093092



UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

CIVIL DIVISION

December 15, 1970



BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

Dear Mr. Hall:

On June 26, 1970, we transmitted a report to the Congress entitled "Improvements Needed in Financial Management System of Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands" (B-114888). Among other things, we reported that substantial unreconciled differences existed between the cash-inbank balances shown in the Trust Territory's general ledger accounts and the balances shown on bank statements. Similarly, most of the cashon-hand balances shown in the controlling accounts for district finance offices or other custodians differed substantially from such balances reported by the offices and custodians. We concluded that generally accepted cash control procedures, which require regular reconciliation of cash balances and timely deposit of cash collections, were not followed in the Trust Territory.

In a draft of the report submitted for departmental comments--and in a subsequent letter dated June 26, 1970, to Assistant Secretary Harrison Loesch--we recommended that the following steps be taken to obtain more effective control over cash assets:

- 1. The cash in commercial banks shown in the accounts of the Trust Territory should be reconciled with the statements received from the banks; the balances shown in the general ledger as cash-on-hand in the district offices should be reconciled with the related bank statements, or with the actual cash-on-hand in the district office as established by independent verification in those instances where the cash-on-hand is not on deposit in a local bank; and the petty cash funds shown in the accounts should be reconciled to the actual cash funds on hand, which should be established by independent verification.
- 2. The general ledger accounts and subsidiary records should be corrected for any errors disclosed in the reconciliations recommended above and any shortages or irregularities should be promptly investigated.



3. Establish appropriate internal control procedures which should include the prompt recording of all cash transactions, monthly reconciliations, and appropriate followup procedures to assure that the control procedures are operating properly.

We did not include in our report to the Congress the recommended steps for obtaining more effective control over cash assets, partly because of the departmental reply to our draft report which indicated that the Trust Territory had complied substantially with our recommendation. Such compliance was further affirmed to us in your letter dated July 15, 1970.

After the issuance of our report, a staff member of the Special Studies Subcommittee of the House Government Operations Committee advised us that he was concerned about the reported weaknesses in control over cash and requested that we obtain copies of the cash reconciliations prepared by the Trust Territory. Members of your staff obtained copies of the reconciliations for us and, as a result of reviews by our respective staffs, it was agreed that apparent weakness in the reconciliations justified requesting the Department's internal auditors to make an on-site examination.

The results of the internal auditors' examination were summarized in a report issued by your office in October 1970, which states that the cash reconciliations were incomplete or inadequate. Members of our office, who visited the Trust Territory to test the cash reconciliation work performed by the internal auditors and to make limited independent tests, also concluded that the cash reconciliations prepared by the Trust Territory were incomplete or inadequate.

Among other things, we found that the methods used to control payroll checks were of such a nature that we were unable to verify that checks were outstanding in the amounts listed on the reconciliations because the listings did not show the specific payroll periods or check numbers, but contained only a listing of figures. Particularly serious, in our opinion, was the lack of a system for assuring that timely and appropriate action is taken on reconciling items and that such items are valid. The principal purpose of making a cash reconciliation is not accomplished if the validity of reconciling items is not independently established.

We believe that the situation cited above further demonstrates the need--as pointed out in our report to the Congress (see p. 44)-for improved surveillance techniques at the departmental level to

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE ~ 2

ensure correction of the defects in an orderly and timely manner. We recommend that such departmental surveillance include periodic on-site reviews by members of your staff.

We would appreciate receiving your views on the matters discussed above and advice as to any plans you might have to make periodic onsite reviews of corrective actions taken to improve the financial management system of the Trust Territory.

Sincerely yours,

T. 1

Allen R. Voss Associate Director

Mr. James T. Hall, Jr. Director, Office of Survey and Review Department of the Interior

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

3 -



- kr. . .

OUT FOR SCANNING .

.

· · ·

ROUTING SLIP

,

٠

Initial Q.C. Abstract		2nd Catalog Final Q.C.
-----------------------	--	------------------------

GAD	F9RM	103	(New	Aug	62)
-----	------	-----	------	-----	-----

U. S. GRNERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

APPROVAL FOR RELEASE AND INITIAL DISTRIBUTION OF REPORTS SIGNED BY OFFICIALS OTHER THAN THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OR DIVISION DIRECTORS

TITLE OF REPORT	INSTRUCTIONS Detailed instructions for the use of this form are	
Follow-up Review of Cash Reconciliations	contained in Chapter 7 of the Report Manual.	
Prepared By The Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands,	The form is to be used by assistant directors, regional managers, and comparable officials to designate the initial external and internal distribu- tion of reports signed by officials other than the Comptroller General or division directors. Approval to release a report and the related transmittal letters is also indicated on this form.	
	After a report is signed, the original of this form, accompanied by a copy of the report, should be sent to the Accounting and Auditing Policy Staff. A copy of the form should be filed in the master report folder.	
DATE ISSUED		
December 15, 1970		
NAME OF OFFICIAL FOR WHOSE SIGNATURE REPORT IS PREPARED	TITLE	
Allen R. Voss	Associate Director	

INITIAL EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION				
NO. OF COPIES	RECIPIENT	NO. OF		
Orig. & 1	Mr. James T. Hall, Jr. Director, Office of Survey and Review			
	Department of the Interior DLCC5484			

INITIAL INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION				
NO. OF COPIES	RECIPIENT	NO. OF COPIES	RECIPIENT	
1	Accounting and Auditing Policy Staff	1	Director, International Division	
1	DIRECTOR OF ORIGINATING DIVISION Mr. A. T. Samuelson	1	Lloyd L. Gregory	
1	Assistant Director of Comparable Official Mr. Joseph P. Rother, Jr.	2	Allen R. Voss, Associate Director	
1	MANAGER OF ORIGINATING REGIONAL OFFICE Mr. Charles H. Roman, Far East Branch			
	Director, Transportation Division			
REMARK	Director, Claims Division			

Report typed and distribution made at audit site (Report Manual 7-13).

714304

APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF REPORT AND	TRANSMITTAL LETTERS
SIGNATURE OF ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, REGIONAL MANAGER, OR COMPARABLE OFFICIAL	DATE
for Allen R. Voss floyd fliegony	December 15, 1970
L Mayor Magory	