127.0)
Gross Federal Taxes Receivable	79.2
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts	(56.5)
Federal Taxes Receivable, Net	22.7

The Gov ern ment can not rea son ably es ti mate the amount of al lowance for doubt ful ac counts per tain ing to its com pli ance as sess ments, and thus can not de ter mine their net real izable value or the value of the pre-assess ment work-in-progress.

To elim i nate double-count ing, the compliance assessments reported above ex clude trust fund re covery pen al ties, to tal ing \$15 bil lion, as sessed against offi cers and di rec tors of busi nesses who were in volved in the non re mit tance of Fed eral taxes withheld from their em ploy ees. The re lated un paid as sess ments of those busi nesses are re ported as taxes re ceiv able or write-offs, but the Gov ern ment also may re cover por tions of those busi nesses' unpaid as sess ments from any and all in di vid ual of ficers and di rec tors against whom a trust fund recov ery pen alty is as sessed.

Appendix: List of Significant Government Entities Included and Excluded

This Financial Report includes the executive, legislative and ju di cial branches of the Gov ern ment. Ex cluded are privately owned Govern ment-sponsored enterprises such as the Fed eral Home Loan Banks and the Fed eral National Mort gage As sociation. The Fed eral Reserve System also is ex cluded be cause or ga ni za tions and func tions per tain ing to mon e tary policy are traditionally separate from, and in dependent of, other central Government or ganizations and functions.

Significant Entities Included in these Statements:

Department of Agriculture (Agriculture) www.usda.gov Department of Commerce(Commerce) www.doc.gov Department of Defense(DOD) www.defenselink.mil Department of Education(Education) www.ed.gov Department of Energy (Energy) www.doe.gov Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) www.hhs.gov Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) www.hud.gov Department of Interior(Interior) www.doi.gov Department of Justice (Justice) www.usdoj.gov Department of Labor (Labor) www.dol.gov Department of State (State) www.state.gov Department of the Air Force (Air Force) www.af.mil Department of the Army (Army) www.army.mil Army Corps of En gi neers www.usace.gov Department of the Navy (Navy) www.navy.mil Department of Transportation(Transportation) www.dot.gov Department of the Treasury(Treasury) www.ustreas.gov Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) www.va.gov Agency for International Development (AID) www.info.usaid.gov Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) www.odci.gov/cia/ciahome.html Congressional Budget Office (CBO) www.cbo.gov Commodity Credit Corporation

Com mod ity Fu tures Trading Com mis sion EnvironmentalProtectionAgency(EPA) www.epa.gov ExecutiveOfficeofthe President Ex port-Import Bank of the United States www.exim.gov Farm Credit Ad min is tration (FCA) www.fca.gov FederalCommunicationsCommission (FCC) www.fcc.gov FederalDeposit InsuranceCorporation(FDIC) www.fdic.gov Fed eral Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) www.femagov Fed eral Trade Com mis sion (FTC) www.ftc.gov General Ac counting Of fice (GAO) www.gao.gov General Services Administration(GSA) www.gsa.gov GovernmentPrintingOffice(GPO) www.gpo.gov Li brary of Con gress (LOC) www.loc.gov National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) www.nasa.gov National Archives and Records Administration www.nara.gov National Credit UnionAdministration (NCUA) www.ncua.gov National ScienceFoundation(NSF) www.nsf.gov National TransportationSafety Board (NTSB) www.ntsb.gov Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) www.nrc.gov Of fice of Man age ment and Bud get (OMB) www.whitehouse.gov/wh/eop/omb/html/ ombhome.html Of fice of Per son nel Man age ment (OPM) www.opm.gov

Entities Included, cont.

Other boards and commissions Other leg is la tive and ju di cial Pen sion Bene fit Guar anty Corporation www.pbgc.gov Rail road Re tire ment Board (RRB) www.rrb.gov Securi ties and Ex change Com mis sion (SEC) www.sec.gov Small Busi ness Ad min is tration (SBA) www.sba.gov SmithsonianInstitution www.si.edu SocialSecurityAdministration (SSA) www.ssa.gov Ten nes see ValleyAu thor ity (TVA) www.tva.gov U.S. In for mation Agency www.usia.gov U.S. Postal Ser vice www.uspsgov

Significant Entities Excluded from these Statements:

Army and Air Force Exchange Service Board of Gov er nors of the Fed eral Re serve Sys tem Fan nie Mae Farm Credit System Fed eral Home Loan Banks Fed eral Re serve Banks (FRBs) Fed eral Re tire ment Thrift In vest ment Board FinancingCorporation Freddie Mac MarineCorpsEx change NavyEx change Ser viceCom mand ResolutionFundingCorporation Sal lie Mae Thrift Sav ings Fund