GAO

United States General Accounting Office OGC/Index-Digest Section

October 1987

Digests of Unpublished Decisions of the Comptroller General of the United States

Vol. IV, No. 1

.

·

United States General Accounting Office

i

i

ŝ

ļ

ŝ

ŝ

Í

Í

í

i

ŝ

i

í

i

i

ŝ

Ì

i

ŝ

i

1

í

í

Charles A. Bowsher

Comptroller General of the United States

Milton J. Socolar Special Assistant to the Comptroller General

James F. Hinchman General Counsel

Vacant

Deputy General Counsel

i

No. 1

í

i.

i

į

i

i

i

ĺ

ŝ

í.

i

ţ

i

ì

i

ş

i

Î

ţ

Ą

i

i

i

į

i,

i

į

ş

ŝ

í.

i

i

Contents

	Page			
Table of Decisions				
Digests:				
Appropriations/Financial Management	A - 1			
Civilian Personnel	B 1			
Military Personnel	C-1			
Procurement	D -1			
Appropriations/Financial Management Civilian Personnel Military Personnel	B-1 C-1			

Index i

i i

i

i

Ì

i

i

١

ŝ

ŝ,

Ň

ŝ

í.

i

ŝ

PREFACE

i

į

i

i

This publication is one in a series of monthly pamphlets entitled "Digests of Unpublished Decisions of the Comptroller General of the United States" which have been published since the establishment of the General Accounting Office by the Budget and Accounting Act, 1921. A disbursing or certifying official or the head of an agency may request a decision from the Comptroller General pursuant to 31 U.S. Code 3529 (formerly 31 U.S.C. 74 and 82d). Decisions in connection with claims are issued in accordance with 31 U.S. Code 3702 (formerly 31 U.S.C. 71). Decisions on the validity of contract awards are rendered pursuant to the Competition in Contracting Act, 98 Pub. L. 369, July 18, 1984.

Decisions in this pamphlet are presented in digest form and represent approximately 90 percent of the total number of decisions rendered annually. Full text of these decisions are available through the circulation of individual copies and should be cited by the appropriate file number and date, e.g., B-219654, Sept. 30, 1986.

The remaining 10 percent of decisions rendered are published in full text. Copies of these decisions are available through the circulation of individual copies, the issuance of monthly pamphlets and annual volumes. Decisions appearing in these volumes should be cited by volume, page number and year issued, e.g., 65 Comp. Gen. 624 (1986).

i

i

!

i

Ŷ.

Ì

÷

ŝ

i.

ļ

Í

i

ŝ

For:

Telephone research service regarding Comptroller General decisions: (202) 275-5028 Information on pending decisions: (202) 275-5436 Copies of decisions: (202) 275-6241 Copies of GAO publications: (202) 275-6241 Request to be placed on mailing lists for GAO Publications (202) 275-4501 i

ŝ

i.

ŝ

į.

į,

-

i,

Ì

i.

í

i.

ŝ.

i

Į.

ŝ.

i

i.

í

Ì.

i.

Ì

Questions regarding this publication - 275-5742

į i i Ň i Ň ŝ i i Ĭ i ţ

NOTICE

.

i

ŝ

i.

;

i

Ĭ

i

i

į.

Ì

i

ŝ

i.

Ŷ.

ł

į.

.

i.

Î

ŝ.

i

Ĭ

Effective October 1, 1986, a new controlled vocabulary was used to index the documents of GAO's Office of General Counsel, reflecting changes in the chapter titles and the index entries (headings). Copies of this vocabulary with introductory material explaining how to use the vocabulary to retrieve documents were mailed the latter part of October to individuals currently on GAO's distribution list for this publication.

If you have not received a copy, please call 275-6241.

ŝ,

ŝ

ŝ,

ŝ

i

ŝ

i

ŝ,

i

í.

ŝ,

TABLE OF DECISIONS

-

i

į,

ŝ

Ì

i.

October 1987

	Oct. Page		Oct. Page
B-214372	9A- 2	B - 227714	20A- 6
B-221940	7A- 1	B-227746	6D-12
B-222392	16A- 4	B-227767	14D-21
B-224023.3	15D - 24	B-227809	2D- 1
B-225013	28B- 5	B-227842)	
B-225043.5	20 D-3 6	B-227842.2)	6D-13
B-225496.4	19D - 32	B-227843.2	2D- 2
B-225621.5	27 D-48	B-227848.3)	
B-225688.3	15D-25	B-227848.4)	19D - 32
B-225747.3	30D-63	B - 227850	21 D-38
B-225815.2	15D - 26	B-227853	19D-34
B-226013	28B- 5	B - 227874.2	2D- 3
B - 226020	23B- 1	B - 227888	20D - 37
B - 226231	23 A- 6	B - 227897	5D- 7
	23D-43	B-227898.3	26D - 46
		B - 227913	2D- 3
B - 226782	20D-37	B - 227921	27 D - 49
B - 226872	16 A- 4	B - 227925	22D-42
B-226911	19 A- 5	B-227928.2	2D- 4
B-227060.2	16D-28	B - 227933	28D-58
B-227080.2)		B - 227935	2D- 4
B-227080.3)	26D-45	B - 227939	5D- 8
B-227106.3,		B - 227941	28D - 59
et al.)	16 D - 29	B - 227952	5D- 9
B-227193	16B- 1	B-227953	16D - 31
B-227219.3	27 D-49	B - 227954	5D-10
B-227328.2	2D- 1	B - 227954.2	30D-64
B - 227483	23B- 2	B - 227963	28D-59
B - 227497	30 B - 6	B 227971	2D- 5
B - 227545	9D-17	B - 227979	22D-42
B - 227576	30D-63	B-227995	26D-47
B-227623	13A- 2	B-228008	15D-26
B-227635	22D-41	B-228013	8D-16
B-227663	23B- 3	B-228035	27D-51
B - 227677	5D- 6	B - 228040	19 D-35

Ι

TABLE OF DECISIONS - Con.

	Oct.	Page			Oct.	Page
B-228043	15	D - 27	B-	228427.2	27.	.D-54
B-228051	23	D-43	В-	-228469		.D-66
B-228060)			В-	-228489	30.	.D-67
B-228061)	30	D-64	B-	-228491	29.	.D-60
B-228096	14	D-23	В-	228504		.D - 55
B-228101.4	7	D-15	В-	-228534	29.	.D_61
B-228116.2	23	D-43	В-	-228553	28.	.D_60
B-228129	8	D-17	B-	-228558	29.	D <u>-</u> 61
B-228138	27	D - 52	В-	-228563	23.	D-44
B-228190.2	5	.D _ 11	В-	-228637	16.	D _ 31
B - 228239	9	,D — 18	В-	-228647	29.	.D-62
B-228242.2	30	D-65	B-	-228651	2.	D . 6
B-228245.2	27	D - 53	B-	-228654	9.	D — 19
B-228250.2	9	, D -1 8	В-	-228695	27.	D - 56
B - 228252	5	D 1 1	В-	-228705	19.	A- 5
B-228274	21	D-39	B-	-228717	21.	D <u>-</u> 40
B-228277.2	28	D-60	В-	-228721	7.	••D -1 5
B-228285.2	27	D - 53	B-	-228745	23.	D - 45
B-228304.2	19	D - 36	В-	228749	1.	C <u>-</u> 1
B - 228316)			В-	228797.2	27.	D . 57
B - 228309)	13	,D _ 20	B-	-228851	13.	A- 3
B - 228322	30	D - 66	B-	-228862	30.	D _ 67
B - 228326	9	.D _ 19	B-	-228884	13.	A- 3
B - 228346	14	D - 24	В-	-228889		D <u>-</u> 40
B-228368	27			-228892		D <u>-</u> 21
B - 228369	16		B-	-228912		D _ 16
B-228375	13			-228926		D <u>-</u> 24
B-228388	23			-228972		D _ 12
B - 228393	21	-		-229011		D - 41
B - 228421	20	D - 38	B-	-229114	5.	A- 1

II

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Î

Ĭ.

.

annun a

i

i

,

į

i

ł

.

Ĭ.

Ň

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT Accountable Officers B-229114 Oct. 5, 1987 Disbursing Officers Relief Illegal/Improper Payments Substitute Checks

Relief is granted Army disbursing official under 31 U.S.C. 3527(c) from liability for improper payment resulting from payee's negotiation of both original and recertified checks. Proper procedures were followed in the issuance of the recertified check, there was no indication of bad faith on the part of the disbursing official and subsequent collection attempts are being pursued.

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Accountable Officers B-221940 Oct. 7, 1987 Cashiers Relief Illegal/Improper Payments Fraud

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT Appropriation Availability Purpose Availability Specific Purpose Restrictions Entertainment/Recreation

Although generally meals or snacks may not be provided to government employees at their normal duty station, limited authority exists under Government Employees Training Act. Record is insufficient to determine propriety of expenditure by Internal Revenue Service imprest fund cashier for coffee, donuts, and coffee pot for use at IRS training seminars. However, assuming expenditure was improper, relief may be granted under 31 U.S.C. 3527(c) since there is no indication of bad faith and cashier, who initially questioned propriety and subsequently paid voucher with approvals by proper authorities including a contracting officer, exercised reasonable care under the circumstances.

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Accountable Officers B-214372 Oct. 9, 1987 Disbursing Officers Relief Illegal/Improper Payments Substitute Checks

Ĭ.

1

.....

i

i,

i

i

.

-

OUT THE PLAN

.

;

į

-

i

anone a

The Secretary of the Army or his designee is delegated authority to administratively grant relief in the amounts of \$750.00 or less to present or former Army disbursing officials financially liable for the issuing or certifying of substitute or recertified checks drawn for pay and allowances of civilian and military personnel when the payee presents and receives payment for both checks. These cases must be determined in accordance with the statutory standards of 31 U.S.C. 3527(c) and 3528(b) and applicable Comptroller General decisions.

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT Accountable Officers B-227623 Oct. 13, 1987 Disbursing Officers Relief Illegal/Improper Payments Substitute Checks

Army finance officer is relieved of responsibility under 31 U.S.C. 3527(c) for the loss of \$53 that resulted when a pay check was cashed with a forged signature. The finance officer supervised an adequate system of procedures and controls to safeguard government funds and is found to have exercised due care and have acted in good faith.

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Accountable Officers B-228851 Oct. 13, 1987 Cashiers Relief Illegal/Improper Payments Forgeries

-90

ŝ

į.

,

......

THE OWNER OF

í

.

į

.

1

Ì.

i

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT Accountable Officers Disbursing Officers Relief Illegal/Improper Payments Forgeries

Army disbursing officers are relieved of liability for nine improper payments totaling \$1,800.00 pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3527(c). Relief is proper since the cashier followed all prescribed procedures, her supervisor established and maintained an adequate system of controls and the loss resulted from criminal activity over which the disbursing officers had no control.

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Accountable Officers B-228884 Oct. 13, 1987 Cashiers Relief Physical Losses Theft

Relief granted to Ms. Mildred Hyman, Imprest Fund Cashier for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, New York, New York, for a \$963 imprest fund loss under 31 U.S.C. 3527(a). Although cashiers are held to a standard of strict liability, relief is granted because of a finding of faulty agency security and the fact that more than one person had knowledge of the safe combination.

A--3

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT Accountable Officers B-222392 Oct. 16, 1987 Relief Illegal/Improper Payments GAO Decisions Reconsideration

On reconsideration, relief is granted an Army supervising financial officer for an improper payment. Additional information submitted supports conclusion that the officer maintained and policed an adequate systems of procedures and controls to avoid errors.

i i

i

.

-

÷.

ş

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Accountable Officers B-226872 Oct. 16, 1987 Cashiers Relief Illegal/Improper Payments Forgeries

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT Accountable Officers Disbursing Officers Relief Illegal/Improper Payments Forgeries

Army finance officer and subordinate cashier are granted relief under 31 U.S.C. 3527(c) for an improper payment of \$745.00. Improper payment resulted from criminal activity over which the finance officer and cashier had no control. The offender was able to cash forged checks with the cashier, who checked her ID and who was not led to believe that a fraud was being perpetrated.

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT Accountable Officers B-226911 Oct. 19, 1987 Cashiers Relief Illegal/Improper Payments Forgeries

Relief is granted to Veterans Administration agent cashier who deposited an altered U.S. Treasury check into a patient's personal account which resulted in an improper payment from the account. Agent cashier followed procedures and there was no indication that she had not exercised reasonable care in examining the check which was expertly altered. Unnamed cashiers who actually made the payments are relieved of liability as well since there was no reason for these cashiers to be suspicious of the fraudulent nature of the transactions and therefore prevent the improper payment.

.

.

į

ģ

í.

i

5

i

•

•

-

.

ŝ

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Accountable Officers B-228705 Oct. 19, 1987 Disbursing Officers Relief Illegal/Improper Payments Fraud

U.S. Naval Disbursing Officer is relieved of liability for the improper payment made on a fraudulent travel claim. A subordinate travel clerk in compliance with Navy regulations, allowed payment without documentation or receipts, the disbursing officer maintained and supervised a system of procedures under Navy regulations and substantial attempts to recover the funds have been made.

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT Accountable Officers B-227714 Oct. 20, 1987 Cashiers Relief Physical Losses Theft

.

i

-

Ì

Ĭ.

1744 G. .

ŝ

Relief is granted two Veterans Administration imprest fund cashiers under 31 U.S.C. 3527(a) from liability for a loss of \$5,050.80. A number of people had access to the places where funds were kept in violation of Treasury standards. GAO agrees with the conclusion that the loss was the result of pervasive laxity in office procedures beyond the control of the cashiers.

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT Appropriation Availability B-226231 Oct. 23, 1987 Purpose Availability Specific Purpose Restrictions Interest

Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) erroneously overbilled City of Aberdeen for 1983 annual payment under water storage contract. When error was discovered, Corps credited overpayment to 1985 charges. Aberdeen's claim for interest on the overpayment may not be allowed in view of long-established prohibition on recovery of interest from United States except where expressly authorized in relevant statute or contract.

ŝ

2

.

į.

-

į.

.

.

i.

5742 - 33.701

Ì

ţ

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL B-227193 Oct. 16, 1987 Relocation Temporary Quarters Actual Subsistence Expenses Reimbursement Eligibility

A transferred employee may be reimbursed for temporary quarters subsistence expense for himself and his family even though they returned to their old residence on weekends. The employee had for all intents and purposes vacated his residence at his old duty station since he had packed 90 percent of his household goods, which made it necessary that he and his family sleep on mattresses and eat their meals out. His return trips were merely for the purpose of preparing his house for sale and keeping his insurance in effect.

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL B-226020 Oct. 23, 1987 Compensation Rates Determination Highest Previous Rate Rule

An employee who formerly held a position as a structural engineer in the GG system at the GG grade 12, step 7 level when he was working for the Navy during the conflict in Vietnam, which he says was equivalent in duties and responsibilities to a GS grade 12, step 7 level position, claims that he should have received the step 7 rate of pay rather than the step 1 rate of pay in the position in which he was reemployed by the Navy in 1981. The employee was only entitled to the step 1 rate of pay upon reemployment because the highest previous rate rule applies only to the salary rate earned by the employee in his previous position (the GG-12/7 salary rate was lower than the step 1 rate of the grade in which he was reemployed), not to the level of job responsibility in his previous position.

B-226020 Con't Oct. 23, 1987

Í

í.

Contraction of

.

ŝ

.

.

.

Statute of

į.

- Land Martin

.

§.

i.

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL Leaves of Absence Annual Leave Military Service Service Credits Eligibility

Service in the Philippine Commonwealth Army is not active military service that is creditable for the purpose of determining an employee's annual leave accrual rate.

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL B-227483 Oct. 23, 1987 Compensation Balances Personnel Death Payees Determination

A claimant who asserts that she is the common-law wife of a deceased employee may not collect his unpaid compensation since no common-law marriage has been established and it is unclear whether the deceased employee believed himself to be or held himself out as married.

B-227663 Oct. 23, 1987

i.

i

.

i

COMP.

.

Ì

.

.

.

COMPANY IN A

- --- AGDARDA

.

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF

.

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL B-Relocation Expenses Reimbursement Eligibility Government Advantage

Where an agency issued travel orders allowing the payment of certain relocation allowances to a transferred employee, the agency is presumed to have made the determination that the transfer was in the interest of the Government. Unless the original orders were arbitrary, capricious or clearly erroneous, we will not overturn the agency's original determination that the transfer was made in the interest of the Government.

A transferred employee of the Peace Corps, was authorized transportation expenses, temporary lodging expenses, shipment of household effects and temporary storage, but he was not authorized real estate expenses. He is entitled to reimbursement of real expenses in accordance with part 6, chapter 2, of the Federal Travel Regulations since he was transferred in the interest of the Government and the regulations contemplate that certain expenses will be uniformly allowed to all transferred employees. Budgetary constraints are not an acceptable reason for denying certain relocation expenses to a transferred employee.

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL B-227663 Con't Relocation Oct. 23, 1987 Residence Transaction Expenses Reimbursement Eligibility Property Titles C. ALCONOMING

-

i

.

A ACCRETATION 1

i

1.0000

Increased in the

La-ar

.

.

1

.

ALCONO.

- Le venues -

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL Relocation Residence Transaction Expenses Reimbursement Eligibility Residency

An employee placed his residence at his old duty station on the market for sale before he received official notice of transfer. However, the employee did not accept an offer to purchase his residence until after official notice of transfer. Therefore, on the date of official notice of transfer, the employee held title to and lived in his residence. The sale of the employee's residence at his old duty station was incident to his transfer, and the employee may be reimbursed for these real estate expenses.

Ca--ai

1

3

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL Travel Overseas Travel Eligibility Service Contracts Contract Renewal

On September 8, 1982, 5 U.S.C. 5728 was amended to restrict tour renewal travel for employees assigned to Alaska and Hawaii to situations in which travel was necessary to recruit or retain an employee for a tour of duty in Alaska or Hawaii. That statute and the implementing regulations now provide that only employees who have been continuously stationed in Alaska and Hawaii on and since September 8, 1982, may retain unrestricted tour renewal travel rights. Under the plain terms of the applicable statute and regulations

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL B-226013 Oct. 28, 1987 Relocation Residence Transaction Expenses Miscellaneous Expenses Reimbursement

A transferred employee sold his residence interest in a cooperatively-owned apartment building. He seeks reimbursement for a \$10 a share (798 shares) resale waiver fee or "Flip Tax" charged him by the cooperative, thereby granting him the right to dispose of his ownership interest on the open market in lieu of repurchase by the cooperative at a lower price. Real estate expense reimbursements are strictly governed by the Federal Travel Regulations (FTR), in which FTR para. 2-6.2d(1) authorizes reimbursement of fees which are "similar in nature to" the specific fees listed in FTR

ŝ

i

l

3

Ŷ.

0000

i

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL B-227 Relocation Temporary Quarters Actual Subsistence Expenses Reimbursement Eligibility

A transferred employee stayed with a relative near his new duty station and delayed occupying temporary quarters pending the arrival of his family. The employee's family decided not to move to his new duty station, and the employee then made a claim for temporary quarters subsistence expenses for a 30-day period which occurred nearly 2 years after his transfer. Paragraph 2-5.2e of the Federal Travel Regulations requires that in order to qualify for temporary quarters reimbursement, occupancy must begin not later than 30 days after reporting for duty or not later than 30 days after the family vacates the residence at the old Where there is no delayed travel by the station. family, temporary quarters may not be paid unless the occupancy of temporary quarters commences within 30 days after the employee reports for duty.

MILITARY PERSONNEL

B-228749 Oct. 1, 1987

.

ì

in the second

.

ŝ

ŝ.

ł

50000

- Sec. 25

.

Pay Retirement Pay Claim Accrual Dates Continuing Claims Statutes of Limitation

The Act of October 9, 1940, 54 Stat. 1061, as amended by section 801 of Pub. L. No. 93-604, 88 Stat. 1965, January 2, 1975, codified at 31 U.S.C. 3702(b)(1) provides that every claim or demand against the United States cognizable by the General Accounting Office must be received in that Office within 6 years from the date it accrued or be forever barred. Under that provision of law, as a condition precedent to a claimant's right to have his claim considered by the General Accounting Office, his claim must have been received in this Office within the 6-year period. Accordingly, a claim for compensation for unpaid benefits due a former military member who died on December 29, 1980, presented to this Office by an associate of the deceased on June 15, 1987, is barred by the above-cited Act and may not be considered by the Office.

C-1

ŝ

ł

į

÷

i

i

Ì

1000.0

ŝ

ķ

.

÷

į

í

à

ł

PROCUREMENT B-227328.2 Oct. 2, 1987 Sealed Bidding 87-2 CPD 322 Invitations for Bids Amendments Acknowledgment Responsiveness

A bid is responsive where the bidder submitted its bid on the original bid schedule, instead of the amended bid schedule, because the bidder bound itself to perform by acknowledging amendments which did not change the quantity, type or duration of work to be performed, but changed the dates of performance. Reading the bid reasonably and in its entirety, it is clear that bidder intended to be bound by the amended dates of performance where the first year of performance on the original bid schedule would have only had 26 days left on the date the bids were opened.

```
PROCUREMENT B-227809 Oct. 2, 1987
Sealed Bidding 87-2 CPD 323
Invitations for Bids
Cancellation
Justification
Minimum Needs Standards
```

PROCUREMENT Specifications Minimum Needs Standards Determination Administrative Discretion

Solicitation for the lease of 90,000 square feet of contiguous space may be canceled where the agency needs 125,000 square feet of contiguous space, even if this reason was not the original reason for canceling the procurement.

PROCUREMENT B-227843.2 Oct. 2, 1987 Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 324 Administrative Policies Violation GAO Review

Allegation that value engineering program is not being administered properly concerns policy matter not reviewable by General Accounting Office.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests Premature Allegation GAO Review

Allegation that agency may accept nonconforming goods under contract is not for review since the General Accounting Office will not anticipate improper agency action.

PROCUREMENT Competitive Negotiation Offers Evaluation Royalties Cost Evaluation

Addition of evaluation factor to offered prices for items manufactured under a value engineering change proposal (VECP), to reflect royalty fee government must pay for VECP items, is unobjectionable--even when the factor is added to offer of the firm that developed the VECP--since the evaluation factor represents an actual cost to the government of contracting for a VECP item.

PROCUREMENTB-227874.2Oct. 2, 1987Bid Protests87-2CPD325Apparent Solicitation ImproprietiesDetermination

Ţ

ź

Ì

ţ

Ì

į

5

į

i

10-140

÷

i

į

5

5

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness Apparent Solicitation Improprieties

Protest based upon alleged defect in a solicitation which is apparent prior to bid opening must be filed prior to bid opening. Solicitation defect is apparent if the protester, because of its prior performance under a recent contract, knows of the defect in the solicitation regardless of whether defect is apparent to other bidders.

PROCUREMENT B-227913 Oct. 2, 1987 Competitive Negotiation 87-2 CPD 327 Offers Technical Acceptability Negative Determination Propriety

Agency had reasonable basis to reject protester's proposal as technically unacceptable where in response to solicitation contemplating the supply of newly manufactured items, protester who intended to furnish used, reconditioned material failed to submit with its proposal information and supporting data required by the solicitation for determining the acceptability of the protester's material.

B-227928.2 Oct. 2, 1987 87-2 CPD 328

Ì

-

.

and the second second

ž

.

į

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Bids Late Submission Rejection Propriety

Protest that bid improperly was rejected as late is denied where protester was on notice 9 days before bid opening, by reason of the certified mail receipt, that its bid had been delivered to the wrong agency but they took no further action to ensure timely delivery of the bid.

PROCUREMENT B-227935 Oct. 2, 1987 Competitive Negotiation 87-2 CPD 329 Offers Technical Acceptability Negative Determination Propriety

The General Accounting Office will not disturb an agency's decision that a technical proposal is unacceptable where the decision is supported by the information in the proposal and the evaluation materials, and is consistent with the criteria set forth in the solicitation.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule B-227971 Oct. 2, 1987 87-2 CPD 330

ł.

į

Į

Where the agency led the protester to believe that its proposal was not yet definitely excluded from the competitive range, despite a letter stating that it was being eliminated, a protest filed with the agency within 10 working days of the agency's definite exclusion of the protester from award is timely. The protester's filing of a protest with the General Accounting Office within 10 working days of adverse agency action on that protest therefore also is timely.

PROCUREMENT Competitive Negotiation Offers Organizational Experience Evaluation Propriety

Agency's decision to exclude an offeror from the competitive range on the basis that the firm's experience did not meet the mandatory criteria of the solicitation is proper where the record shows that the agency's evaluation of the offeror's experience was reasonable and consistent with the solicitation's evaluation scheme.

B-228651 Oct. 2, 1987 87-2 CPD 331

į

Sealed Bidding Bids Modification Submission Methods Procedural Defects

PROCUREMENT

Agency's determination not to consider a bid price modification written on the bidder's envelope is legally unobjectionable where the bidder did not adhere to the prescribed procedural requirements for modifying a bid; the modification was not signed by the individual who signed the standard bid form; and there was nothing in the bid package indicating that the author of the modification was authorized to modify the bid.

PROCUREMENT B-227677 Oct. 5, 1987 Sealed Bidding 87-2 CPD 332 Invitations for Bids Post-bid Opening Cancellation Justification Competition Enhancement

Where specifications under a brand name or equal solicitation result in limited competition and the contracting officer determines that other firms would compete under relaxed specifications, the procuring agency has a compelling reason to cancel the solicitation after bid opening because it is in the best interest of the government to enhance competition. B-227897 Oct. 5, 1987 87-2 CPD 333

į

į

i

.

į

ĥ

ĺ

7

Ì

The second second second second second

Į

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Bonds Justification GAO Review

Protest that bonding requirement in an invitation for bids is unduly restrictive is without merit since it is within agency's discretion to require bonding even in a small business set-aside and the General Accounting Office will not upset such a determination made reasonably and in good faith.

Agency's requirement for uninterrupted performance of custodial services is itself a reasonable basis for imposing bonding requirements in a solicitation.

PROCUREMENT

Sealed Bidding Invitations for Bids Cancellation Justification Funding Restrictions

In a negotiated procurement, the contracting officer has broad powers to decide whether to cancel a solicitation and need only to establish a reasonable basis for the cancellation. Lack of funding for a procurement clearly provides a reasonable basis for cancellation.

B-227939 Oct. 5, 1987 87-2 CPD 334

ŝ

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests Agency-level Protests Protest Timeliness GAO Review

Protest that contracting agency's failure to forward protester's size protest against a competing firm promptly to the Small Business Administration (SBA) improperly prejudiced the protester is denied where protester's size protest was untimely and, therefore, would not have affected the outcome of the procurement; and the contracting agency filed its own size protest and the SBA determined the protested firm to be a small business concern for the current acquisition.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule

Protest allegation first raised in protester's postconference comments that agency actions improperly prevented protester from filing a preaward appeal of an SBA regional office size status determination is untimely where based on information known to the protester at the time the initial protest was filed.

Protest against proposed award to another bidder based on allegedly improper evaluation of bids on a line item basis is untimely where filed more than 10 working days after the basis of protest was known.
B-227952 Oct. 5, 1987

ŝ

1

in the second seco

PROCUREMENT I Contract Management Contract Administration Domestic Products Compliance GAO Review

Where bidder certifies in accordance with the Buy American Act that it intends to supply a domestic product, it is obligated to do so upon acceptance of its bid, and whether the firm in fact meets its obligation is a matter of contract administration, which the General Accounting Office does not review.

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Bids Responsiveness Price Omission Line Items

Where the solicitation required a bid for all items and the protester misinterpreted a parenthetical phrase advising bidders to combine the bids for two of three items and entered just one bid for all three items, leaving one item without a price, the contracting agency properly rejected the bid as nonresponsive.

```
PROCUREMENT
```

Sealed Bidding Non-Responsive Bids Acceptance Propriety Competitive System Integrity

A nonresponsive bid may not be accepted even if it would result in savings to the government.

PROCUREMENT B-227954 Oct. 5, 1987 Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 335 GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness Apparent Solicitation Improprieties

A protest of a solicitation provision, requiring submission of a first article inspection report and prescribing standards for waiving submission of such a report, is dismissed as untimely where it was not filed prior to the closing date for receipt of initial proposals.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule

Protest of agency decision to waive first article requirements for competitor is dismissed as untimely where not filed within 10 working days after protester received information from agency that competitor had received award based on line items indicating that first article testing had been waived.

PROCUREMENT Competitive Negotiation Requests for Proposals First-article Testing Waiver Administrative Determination

Protest of agency's decision not to waive a first article testing requirement is denied where firm has not produced the item for an extended period of time--12 years.

B-228190.2 Oct. 5, 1987 87-2 CPD 336

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures GAO Decisions Reconsideration

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Purposes Competition Enhancement

General Accounting Office will not review a protest that the government should issue a solicitation with more restrictive specifications; therefore, prior dismissal of protest on that issue is affirmed.

PROCUREMENT B-228252 Oct. 5, 1987 Sealed Bidding 87-2 CPD 337 Bid Guarantees Post-bid Opening Periods Submission Responsiveness

Since a bid guarantee provision in a solicitation is a material requirement which must be met at the time of bid opening, a bid which is nonresponsive due to the lack of an adequate bid guarantee cannot be made responsive by furnishing the guarantee in proper form after bid opening.

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Bid Guarantees Responsiveness Checks Adequacy

An uncertified corporate check is not an acceptable bid guarantee. Such an instrument lacks the status of the necessary firm commitment because it is subject to dishonor through events such as insufficient funds in the account and stop payment orders.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule B-228972 Oct. 5, 1987 87-2 CPD 338

1

,

į

i

į

Protest to the General Accounting Office is untimely where the protester knew its basis for protest more than 10 working days prior to filing its initial protest with the agency, notwithstanding agency's consideration of protest on its merits.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule Adverse Agency Actions

Protest filed with the General Accounting Office more than 10 working days after initial adverse action by the contracting agency on protest filed with it is untimely. Protester's continued pursuit of protest with contracting agency does not change this result.

PROCUREMENT B-227746 Oct. 6, 1987 Socio-Economic Policies 87-2 CPD 340 Small Business Set-Asides Use Administrative Discretion

Contracting officer did not abuse his discretion in deciding not to set aside a particular procurement for small business concerns, even though the service previously was acquired by set-aside, where the record shows that he reasonably did not expect a sufficient number of offers from responsible small business concerns and award at a reasonable price.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests Moot Allegation GAO Review B-227842; B-227842.2 Oct. 6, 1987 87-2 CPD 341 į

į

i

į

i

į

* •

Where protester alleges that specifications contain incongruities and the agency states that it will amend the solicitation in order to eliminate these incongruities, the allegation is academic.

PROCUREMENT Competitive Negotiation Use Criteria

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Use Criteria

Agency decision to use negotiation procedures in lieu of sealed bidding procedures is justified where the agency intended to conduct discussions with the responding offerors.

PROCUREMENT Socio-Economic Policies Small Business Set-Asides Use Administrative Discretion

Procurement need not be set aside for small business where the contracting officer determines that there is no reasonable expectation of receiving offers from two small businesses and making award at a reasonable price.

PROCUREMENT B-227842; B-227842.2 Con't Specifications Oct. 6, 1987 Brand Name/Equal Specifications Equivalent Products Acceptance Criteria

Allegation that specification for fire alarm equipment should have restricted approval of the equipment to Underwriters Laboratory (UL) or Factory Mutual Systems (FMS) is without merit since restricting equipment approval to particular organizations without recognizing equivalents is unduly restrictive and protester has not shown any legal requirement for only UL and FMS approved equipment.

PROCUREMENT Specifications Minimum Needs Standards Competitive Restrictions Allegation Substantiation Evidence Sufficiency

Protester's allegation that specification for fire alarm equipment utilizing National Fire Protection Association Standard 72D is unduly restrictive is denied where the agency reasonably supports the specification and the protester has not shown that the restriction is clearly unreasonable.

D - 14

PROCUREMENT B-228101.4 Oct. 7, 1987 Contractor Qualification 87-2 CPD 342 Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Affirmative Determination GAO Review

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Below-cost Bids Contract Awards Propriety

Agency's acceptance of a below-cost, fixed-price bid from a responsible bidder is not legally objectionable and does not provide a basis of protest. When a contracting officer makes an affirmative determination of responsibility, the General Accounting Office will not review it absent a showing that such determination may have been made fraudulently or in bad faith or that definitive responsibility criteria in the solicitation were not met.

ļ

į

ţ

PROCUREMENT B-228721 Oct. 7, 1987 Sealed Bidding 87-2 CPD 343 Bids Clerical Errors Error Correction Propriety

Protest of the contracting agency's decision to allow upward price correction of an allegedly mistaken low bid, which would result in the bid remaining low by a substantial amount, is denied where the worksheets submitted to support the allegation of mistake establish the claimed intended bid by clear and convincing evidence.

Low bidder may withdraw claim for upward correction and accept award at original bid price where bid clearly would be low in any case.

B-228912 Oct. 7, 1987 87-2 CPD 344

ĥ

.

í.

NUMBER OF

į

ŝ.

Į

! .

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Interested Parties

Large business is not "interested party" within meaning of Bid Protest Regulations for purposes of protesting alleged improprieties in solicitation set aside for small business concerns, since it is not eligible to receive award.

PROCUREMENT B-228013 Oct. 8, 1987 Sealed Bidding 87-2 CPD 346 Bids Errors Error Substantiation

Generally, an asserted mistake in bid alleged prior to award may be corrected where there exists clear and convincing evidence that a mistake was made, of the manner in which the mistake occurred, and of the intended bid price. Where the bidder supports its request for correction of its bid with conflicting affidavits as to what bid price it actually intended, the contracting agency's decision to deny correction was reasonable.

The failure of a bidder alleging a mistake in regard to construction work to make provisions in its worksheets for profit and overhead calls into question whether the alleged intended bid price of the bidder is indeed the bid price actually intended.

PROCUREMENT B-228013 Con't Sealed Bidding Oct. 8, 1987 Bids Errors Post-bid Opening Withdrawal Propriety

By contrast with the clear and convincing evidence required for bid correction, withdrawal of a bid for reason of mistake requires a lesser degree of proof and may be permitted if it reasonably appears that an error was made.

PROCUREMENTB-228129Oct. 8, 1987Bid Protests87-2CPD347Federal GrantsContract AwardsGAO Review

The General Accounting Office has no authority to review procurements by other than federal agencies, and also does not review complaints concerning the award of contracts under federal grants.

PROCUREMENT

Bid Protests

Licensing GAO Review B-227545 Oct. 9, 1987 87-2 CPD 348

PROCUREMENT

Contractor Qualification Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Affirmative Determination GAO Review

If a solicitation requires the contractor to obtain a specific license, but does not indicate that the license must be obtained prior to award, the contractor may obtain the license after award. Where the contracting officer reasonably determined that the prospective awardee, who did not then have the license, could obtain it in time to perform, the award to that firm is legally unobjectionable.

PROCUREMENT B-228239 Oct. 9, 1987 Contractor Qualification 87-2 CPD 349 Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Affirmative Determination GAO Review

Protests against affirmative determinations of responsibility will not be reviewed unless there is a showing of possible fraud or bad faith or a possible failure by the contracting officer to apply definitive responsibility criteria.

PROCUREMENT

B-228250.2 Oct. 9, 1987 87-2 CPD 350 Port Date

.

-

ı

į

-

Į

ı

į

ı

Į

ŝ

Bid Protests GAO Procedures GAO Decisions Reconsideration

PROCUREMENT

Contractor Qualification Responsibility Contractor Officer Findings Bad Faith Allegation Substantiation

Protest of affirmative determination of responsibility which did not allege, much less show, fraud or bad faith on the part of procuring officials properly was dismissed pursuant to General Accounting Office's (GAO's) Bid Protest Regulations. Contracting officer's alleged remark that protest was "futile," made when copy of it was hand-delivered to him, does not constitute virtually irrefutable proof that he determined lowerpriced bidder responsible out of a specific and malicious intent to injure the protester; therefore, showing which is prerequisite to GAO review of matter has not been made.

B-228326 Oct. 9, 1987 87-2 CPD 351

ı

į

1000

ı į

į

-

į

ı

į

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule

Protest that agency conducted an auction by disclosing protester's price position and then requesting best and final offers from a limited number of offerors is dismissed as untimely, since it was not filed within 10 working days after the protester learned the protest basis.

PROCUREMENT Socio-Economic Policies Small Businesses Size Standards GAO Review

General Accounting Office will not consider protest that a solicitation has the wrong Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code, used to determine the small business size standard for the procurement, since conclusive authority to determine the proper SIC code is vested in the Small Business Administration.

PROCUREMENT	B-228	3654	Oct.	9,	1987
Bid Protests	87-2	CPD	352		
GAO Procedures					
Purposes					
Competition	Enhancement				

Protester's interest in benefiting from more restrictive specifications is not protestable under General Accounting Office bid protest function.

PROCUREMENT B-228654 Con't Specifications Oct. 9, 1987 Minimum Needs Standards Competitive Restrictions Design Specifications Justification

Requirement for sterilizers with radial arm door design is not unduly restrictive where this door design is required because it has the capability to control dangerous leaks and the protester has not shown that other designs can meet this need.

1

ı

ı

İ

PROCUREMENT	B-228316; B-228309
Bid Protests	Oct. 13, 1987
Antitrust Matters	87-2 CPD 353
GAO Review	

General Accounting Office will not consider an allegation of collusive bidding. Such an allegation is, in the first instance, a matter to be considered by the contracting officer in the context of a responsibility determination. Should collusive bidding be suspected, the matter should be referred to the Department of Justice, since it constitutes a criminal offense.

PROCUREMENT B-228375 Oct. 13, 1987 Competitive Negotiation 87-2 CPD 354 Contract Awards Administrative Discretion Cost/Technical Tradeoffs Technical Superiority

Award of a negotiated contract to a higher-cost, technically superior offeror is not objectionable where award on that basis is consistent with the evaluation criteria.

 PROCUREMENT
 B-228892
 Oct. 13, 1987

 Bid Protests
 87-2
 CPD 355

 GAO Procedures
 Protest Timeliness
 Deadlines

 Deadlines
 Constructive Notification

Protesters are charged with constructive knowledge of Bid Protest Regulations, and incorrect advice by an agency as to the filing requirements of those regulations is not a defense to dismissal of a protest as untimely.

PROCUREMENT B-227767 Oct. 14, 1987 Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 356 Allegation Substantiation Lacking GAO Review

PROCUREMENT

Sealed Bidding Contractors Eligibility Professional Societies

Allegations that agency improperly excluded protester from competitive range and failed to provide for full and open competition are denied where competition was obtained, and record bears no evidence that determination of competitive range was unreasonable or that agency failed to comply with statutory and regulatory requirements in conducting the procurement.

6

į

ı

6 6 1

1

i

6

PROCUREMENT B-227767 Con't Bid Protests Oct. 14, 1987 GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness Apparent Solicitation Improprieties

Protest that agency provided inadequate information concerning standards by which offers for photographic laboratory services would be evaluated constitutes an alleged solicitation defect which, where filed after closing date for submission of offers, is untimely under General Accounting Office Bid Protest Regulations.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule

Protest allegations raised for the first time in protester's comments on agency report will not be considered where they fail to comply with requirements for timely filing of protest under General Accounting Office Bid Protest Regulations. 1

6

į.

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Two-Step Sealed Bidding Offers Evaluation Administrative discretion

Protest that agency improperly evaluated offers because it did not use certain specialized measuring equipment which protester allegedly assumed would be used is denied since the solicitation did not indicate such procedures would be used in judging submissions, agency did not consider those evaluation methods relevant to government's needs, and protester has not shown that evaluation as conducted by agency was unreasonable or arbitrary.

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Invitations for Bids Service Contracts Wage Rates Omission B-228096 Oct. 14, 1987 87-2 CPD 357

7

7

į

l

1

PROCUREMENT Socio-Economic Policies Labor Standards Service Contracts Wage Rates Omission

Protest that a Department of Labor wage determination included in a solicitation for a service contract improperly did not include the wage rate for a class of required employees is denied, since the administrative procedure for determining wages for omitted classes of prospective employees in the solicitation provided a reasonable and equal basis for preparation of bids.

PROCUREMENT

Socio-Economic Policies Labor Standards Service Contracts Wage Rates GAO Review

A challenge to the correctness or completeness of Department of Labor wage determination contained in a solicitation subject to the Service Contract Act should be pursued through Labor's administrative process for reviewing such matters, not through a bid protest to the General Accounting Office.

PROCUREMENT B-228346 Oct. 14, 1986 Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 358 GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule Adverse Agency Actions

Protest filed with General Accounting Office (GAO) 7 weeks after protester knew the bases for its protest is dismissed as untimely. Dismissal is appropriate regardless of whether intervening letter written to contracting agency, in which protester expressed the "intent" to protest "at the appropriate time," is considered the filing of an agency-level protest, because agency acted adversely to protester's position approximately 1 month before protester filed at GAO.

PROCUREMENT	B-228	926	Oct.	14,	1987
Sealed Bidding	87-2	CPD	359		
Bids					
Responsiveness					
Shipment Schedules					
Deviation					

A bid offering a delivery schedule of "60 days ARO," that is, offering delivery within 60 days after receipt of order, is nonresponsive to a required delivery schedule of within 60 days after date of contract.

PROCUREMENT

Bid Protests GAO Procedures Interested Parties B-224023.3 Oct. 15, 1987 87-2 CPD 360 2

ş

i.

6

6

£.

į

i

t

l

7

1

2

ł.

ł.

۶ .

A firm that did not participate in a procurement despite having an opportunity to do so is not an interested party for purposes of protesting after award alleged improprieties in connection with that procurement.

PROCUREMENT B-224023.3 Con't Contract Management Oct. 15, 1987 Contract Administration Options Use GAO Review

Contention that a contracting officer is required to test the market by contacting other sources prior to exercising an option to extend the term of an existing contract is without merit because the regulations permit the determination to exercise an option to be based on a finding that the market has been stable since the award of the initial contract.

PROCUREMENT Contract Management Contract Modification Cardinal Change Doctrine Criteria Determination

Contract modification requiring the government to pay for alterations to awardee's facility necessary for contract performance is not a cardinal change where it does not substantially change the purpose and nature of the original contract.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests Moot Allegation GAO Review B-225688.3 Oct. 15, 1987 87-2 CPD 361 -

¢.

ł.

6

6

ć.

Ż

ł

ι.

1

t

8

ł.

t.

t

l

X.

£.

ŝ

ł.

i.

Protest challenging contracting officer's alleged failure to comply with regulatory requirements concerning filing size status protest with Small Business Administration (SBA) is academic where SBA has already ruled that the challenged firm is a small business.

PROCUREMENT B-225815.2 Oct. 15, 1987 Sealed Bidding 87-2 CPD 362 Bids Errors Error Substantiation

20.0

ł.

į

8

į

7

1

l

t.

ł.

ŀ.

.

ł.

į

6

į

r į

į

When a bidder denies that a mistake has been made, but it is nonetheless apparent that the bid is in error, the contracting officer properly may reject the bid because of his concern about whether the bid actually intended would be low.

PROCUREMENT B-228008 Oct. 15, 1987 Competitive Negotiation 87-2 CPD 363 Offers Evaluation Personnel Experience

PROCUREMENT

Competitive Negotiation Requests for Proposals Evaluation Criteria Prior Contracts Contract Performance

The contracting agency's evaluation of the protester's proposal under the evaluation factors for previous experience with the agency and management and support personnel experience and capability was reasonable where the agency had limited experience with the protester compared to the awardees of the protested contracts, and the protester's performance of a contract with the agency had been faulty.

PROCUREMENT	B-228043	Oct.	15,	1987
Bid Protests	87–2 CPD	364		
Allegation				
Abandonment				

Where agency rebuts protest allegations in administrative report and protester does not expressly continue those allegations in its comments on the report, the allegations are deemed abandoned and will not be considered.

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Below-cost Bids Contract Awards Propriety

Allegation that awardee cannot perform at its low bid price does not provide a sustainable basis of protest; submission of below-cost bid on fixed-price contract provides no basis for challenging award to responsible bidder.

D-27

į

ł.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Preparation Costs

ţ

į

Į

ł.

Reimbursement of protest costs is not appropriate where protester received interim contract for remainder of base year requirement covered by protested solicitation.

PROCUREMENT

Bid Protests Moot Allegation GAO Review

When protester challenging agency decision to resolicit rather than reinstate invitation for bids and award to protester after termination of an improperly awarded contract is awarded an interim contract, General Accounting Office (GAO) dismisses protest as academic; even if protest were sustained, ultimate remedy would be recommendation for award to protester, and where this has already occurred, no useful purpose would be served by GAO's considering the matter further.

PROCUREMENT B-227106.3, et al. Contract Management Oct. 16, 1987 Contract Modification 87-2 CPD 367 Cardinal Change Doctrine Criteria Determination

PROCUREMENT Specifications Performance Specifications Modification Contractors Notification

Prior decision that the Navy improperly changed certain formulas contained in the request for proposals (RFP) at the time of award in what amounted to an improper relaxation of mandatory requirements is affirmed, where the Navy's argument that the formulas were not mandatory requirements of the RFP is plainly contradicted by the express terms of the RFP. i į

ų,

6

į

į,

į

ŝ,

Ì

t

ł.

6

k

i

6

-

.

6 6

į

And a second second

į

-

ł

ţ

į

The request for proposals (RFP) expressly directed that offers must meet certain formulas no matter which of two types of transmitter technologies (linear beam tube or magnetron oscillator tube) was proposed. The Navy subsequently determined that the RFP formulas did not apply to an offer using a magnetron oscillator tube transmitter and, therefore, awarded a contract to that offeror and simultaneously modified the formulas as proposed by the awardee. The General Accounting Office affirms a prior decision holding that the award and simultaneous contract modification on behalf of only one offeror amounted to an improper relaxation of mandatory RFP requirements, because other offerors may have been misled by the RFP into reasonably concluding that only a transmitter which could meet the mandated RFP formulas would be considered acceptable.

PROCUREMENT B-227106.3, et al. Con't Competitive Negotiation Oct. 16, 1987 Discussion Reopening Competitive System Integrity GAO Decisions Recommendations

Prior decision sustaining protest and recommending that the competition be reopened is affirmed notwithstanding that the awardee's price and technical formulas were revealed by the contracting agency through the award and during development of the original bid protest. The importance of correcting the improper award through further negotiations overrides any possible competitive disadvantage accruing to the prior awardee by the disclosures.

Interested party's request that the General Accounting Office modify recommendation that the agency reopen the competition so that award will be made to the lowest priced, technically acceptable offeror under the original solicitation is denied, where the record shows that the agency's needs can be met under relaxed and potentially less costly requirements. Therefore, the recommendation that the competition be reopened so that all offerors will be allowed to compete to the relaxed specifications is proper.

PROCUREMENT B-227953 Oct. 16, 1987 Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 368 GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule

Contentions that amendment of solicitation after submission of best and final offers (BAFOs) which consequently led to the reopening of the competition for a second round of BAFOs was unnecessary and unreasonable, and that the reopening of the competition created an improper auction, are untimely where asserted in protest filed after the closing date for receipt of the second round of BAFOs. į

ş

į

ÿ

į

6

į

į

į

6

į

į

ł.

į

6

į

· · · · · · · · · ·

i

PROCUREMENT B-228369 Oct. 16, 1987 Socio-Economic Policies 87-2 CPD 369 Small Businesses Responsibility Competency Certification GAO Review

The General Accounting Office will not review a protest concerning a determination of the Small Business Administration to issue a certificate of competency except upon a <u>prima</u> <u>facie</u> showing of fraud, bad faith, or willful disregard of vital information bearing on the firm's compliance with definitive responsibility criteria.

B-228637 Oct. 16, 1987

Payment/Discharge Unauthorized Contracts Quantum Meruit/Valebant Doctrine

PROCUREMENT

Electric supply company that performed emergency repair services to restore air conditioning and hot water to an Army facility, including a hospital, may be paid on a <u>quantum meruit</u> basis. The services would have constituted a permissible procurement, the government received and accepted the services and the company acted in good faith.

B-225496.4 Oct. 19, 1987 87-2 CPD 370

6

i.

į

į

į

į

į

į

į

-

į

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures GAO Decisions Reconsideration

Original decision denying protester's challenge to awardee's technical acceptability and dismissing challenge to contracting officer's affirmative responsibility determination is affirmed where protester merely disagrees with decision and reiterates arguments raised initially, but makes no showing that decision was based on error of fact or law.

PROCUREMENT B-227848.3; B-227848.4 Competitive Negotiation Oct. 19, 1987 Contract Awards 87-2 CPD 371 Administrative Discretion

An agency may conduct post best and final offer, preaward clarification negotiations with only the selected offeror to address minor provisions unrelated to the award evaluation.

PROCUREMENT

Competitive Negotiation Contract Awards Administrative Discretion Cost/Technical Tradeoffs Cost Savings

Where the technical point score of the lower cost proposal is marginally less than another offeror's point score, the agency may reasonably find the proposals are technically equal and use cost as the award selection factor.

An agency conducted meaningful discussions where the discussions lead the protester into the lower rated portions of its proposal. Moreover, where all the protester's proposed personnel are rated lower than the other offerors' proposed personnel and its costs are much higher, the agency is not obligated to point out all evaluated weaknesses inherent in the protester's proposed personnel. į

ĥ

į

ŝ

į

ł.

į

į

t

ţ

ł

-

1000

1 1

ć.

į

t.

PROCUREMENT

Competitive Negotiation Offers Evaluation Cost Estimates

The cost evaluation of the low proposal is reasonable, where the agency conducted an in-depth review of the initial cost proposals and conducted cost discussions, in response to which the low offeror factored in the missing cost elements, and where the cost reduction in the low offeror's best and final offer is primarily based upon a reduction in proposed fee and a lower overhead rate on which there will be a contractually binding ceiling.

PROCUREMENTB-227853Oct. 19, 1987Bid Protests87-2CPD372GAO ProceduresProtest TimelinessApparent Solicitation Improprieties

Protest of extensions of time for submission of proposals and of solicitation delivery schedule is untimely since extensions were incorporated into the solicitation by amendments and thus were required to be protested prior to the next closing date after their incorporation.

PROCUREMENT Competitive Negotiation Offers Designs Information Submission Waiver

Agency decision to waive a requirement for submission of complete design information before award was reasonable since neither of the two offers submitted provided the required information.

PROCUREMENT Competitive Negotiation Offers Evaluation Shipment Schedules

Although solicitation warned that offers failing to meet required delivery schedule would be considered nonresponsive and rejected, such a provision does not require agency to automatically reject a nonconforming proposal in the same manner that it would reject a nonresponsive bid.

PROCUREMENT B-227853 Con't Competitive Negotiation Oct. 19, 1987 Offers Evaluation Technical Acceptability

General Accounting Office generally will not disturb an agency's technical evaluation absent a clear showing that the determination was unreasonable. A protester's mere disagreement with an agency's technical evaluation does not satisfy its burden to show that the evaluation was unreasonable.

PROCUREMENT Competitive Negotiation Requests for Proposals Amendments Issuance Lacking

Although generally a written amendment should be issued when the agency relaxes or changes its requirements, the protester is not prejudiced by the agency's failure to issue a written amendment since neither the protester or the awardee met the waived requirement.

PROCUREMENT B-228040 Oct. 19, 1987 Competitive Negotiation 87-2 CPD 373 Requests for Proposals Cancellation Justification Competition Enhancement

Cancellation of request for proposals was proper where, due to ambiguity of specification, offerors were not competing on a common basis.

B-228304.2 Oct. 19, 1987 87-2 CPD 374

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures GAO Decisions Reconsideration

Protester's allegations in a request for reconsideration that merely reiterates the facts and arguments previously considered in its original protest do not provide a basis for reconsideration.

PROCUREMENT

Bid Protests GAO Procedures Purposes Competition Enhancement

General Accounting Office will not review the merits of an allegation that a contract should be awarded on a sole-source basis since the purpose of GAO's role in resolving bid protests is to ensure that the statutory requirements for free and open competition for government contracts are met.

PROCUREMENT B-225043.5 Oct. 20, 1987 Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 375 GAO Procedures Interested Parties Direct Interest Standards

Protest is dismissed where the record shows that protester, as third low bidder, would not be in line for award even if the protest was sustained.

A firm that manufactures a product that would be supplied by second low bidder is not an interested party since only an actual or prospective offeror in line for award is an interested party eligible to protest under GAO's Bid Protest Regulations.

PROCUREMENT Payment/Discharge Letter Contracts Contract Awards Intent Cost Reimbursement

Claimant expended funds to purchase equipment pursuant to a letter of intent issued by the Department of the Army. At the time the letter of intent was signed, both parties contemplated execution of a formal contract shortly thereafter. However, for a variety of reasons, including uncertainty by the Army of the propriety of a contingent fee arrangement in which claimant was involved, the formal contract was never issued. Nevertheless, the letter constituted a valid agreement for procurement of specified items for the government's account and claimant should be reimbursed for the amounts it expended in conformance with the agreement.

PROCUREMENT B-227888 Oct. 20, 1987 Contractor Qualification 87-2 CPD 376 Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Negative Determination Prior Contract Performance

Nonresponsibility determination is reasonable where based on information showing prior unsatisfactory performance, even though there also is some indication of recent improvement in performance.

Regulation requiring agency to give prospective contractor opportunity to "cure" factors leading to nonresponsibility determination does not apply where nonresponsibility determination is based on unsatisfactory overall prior performance, a deficiency that cannot be cured.

B-228421 Oct. 20, 1987 87-2 CPD 377

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Interested Parties

A higher bidder has no standing to challenge an agency's refusal to allow the low bidder to withdraw its bid based on the low bidder's claim of mistake, where the low bidder has accepted the award at the price actually bid and it is clear that the bid would remain low under any circumstance.

PROCUREMENT

B-227850 Oct. 21, 1987 87-2 CPD 379

Special Procurement 87-2 CPD Methods/Categories Multi-year Leases Use Communications Systems/Services Telephones

General Accounting Office sees no reason why agency should not evaluate 10 year lease of telephone services, with an option for an additional 5 years, against 15year systems life of purchased systems. A 10 year lease renewable solely at the discretion of the government would not violate provisions of 40 U.S.C. 481 since government would not be obligated for more than 10 years.

PROCUREMENT

Specifications Minimum Needs Standards Competitive Restrictions Geographic Restrictions Justification

Requirement for zone-wide management of telephone contract, which excludes telephone companies that can offer for only part of zone, unduly restricts competition where management is site-oriented and record does not establish that number of sites would differ if multiple contractors cover zone or that the requirement otherwise is a legitimate need of agency.

PROCUREMENT B-228274 Oct. 21, 1987 Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 380 GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness Apparent Solicitation Improprieties

Protest that contracting agency allowed insufficient time for submission of best and final offers after issuance of a significant amendment to the solicitation is dismissed as untimely where protest was not filed before the due date for receipt of best and final offers.

PROCUREMENT B-228393 Oct. 21, 1987 Sealed Bidding 87-2 CPD 381 Bid Guarantees Propriety Invitations for Bids Funding Restrictions

Notice in a solicitation that funds are not presently available for contract award does not relieve a bidder from submitting a bid bond required by the terms of the solicitation.

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Bids Bid Guarantees Omission Responsiveness

Bid guarantee provision in solicitation is a material requirement which must be met at the time of the bid opening. Therefore, a bid which does not include the bid bond is nonresponsive and cannot be made responsive by furnishing the bond after bid opening.

PROCUREMENT B-228717 Oct. 21, 1987 Contractor Qualification 87-2 CPD 382 Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Affirmative Determination GAO Review

General Accounting Office will not review contracting agency's affirmative determination of a bidder's responsibility absent a showing of possible fraud or bad faith on the part of the agency or an alleged failure to apply definitive criteria contained in the invitation.

PROCUREMENT

Sealed Bidding Unbalanced Bids Allegation Substantiation Evidence Sufficiency

Deviation

Bid to provide transportation services is not mathematically or materially unbalanced where its baseyear price is less than 30 percent greater than its prices for each of 2 option-years to reflect the bidder's actual costs, and the bid will become low during the first of the two options which the government expects to exercise.

PROCUREMENT	B-228889	Oct.	21, 1987
Sealed Bidding	87-2 CPD	383	-
Bids			
Responsiveness			
Terms			

A bid that includes preprinted terms and conditions that vary from the terms and conditions in the solicitation is nonresponsive.

B-229011 Oct. 21, 1987

PROCUREMENT Payment/Discharge Shipment Costs Weight Restrictions Federal Procurement Regulations/Laws Amendments

General Accounting Office has no objection to a proposal to amend Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 47.305-16 and the contract clause at FAR 52.247-60, which concern guaranteed maximum shipping weights and dimensions.

PROCUREMENT B-227635 Oct. 22, 1987 Competitive Negotiation 87-2 CPD 384 Competitive Advantage Non-prejudicial Allegation

Contention that protester was placed at a competitive disadvantage under a solicitation to design, construct and lease a building because the agency waived for a competitor a requirement that exterior walls have a specified insulation value is denied where the requirement had no apparent effect on the competition.

PROCUREMENT

Competitive Negotiation Contract Awards Administrative Discretion Cost/Technical Tradeoffs Technical Superiority

Contracting agency properly selected a higher-priced proposal to lease a facility where the evaluation criteria provided that award would be based on the technical/cost relationship most advantageous to the government, and the agency reasonably determined the proposal had technical advantages that were consistent with the evaluation factors and worth the extra cost.

PROCUREMENT B-227635 Con't Oct. 22, 1987 Competitive Negotiation Offers Evaluation Information Submission Contractor Duties

Where agency was evaluating designs for a building to be constructed and leased, the agency properly did not evaluate utility costs to be paid by the agency where offerors failed to submit the necessary data; the data was speculative in any event; and all offerors were evaluated on an equal basis.

PROCUREMENT B-227925 Oct. 22, 1987 Contractor Qualification 87-2 CPD 385 Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Negative Determination Prior Contract Performance

Contracting officer's nonresponsibility determination based on the protester's prior performance and the lack of a radiometric test range is supportable where record indicates that protester's prior performance was deficient and protester does not show that prior performance deficiencies were beyond its control and the record shows that the protester had incomplete plans for the necessary range.

PROCUREMENT

B-227979 Oct. 22, 1987 Contractor Qualification 87-2 CPD 386 **Responsibility Criteria** Performance Capabilities

Solicitation requirement that fan assemblies incorporate a component obtained from specified approved sources is not a definitive responsibility criterion. The specification requirement is related to the bidder's general ability to perform the contract, so that the ability to comply is encompassed by the contracting officer's subjective responsibility determination.

B-226231 Oct. 23, 1987

PROCUREMENT Payment/Discharge Overcharge Interest

Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) erroneously overbilled City of Aberdeen for 1983 annual payment under water storage contract. When error was discovered, Corps credited overpayment to 1985 charges. Aberdeen's claim for interest on the overpayment may not be allowed in view of long-established prohibition on recovery of interest from United States except where expressly authorized in relevant statute or contract.

PROCUREMENT B-228051 Oct. 23, 1987 Sealed Bidding 87-2 CPD 387 Low Bids Error Correction Price Adjustments Propriety

Correction of the low bidder's mistake is appropriate where record clearly establishes the claimed mistake and where the alleged intended bid falls within a narrow range of uncertainty, the upper end of which is still significantly below the next low bid.

PROCUREMENT B-228116.2 Oct. 23, 1987 Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 388 Allegation Withdrawal Oral Notification

General Accounting Office (GAO) declines to reopen file closed in reliance on protester's oral withdrawal where record indicates that any misunderstanding as to which of several pending protests to which the protester referred was on the protester's part, not GAO's, which properly closed file (and so notified contracting agency) on basis of protester's communication.

B-228388 Oct. 23, 1987 87-2 CPD 389

Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 389 GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness Apparent Solicitation Improprieties

A protest against alleged solicitation improprieties is untimely where filed after the closing date for receipt of initial proposals.

PROCUREMENT

PROCUREMENT

Socio-Economic Policies Labor Standards Supply Contracts Manufacturers/Dealers Determination

The General Accounting Office does not consider whether a bidder qualifies as a manufacturer or regular dealer under the Walsh-Healey Act. By law, such matters are for determination by the contracting agency in the first instance, subject to review by the Small Business Administration if a small business is involved and the Secretary of Labor.

PROCUREMENT B-228563 Oct. 23, 1987 Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 390 Leases Government Property GAO Review

Protest against the award of a lease of government-owned space is not for consideration under General Accounting Office's bid protest function since it does not concern a procurement by a federal agency of property or services within the scope of the bid protest provisions of the Competition in Contracting Act of 1984, 31 U.S.C. 3551-3556 (Supp. III 1985).

D-44

i

į
PROCUREMENT B-228745 Oct. 23, 1987 Specifications 87-2 CPD 391 Brand Name/Equal Specifications Equivalent Products Salient Characteristics Descriptive Literature

Bid proposing equal product under brand name or equal invitation for bids is nonresponsive when the descriptive literature submitted with the bid fails to establish that the product will meet all of the salient characteristics specified in the solicitation.

PROCUREMENT	B-227080.2; B-227080.3
Bid Protests	Oct. 26, 1987
GAO Procedures	87–2 CPD 392
Protest Timeliness	
Apparent Solicitati	on Improprieties

Basis for protest is untimely where protester alleges, after bid opening, that solicitation contained improprieties.

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Bids Responsiveness Descriptive Literature Adequacy

The determination of the technical adequacy of bids, based on submission of descriptive literature, is within agency's discretion, and our Office will not disturb a determination involving technical acceptability absent a clear showing of unreasonableness.

B-227080.2; B-227080.3 Con't Oct. 26, 1987

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Bids Responsiveness Signatures Omission

Bid that does not include proper signature must be rejected as nonresponsive.

PROCUREMENT

Socio-Economic Policies Small Businesses Size Determination GAO Review

Challenge to awardee's Walsh-Healey and small business certification should be properly raised with the Small Business Administration or the Department of Labor and will not be considered by this Office.

PROCUREMENT

Bid Protests GAO Procedures GAO Decisions Reconsideration B-227898.3 Oct. 26, 1987 87-2 CPD 394

į

į

Į

į

į

Request for reconsideration that does not show that the prior decision contained any factual or legal errors is denied.

PROCUREMENT B-227995 Oct. 26, 1987 Socio-Economic Policies 87-2 CPD 396 Small Businesses Competency Certification Bad Faith Allegation Substantiation

To establish bad faith, burden is on protester to provide virtually irrefutable proof that government officials acted with specific malicious intent to injure the protester. Protester's disagreement with SBA's assessment of the viability of the firm's credit structure does not establish bad faith on the part of SBA officials.

Contracting officer's providing of allegedly erroneous information to SBA does not demonstrate bad faith since the regulations encourage complete exchange of information between the contracting agency and SBA to resolve any disagreement about a firm's ability to perform.

PROCUREMENT Socio-Economic Policies Small Businesses Competency Certification Eligibility Criteria

SBA consideration of protester's credit, where the contracting officer's nonresponsibility determination was based only on capacity, is not a violation of SBA regulations; COC procedure is not limited to consideration of the deficiencies found by the contracting officer and SBA's conduct of an independent evaluation reasonably may result in refusal to issue a COC for a different reason.

PROCUREMENT B-227995 Con't Oct. 26, 1987 Socio-Economic Policies Small Businesses Responsibility **Competency Certification GAO Review**

General Accounting Office will not review contracting officer's nonresponsibility determination where the matter was properly referred to the Small Business Administration (SBA) for consideration under the certificate of competency (COC) procedures.

General Accounting Office review of SBA decision to refuse to issue a COC is limited to evidence of fraud or bad faith on the part of government officials, or of SBA's failure to follow its own regulations or consider material information.

PROCUREMENT

B-225621.5 Oct. 27, 1987 Contractor Qualification 87-2 CPD 397 Responsibility **Contracting Officer Findings** Negative Determination Prior Contract Performance

General Accounting Office will not question a contracting officer's determination that the low bidder is not responsible where the determination is reasonably based on the bidder's performance record.

B-227219.3 Oct. 27, 1987 87-2 CPD 398

E.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Administrative Reports Comments Timeliness

The late receipt of an agency report is not a basis to reopen a protest that was dismissed because of the protester's failure to file comments or express continued interest in the protest within 7 working days after receipt of the agency report, because the protester was specifically notified of the necessity of advising the General Accounting Office of its failure to receive the report when due in a written acknowledgement of its protest.

PROCUREMENTB-227921Oct. 27, 1987Bid Protests87-2CPDNon-Prejudicial AllegationGAO Review

Protest that agency did not comply with regulations concerning preaward and postaward notices to unsuccessful offerors is without merit where the protester fails to show that it was prejudiced by the agency's failure to provide the required preaward notice and the record shows that the agency in fact provided the required postaward notice without undue delay.

PROCUREMENT B-227921 Con't Competitive Negotiation Oct. 27, 1987 Discussion Adequacy Criteria

Protest that agency failed to conduct meaningful discussions is without merit where the agency questioned the protester both in writing and during oral discussions with regard to its proposed approach and provided the protester an opportunity to revise its proposal. An agency generally in not required to advise an offeror when requesting best and final offers that the agency continues to have concerns regarding the offeror's proposed approach. į

-

į

į

į.

PROCUREMENT

Competitive Negotiation Contract Awards Administrative Discretion Cost/Technical Tradeoff Technical Superiority

An agency properly may award a contract to other than the lowest priced acceptable offeror where the solicitation so provides and the contracting officer determines that a significant difference in technical merit justifies award to the higher-rated offeror despite its higher price.

PROCUREMENT

Competitive Negotiation Offers Evaluation Downgrading Propriety

Where the solicitation specified the type of approach to be used in performing the contract, the agency properly downgraded a proposal that did not commit the offeror to using the specified approach.

B-228035 Oct. 27, 1987 87-2 CPD 400

Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 400 GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness Apparent Solicitation Improprieties

Protest that the solicitation manhour estimate for supplemental ship repair work is defective is untimely where filed after bid opening.

PROCUREMENT

PROCUREMENT

Bid Protests Prime Contractors Subcontracts GAO Review

General Accounting Office will consider a protest of an award by a firm acting as a general agent for the Maritime Administration, since the firm is acting by or for the government in issuing a solicitation for ship repair.

PROCUREMENT

Contractor Qualification Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Affirmative Determination GAO Review

General Accounting Office does not review an affirmative determination of responsibility absent a showing of possible fraud on the part of procuring officials or the misapplication of definitive responsibility criteria.

PROCUREMENT	B-228035	Con't
Sealed Bidding	Oct. 27,	1987
Invitations for Bids		
Evaluation Criteria		
Adequacy		

Award of a sealed bid contract must be based on the lowest total price if the bid is responsive and the bidder responsible. Statement in invitation for bids that award will not be made solely on the basis of the lowest bid merely informs bidders that responsiveness and responsibility are additional factors to be considered before award will be made.

PROCUREMENT B-228138 Oct. 27, 1987 Contract Management 87-2 CPD 401 Contract Administration Bonds Adequacy GAO Review

Question regarding fulfillment of payment and performance bond requirements, which are implemented after contract award is a matter of contract administration not cognizable under General Accounting Office Bid Protest Regulations.

1

1

PROCUREMENT B-228245.2 Oct. 27, 1987 Contractor Qualification 87-2 CPD 402 Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Affirmative Determination GAO Review

PROCUREMENT

Contractor Qualification Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Bad Faith Allegation Substantiation

The General Accounting Office will not review a protest against an affirmative determination of responsibility absent a showing of possible fraud or bad faith by government contracting officials or that definitive responsibility criteria contained in a solicitation have not been met. Bad faith on the part of contracting officials is not shown by a mere allegation that the agency accepted nonconforming supplies from the prospective awardee under prior contracts. į

į.

ļ

į

ţ

į

t.

.

į

İ

1

į

PROCUREMENTB-228285.2Oct. 27, 1987Bid Protests87-2CPD403GAO ProceduresProtest Timeliness10-day RuleAdverse Agency Actions

Where a firm initially protested to the contracting activity alleging a defect in the solicitation, the agency's opening of initial proposals without taking the requested corrective action constituted initial adverse agency action, and a protest to General Accounting Office 6 weeks later, based on agency's written denial of original agency level protest, is untimely.

PROCUREMENT B-228368 Oct. 27, 1987 Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 404 GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness Apparent Solicitation Improprieties

Protest based upon alleged apparent improprieties which are subsequently incorporated into solicitation by amendment filed with the agency after the date and time set for the receipt of best and final offers is untimely. Since the protest was not initially timely protested to the procuring agency, later protest to the General Accounting Office is untimely.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures GAO Decisions Reconsideration B-228427.2 Oct. 27, 1987 87-2 CPD 405

į

A DATE:

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule

Dismissal of protest as untimely is affirmed on reconsideration where initial protest to contracting agency on which the protester bases the timeliness of its subsequent protest to General Accounting Office (GAO) raised a different issue than that raised in the protest to GAO.

PROCUREMENT B-228504 Oct. 27, 1987 Contractor Qualification 87-2 CPD 406 Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Affirmative Determination GAO Review

Protester's allegations regarding awardee's technical and financial capacity to perform a contract concern matters of responsibility. This Office will not review an agency's affirmative determination of responsibility absent a showing that such determination may have been made fraudulently or in bad faith or that definitive responsibility criteria in the solicitation were not met.

į

Į.

į

į

į

PROCUREMENT Sealed Bidding Below-cost Bids Contract Awards Propriety

Protest against submission of an alleged below-cost offer on the basis that it constitutes a "buy-in" is dismissed since the possibility of a buy-in is not illegal and does not provide a basis upon which an award may be challenged.

PROCUREMENT B-228695 Oct. 27, 1987 Bid Protests 87-2 CPD 407 GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness Apparent Solicitation Improprieties

Protest, filed after protester learned of the contract award and price, that an amendment requesting the submission of best and final offers (BAFOs) based on a change in contract period should not have been issued and that the award should have been made on the basis of the initial offers is untimely since the protest bases were apparent, and should have been protested, prior to the deadline for the submission of BAFOs. Į

į

.

į

Ĺ

į

į

į

į

.

į

į

į

į

į

Care and a second

PROCUREMENT Competitive Negotiation Below-cost Offers Contract Awards

Propriety

Submission of below-cost prices does not by itself constitute a basis for challenging an otherwise valid contract award.

PROCUREMENT

Competitive Negotiation Initial Offers Price Disclosure Allegation Substantiation

Contention that protester's initial prices improperly were disclosed to awardee before BAFOs were submitted is without merit where it is based solely on substantial price reduction in awardee's BAFO; contracting agency denies disclosing prices; and there is no other evidence in the record showing that prices were disclosed.

PROCUREMENT B-228695 Con't Contractor Qualification Oct. 27, 1987 Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Affirmative Determination GAO Review

General Accounting Office does not review an affirmative determination of responsibility made by a contracting officer absent a showing that the determination may have been made fraudulently or in bad faith, or that definitive responsibility criteria in the solicitation were not met.

PROCUREMENTB-228797.2Oct. 27, 1987Bid Protests87-2CPD408GAO ProceduresAdministrative ReportsComments Timeliness

į

Į

į

4

,

į

0.000

PROCUREMENT

Bid Protests GAO Procedures GAO Decisions Reconsideration

A protester has the affirmative duty to respond to the agency's administrative report within the time required under the General Accounting Office (GAO) Bid Protest Regulations. Accordingly, the GAO must receive the protester's comments within 7 working days of GAO's receipt of the agency's report. Prior action dismissing the protest for failure to timely furnish comments on the agency report is affirmed.

B-227933 Oct. 28, 1987 87-2 CPD 409

į

ĩ

į

.

.

į

į

.

į

Į

į

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests **GAO** Procedures **Protest Timeliness** Apparent Solicitation Improprieties

Protest challenging specifications as unduly restrictive and contracting agency's decision to use negotiated procedures instead of sealed bids is untimely where filed after due date for initial proposals.

PROCUREMENT **Competitive Negotiation** Offers Technical Acceptability Negative Determination Propriety

Protester whose proposal for printing presses was dropped from the competitive range because the presses it offered did not meet all solicitation requirements was not treated unfairly when two other offerors whose proposals also contained similar infirmities were kept in the competitive range because the other two offerors agreed during discussions to modify their presses to meet the solicitation requirements.

PROCUREMENT B-227941 Oct. 28, 1987 Contractor Qualification 87-2 CPD 410 Responsibility Criteria Determination

PROCUREMENT Special Procurement Methods/Categories In-house Performance Cost Estimates Cost Evaluation Fringe Benefits

Agency should not have disqualified bidder as nonresponsible for failure to adequately document fringe benefit costs deducted from its bid for cost comparison purposes under Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-76 procedures. Issue of sufficiency of documentation did not concern integrity of the bidder or the bidder's ability to perform contract successfully, rather issue involves the accuracy of the A-76 cost comparison.

į

į

PROCUREMENT B-227963 Oct. 28, 1987 Competitive Negotiation 87-2 CPD 411 Offers Competitive Ranges Exclusion Administrative Discretion

Protest that offeror was improperly excluded from the competitive range is denied where the agency reasonably concluded that the offeror had no reasonable chance of award because its proposal contained major technical weaknesses and scored substantially below the technical proposals of the other two higher-rated offerors.

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule B-228277.2 Oct. 28, 1987 87-2 CPD 412

ŝ

į

.

į

į

į

.

.

.

.

į

.

į

į

i.

Protest filed more than 2 months after contracting agency express-mailed letter containing basis for protest is untimely since it was not filed within 10 working days of knowledge of basis for protest.

PROCUREMENT B-228553 Oct. 28, 1987 Sealed Bidding 87-2 CPD 413 Bid Guarantees Responsiveness Sureties Liability Restrictions

A commercial bid bond form that limits the surety's obligation to the difference between the amount of the offeror's bid and the amount of a reprocurement contract materially differs from the standard form government bid bond and thus renders a bid nonresponsive.

PROCUREMENT

B-228491 Oct. 29, 1987

Socio-Economic Policies Small Businesses Responsibility Competency Certification GAO Review

The General Accounting Office will consider protests by third parties concerning the Small Business Administration's issuance of a certificate of competency only upon a <u>prima facie</u> showing that government officials acted fraudulently or in bad faith or willfully disregarded vital information bearing on a small business firm's compliance with definitive responsibility criteria.

The Small Business Administration, has statutory authority to review a contracting officer's finding of nonresponsibility and then to determine conclusively a small business firm's responsibility by issuing or refusing to issue a certificate of competency.

PROCUREMENTB-228534Oct. 29, 1987Bid Protests87-2CPD414GAO ProceduresInterested PartiesDirect Interest Standards

Protest filed by company not in line for award is dismissed because the company does not have the requisite direct economic interest to be considered an interested party under the General Accounting Office Bid Protest Regulations. į

į

į

Į

,

į

.

į

PROCUREMENT B-228558 Oct. 29, 1987 Contract Management 87-2 CPD 415 Contract Administration Contract Terms Compliance GAO Review

Whether an awardee's performance actually conforms to the terms of the contract is a matter of contract administration which is the responsibility of the contracting agency, which is not within the purview of the General Accounting Office's bid protest function.

PROCUREMENT

Contractor Qualification Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Affirmative Determination GAO Review

Allegation that awardee did not give sufficient thought or research to items in request for quotations and will be unable to perform the contract concerns a bidder's responsibility, the affirmative determination of which is not considered by General Accounting Office except under limited circumstances not present here.

B-228647 Oct. 29, 1987 87-2 CPD 416

į

ţ

Į

į

i .

į

-

į

PROCUREMENT Bid Protests Licensing GAO Review

Where a solicitation contains a general licensing requirement, the contracting officer may make the award without regard to whether the bidder possesses the licenses.

PROCUREMENT

Competitive Negotiation Competitive Advantage Incumbent Contractors

Offeror who has another contract with the government but is not a government employee is not subject to regulation prohibiting award of contracts to government employees.

PROCUREMENT

Contractor Qualification Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Affirmative Determination GAO Review

Decision as to whether a prospective contractor is responsible is within the discretion of the contracting officer, and the General Accounting Office will not review an affirmative determination except in limited circumstances.

B-225747.3 Oct. 30, 1987 87-2 CPD 417 UR

į

i.

į

i.

.

.

į

.

į

Request for reconsideration is denied where protester reiterates argument from original protest, which was rejected in General Accounting Office's decision, and disagrees with decision, but presents no argument or information establishing that decision was legally or factually erroneous.

PROCUREMENT B-227576 Oct. 30, 1987 Competitive Negotiation 87-2 CPD 418 Contract Awards Fixed-price Contracts Cost/Technical Tradeoffs Justification

Contrary to protester's position that Forest Service has not justified award of contract to higher-priced offeror for design and construction of pedestrian bridge, record of proposal evaluation contains statements that higher price was justified given proposal's perceived design advantage which does not readily lend itself to detailed narrative description but has been pictorially represented.

PROCUREMENT

Competitive Negotiation Offers Designs Evaluation Technical Acceptability

Forest Service did not give improper weight to aesthetic concerns in evaluating design proposals for pedestrian bridge in scenic area where request for proposals informed offerors that these concerns would be evaluated. As design proposals of protester and successful offeror essentially conformed to all design requirements, aesthetic concerns properly became of significant importance in selecting successful offeror.

PROCUREMENT B-227954.2 Oct. 30, 1987 Competitive Negotiation 87-2 CPD 419 Requests for Proposals First-article Testing Waiver Administrative Determination

Contracting agency determination to grant a waiver of first article test requirement for awardee did not prejudice the protester where the awardee's offer was low with or without the waiver of first article.

PROCUREMENT B-228060; B-228061 Bid Protests Oct. 30, 1987 GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness Apparent Solicitation Improprieties

Challenge to alleged defect in invitation for bids first raised in protest to contracting agency before bid opening is untimely where filed with General Accounting Office more than 10 days after agency proceeded with bid opening without taking corrective action in response to the protest.

D-64

Î

į.

Contracting officer's decision to cancel invitation for bids based on unreasonableness of bid prices was proper where low bid exceeded government estimate by 46 percent and there is no showing that the decision to cancel was based on bad faith or fraud on the part of contracting officials.

Cancellation of invitation for bids after bid opening does not result in impermissible auction under resolicitation where IFB was canceled due to unreasonable bid prices.

Listing on invitation for bids (IFB) of estimated cost range higher than actual government estimate is a minor procedural error which does not affect propriety of contracting agency's decision to cancel IFB due to unreasonable bid prices.

PROCUREMENT

B-228242.2 Oct. 30, 1987 87-2 CPD 420 į

į

Į

Į

.

.

į

.

4

.

Bid Protests 87-3 GAO Procedures Protest Timeliness 10-day Rule Adverse Agency Actions

Once a protest is denied by the procuring agency, any subsequent protest to the General Accounting Office must be filed within 10 working days of formal notification of initial adverse agency action and a protester's subsequent efforts to pursue its complaint with the contracting agency do not toll this period.

PROCUREMENT B-228322 Oct. 30, 1987 Contract Management 87-2 CPD 421 Contract Administration Contract Terms Compliance GAO Review

Once an offeror promises to perform in accordance with a solicitation's requirements, whether contractor performs as contractually required is a matter of contract administration, which is the responsibility of the procuring agency and is not subject to review by the General Accounting Office under its bid protest function. į

į

ARCHINE.

į

.

į

.

-

.

2000

į

ļ

PROCUREMENT Contractor Qualification Responsibility Contracting Officer Findings Affirmative Determination GAO Review

Where an offeror promises to comply with the requirements of a solicitation, a contention that the offeror will be unable to comply with the requirements at the offered price constitutes an allegation that the offeror is not responsible; General Accounting Office does not review affirmative determinations of responsibility absent circumstances not applicable here.

PROCUREMENTB-228469Oct. 30, 1987Contractor Qualification87-2CPD422Responsibility CriteriaDetermination

Solicitation provision indicating test data is not available for procurement of an item from concerns that have not previously manufactured similar items is not sufficiently specific and objective to be considered a definitive responsibility criterion.

PROCUREMENT	B-228	489	Oct.	30,	1987
Sealed Bidding	87-2	CPD	423		
Bid Guarantees					
Responsiveness					
Signatures					
Powers of Attorney					

Where bidder submits a photocopied bid bond and a photocopied Power of Attorney which indicates on its face that only an original is valid, the bid bond is of questionable enforceability, and the bid is properly rejected as nonresponsive.

PROCUREMENT B-228862 Oct. 30, 1987 Sealed Bidding 87-2 CPD 424 Bids Clerical Errors Error Correction Propriety

Bid for refuse collection services which quoted a unit price per housing unit instead of a monthly unit price as specified in the invitation for bids is correctable as a clerical error apparent on the face of the bid since the correct monthly unit price is determinable by division of the total yearly amount bid and by multiplication of the bidder's unit price by the number of housing units requiring services.

INDEX

October 1987

Oct. Page

-

t and t

i

5

÷

APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL Accountable Officers Cashiers Relief	MANAGEMEN	Т	
Illegal/Improper	Pavments		
Forgeries	;	B-226872	16A- 4
101801100		B-226911	19A- 5
		B-228851	13A- 3
		B-220091	ر -n- ،ر۱
Fraud		B-221940	7A- 1
Physical Losses			
Theft		B-227714	20A- 6
		B-228884	13A- 3
Disbursing Officers			
Relief			
Illegal/Improper	Payments		
Forgeries		B-226872	16A- 4
		B-228851	13A- 3

Fraud	B-228705	19A- 5
Substitute Checks	B-214372 B-227623 B-229114	9A- 2 13A- 2 5A- 1

Relief

Illegal/Improper Payments		
GAO Decisions		
Reconsideration	B-222392	16A- 4

i

.

-

:

1

- 12.60

ŝ

ų ž

.

:

.

C a

ŧ

5

1.0110

Negality.

-

ş

		<u>Oct.</u>	Page
APPROPRIATIONS/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT Appropriation Availability Purpose Availability Specific Purpose Restrictions			
Entertainment/Recreation	B-221940	7	.A- 1
Interest	B-226231	23	.A- 6
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL Compensation Balances Personnel Death Payees			
Determination	B-227483	23	.B- 2
Rates Determination Highest Previous Rate Rule	B-226020	23	.B- 1
Leaves of Absence Annual Leave Military Service Service Credits Eligibility	B-226020	23	.B - 2
Relocation Expenses Reimbursement Eligibility Government Advantage	B–227663	23	• B - 3
Residence Transaction Expenses Miscellaneous Expenses Reimbursement	B - 226013	28	.B - 5

ii

Decision in the second

.

5

10.00

- ----

1000 Colored

1.00

		Oct.	Page
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL - Con.			
Relocation - Con.			
Residence Transaction Expenses	- Con.		
Reimbursement			
Eligibility			
Property Titles	B-227663	23	•B- 4
Residency	B-227663	23	•B 4
Temporary Quarters			
Actual Subsistence Expenses			
Reimbursement		_	
Eligibility	B-227193		•B- 1
	B-227497	30	•B- 6
Travel			
Overseas Travel			
Eligibility			
Service Contracts			
Contract Renewal	B-225013	28.	.B- 5
MILITARY PERSONNEL			
Pay			
Retirement Pay			
Claim Accrual Dates			
Continuing Claims			
Statutes of Limitation	B-228749	1.	C <u>-</u> 1
PROCUREMENT			
Bid Protests			
Administrative Policies			
Violation			
GAO Review	B-227843.	2 2.	.D _ 2

iii

- Ř

ł.

10.00

5

100

2

ŝ

-

8

1

	Ē	Oct. Page
PROCUREMENT		
Bid Protests		
Agency-level Protests		
Protest Timeliness		
GAO Review	B-227939	5D- 8
Allegation		
Abandonment	B-228043	15D - 27
Withdrawal		
Oral Notification	B-228116.2	23D-43
Allegation Substantiation		
Lacking		
GAO Review	B-227767	14D-21
Antitrust Matters		
GAO Review	B - 228316)	
	B-228309	13D-20
Apparent Solicitation Impropri		
Determination	B-227874.2	2D- 3
Federal Grants		
Contract Awards		
GAO Review	B-228129	8D-17
GAO Procedures		
Administrative Reports		
Comments Timeliness	B - 227219.3	• •
	B-228797.2	27D-57

iv

Oct.

Page

.

.

.

ŝ

.

-

.

-

.

ŝ

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

E

.

ł

a la

÷

100000-0

.

.....

10072 · · · · · ·

ţ

Ì

PROCUREMENT - Con. Bid Protests - Con. GAO Procedures - Con. GAO Decisions B-225496.4 19...D-32 Reconsideration 30...D-63 B-225747.3 B-227898.3 26...D-46 5...D-11 B-228190.2 9...D-18 B-228250.2 B-228304.2 19...D-36 B-228427.2 27...D-54 B-228797.2 27...D-57 15...D-24 Interested Parties B-224023.3 20...D-38 B-228421 7...D-16 B-228912 Direct Interest Standards B-225043.5 20...D-36 B-228534 29...D-61 B-227060.2 16...D-28 Preparation Costs Protest Timeliness Apparent Solicitation Improprieties B-227080.2) B-227080.3) 26...D-45 B-227767 14...D-22 19...D-34 B-227853 2...D- 3 B-227874.2 28...D-58 B-227933 B-227954 5...D-10 B-228035 27...D-51 B-228060) B-228061) 30...D-64

v

B-228274

21...D-39

-

le le

•

ļ

į

.

- 001

U.S.J. 1902.

-

. .

NUMB - UNIX MULU - MULU

- - - - - - - -

.

ł

ł

		Oct.	Page
PROCUREMENT - Con.			
Bid Protests - Con.			
GAO Procedures - Con.			
Protest Timeliness - Con.			
Apparent Solicitation			
Improprieties - Con.	B-228368	27.	•D-54
	B-228388	23.	•D-44
	B - 228695	27	.D-56
Deadlines			
Constructive			
Notification	B-228892	13	.D-21
10-day Rule	B - 227767	14.,	.D-22
	B-227939	5	.D- 8
	B-227953	16	•D - 31
	B - 227954	5	.D-10
	B - 227971		.D- 5
	B-228277.	2 28	.D-60
	B-228326		.D-19
	B-228427.		•D-54
	B-228972	5	•D-12
Adverse Agency Actions	B-228242.	2 30	.D-65
	B-228285.		•D-53
	B - 228346	14	.D-24
	B-228972	5	.D-12
Purposes			
Competition Enhancement	B-228190.	2 5	.D-11
competition Endenene	B-228304.		.D-36
	B-228654		.D-30 .D-19
		7 • •	

vi

ţ

- ----

ķ

.

-

- 1976

The second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

2

ŝ

-

ê k

	<u>o</u>	ct. Page
PROCUREMENT - Con. Bid Protests - Con. Leases		
Government Property		
GAO Review	B - 228563	23D-44
Licensing		
GAO Review	в - 227545 В - 228647	9D-17 29D-62
Moot Allegation		
GAO Review	B-225688.3 B-227060.2 B-227842)	
	B-227842.2)	6D-13
Non-prejudicial Allegation GAO Review	B-227921	27D=49
Promoture Allogation		
Premature Allegation GAO Review	B-227843.2	2D- 2
Prime Contractors		
Subcontracts		
GAO Review	B-228035	27D-51
Competitive Negotiation Below-Cost Offers		
Contract Awards		
Propriety	B-228695	27D-56
Competitive Advantage		
Incumbent Contractors	B - 228647	29D-62
Non-prejudicial Allegation	B-227635	22D-41

vii

ŧ

ţ

.

t.

ţ

ł

ŧ

ţ

.

ł

ł

ţ

-

.

ţ

i.

	<u>o</u>	ct. <u>Page</u>
PROCUREMENT - Con. Competitive Negotiation - Con. Contract Awards		
Administrative Discretion	B-227848.3) B-227848.4)	19D-32
Cost/Technical Tradeoffs Cost Savings	B-227848.3) B-227848.4)	19D -3 2
Technical Superiority	B-227635 B-227921 B-228375	22D-41 27D-50 13D-20
Fixed-Price Contracts Cost/Technical Tradeoffs Justification	B-227576	30D-63
Discussion Adequacy Criteria	B - 227921	27D-50
Offers Clarification Propriety	B-227848.3) B-227848.4)	19D-33
Discussion Reopening Competitive System Integrity GAO Decisions Recommendations	B-227106.3,	16D-30

viii

ł

ţ

ł

ţ

ŝ

ţ

ł

Ì

ł

ł

ķ

	<u>0</u>	et. Page
PROCUREMENT - Con. Competitive Negotiation - Con. Initial Offers Price Disclosure Allegation Substantiation	B-2 28695	27D . 56
Offers Competitive Ranges Exclusion Administrative		
Discretion	B-227963	28D-59
Designs Evaluation Technical Acceptability	B - 227576	30D-63
Information Submission Waiver	B-227853	19D-34
Evaluation Cost Estimates	B-227848.3) B-227848.4)	19D-33
Downgrading Propriety	B-227921	27D - 50
Information Submission Contractor Duties	B-227635	22D-42
Personnel Experience	B-228008	15D-26
Royalties Cost Evaluation	B-227843.2	2D- 2
Shipment Schedules	B-227853	19 D - 34

ix

1

ţ

į

ţ

ł

ķ

ŧ

ţ

ļ

ļ

ķ

ł

	<u>O</u>	ct. Page
PROCUREMENT - Con. Competitive Negotiation - Con. Initial Offers Price Disclosure Allegation Substantiation	B-228695	27D-56
Offers Competitive Ranges Exclusion Administrative		
Discretion	B-227963	28D-59
Designs Evaluation Technical Acceptability	B - 227576	30D-63
Information Submission Waiver	B - 227853	19D-34
Evaluation		
Cost Estimates	B-227848.3) B-227848.4)	19D-33
Downgrading Propriety	B-227921	27D-50
Information Submission Contractor Duties	B - 227635	22D-42
Personnel Experience	B-228008	15D-26
Royalties Cost Evaluation	B-227843.2	2D- 2
Shipment Schedules	B-227853	19D-34

х

ţ

į

•

. . . .

.

.

. . . ţ ţ . . ţ . ţ . . . ŝ

.

10.000

ţ

· ·

- -

. .

+ + +

.

		Oct.	Page
<pre>PROCUREMENT - Con. Competitive Negotiation - Con. Offers - Con. Evaluation - Con.</pre>			
Technical Acceptability	B-227853	19	•D-35
Organizational Experience Evaluation Propriety	B - 227971	2	.D - 5
Technical Acceptability Negative Determination Propriety	B-227913 B-227933 B-227935	28	.D- 3 .D-58 .D- 4
Requests for Proposals Amendments Issuance Lacking	B-227853	19	•D-35
Cancellation Justification Competition Enhancement	B-228040	19	•D-35
Evaluation Criteria Prior Contracts Contract Performance	B-228008	15	.D-26
First-Article Testing Waiver Administrative Determination	B - 227954 B-227954.		.D-10 .D-64

xi

ţ

ļ

ţ

1

ţ

Ì

ģ.

ţ

	0	et. Page
PROCUREMENT - Con. Competitive Negotiation - Con. Use		
Criteria	B-227842) B-227842.2)	6D-13
Contract Management Contract Administration Bonds		
Adequacy GAO Review	B-228138	27D-52
Contract Terms Compliance GAO Review	B-228322 B-228558	30D-66 29D-61
Domestic Products Compliance GAO Review	B-227952	5D- 9
Options Use GAO Review	B-224023.3	15D-25
Contract Modification Cardinal Change Doctrine Criteria		
Determination	B-224023.3 B-227106.2,	15D-25
		16D-29

xii

Oct. Page

ACCESSION OF

ţ

t

ţ

į

ţ

į

PROCUREMENT - Con. Contractor Qualification Responsibility		
Contracting Officer Findings		
Affirmative Determination		
GAO Review	B-227545 B-228035 B-228101.4 B-228239 B-228245.2 B-228322 B-228504 B-228558 B-228647 B-228695	9D-17 27D-51 7D-15 9D-18 27D-53 30D-66 27D-55 29D-61 29D-62 27D-57
	B-228717	21D-40
Bad Faith Allegation Substantiation	B-228245.2 B-228250.2	
Negative Determination Prior Contract		
Performance	B-225621.5 B-227888 B-227925	27D-48 20D-37 22D-42
Responsibility Criteria		
Determination	B-227941 B-228469	28D-59 30D-66
Performance Capabilities	B-227979	22D-42

xiii

Ì

t

ţ

.

ţ

ļ

ţ

ţ

ţ

; ţ

•

- 111111-1

.

ļ

ţ

.

ţ

		<u>Oct.</u>	Page
PROCUREMENT - Con. Payment/Discharge Letter Contracts Contract Awards			
Intent Cost Reimbursement	B-226782	20	•D-37
Overcharge Interest	B-226231	23	•D-43
Shipment Costs Weight Restrictions Federal Procurement Regulations/Laws			
Amendments Unauthorized Contracts	B - 229011	21	•D-41
Quantum Meruit/Valebant Doctrine	B - 228637	16	•D-31
Sealed Bidding Below-Cost Bids Contract Awards Propriety	B-228043	15	.D-27
	B-228101.4 B-228504	• -	.D-15 .D-55
Bid Guarantees Post-Bid Opening Periods Submission			
Responsiveness	B-228252	5.,	•D-11
Propriety Invitations for Bids Funding Restrictions	B-228393	21	.D-39

xiv

ţ

ţ

ŧ

1

į

,

ţ

LICENS

ţ.

ŧ

ţ

		<u>Oct.</u>	Page
PROCUREMENT - Con. Sealed Bidding - Con. Bid Guarantees - Con. Responsiveness			
Checks Adequacy	B-228252	5.	D-11
Signatures Powers of Attorney	B-228489	30.	D-67
Sureties Liability Restrictions	B-228553	28.	D-60
Bids Bid Guarantees Omission			
Responsiveness	B-228393	21.	.D-39
Clerical Errors Error Correction	5 000704	-	5.45
Propriety	B-228721 B-228862		D-15 D-67
Errors Error Substantiation	B-225815. B-228013		D-26
Post-Bid Opening Withdrawa Propriety	-		D-17
Late Submission Rejection Propriety	B - 227928.	2 2.	D- 4

xv

ţ

ł

ţ

.

ţ

:

.

-

ţ

ţ

t

ţ

	<u>o</u>	ct.	Page
PROCUREMENT - Con. Sealed Bidding - Con. Bids - Con.			
Modification Submission Methods			
Procedural Defects	B-228651	2	.D- 6
Responsiveness			
Descriptive Literature Adequacy	B-227080.2) B-227080.3)	26	.D-45
Price Omission			
Line Items	B-227952	5	.D- 9
Shipment Schedules Deviation	B - 228926	14	.D-24
Signatures Omission	B-227080.2) B-227080.3)	26	•D-46
Terms			
Deviation	B-228889	21	•D-40
Bonds			
Justification GAO Review	B - 227897	5	.D- 7
Contractors			
Eligibility Professional Societies	B-227767	14	.D-21

xvi

ł

ţ

ţ

ţ

ţ

t

ŧ

t

ţ

1

ţ

	ğ	oct. Page
PROCUREMENT - Con.		
Sealed Bidding - Con.		
Invitations for Bids		
Amendments		
Acknowledgment		
Responsiveness	B-227328.2	2D- 1
Cancellation		
Justification		
Funding Restrictions	B-227897	5D- 7
Minimum Needs Standards	B-227809	2D- 1
Evaluation Criteria		
Adequacy	B - 228035	27D-52
Post-Bid Opening Cancellatio	n	
Justification		
Competition Enhancement	B - 227677	5D- 6
Price Reasonableness	B - 228060)	
	B - 228061)	30D-65
Service Contracts		
Wage Rates		
Omission	B - 228096	14D-23
Low Bids		
Error Correction		
Price Adjustments		
Propriety	B-228051	23D-43

xvii

1

ţ

;

.

ţ

.

.

ţ

ţ

.

.

ţ

.

ţ

•

.

.

ţ

.

•

ţ

ţ

-

. ţ

ţ

T.MORT

ţ

ţ

Oct. Page PROCUREMENT - Con. Sealed Bidding - Con. Non-Responsive Bids Acceptance Propriety Competitive System B-227952 5...D- 9 Integrity Two-Step Sealed Bidding Offers Evaluation Administrative 14...D-22 Discretion B-227767 Unbalanced Bids Allegation Substantiation Evidence Sufficiency B-228717 21...D-40 Use Criteria B-227842) B-227842.2) 6...D-13 Socio-Economic Policies Labor Standards Service Contracts Wage Rates GAO Review B-228096 14...D-23 Omission B-228096 14...D-23 Supply Contracts Manufacturers/Dealers Determination B-228388 23...D-44

xviii

TANK A

ţ

.

ţ

. t

.

ţ

ţ

ţ

ţ

.

Tome

ţ.

;

.

THE OWNER WATCHING

ţ

t

ţ

	<u>o</u>	<u>ct.</u>	Page
PROCUREMENT - Con. Socio-Economic Policies Small Businesses Competency Certification Bad Faith Allegation Substantiation	B-227995	26	.D-47
Eligibility Criteria	B-227995	26	.D-47
Responsibility Competency Certification GAO Review	B-227995 B-228369 B-228491	16	.D-48 .D-31 .D-60
Size Determination GAO Review	B-227080.2) B-227080.3)	26	.D-46
Size Standards GAO Review	B-228326	9	.D - 19
Small Business Set-Asides			
Use Administrative Discretion	B-227746 B-227842) B-227842.2)		D-12

xix

ţ

ţ

ţ

: ; ;

ţ

t

ţ

ţ

ACCOUNTS OF A

ţ

anue.

ţ

t

	Q	Det.	Page
PROCUREMENT - Con.			
Special Procurement Methods/Cate	gories		
In-House Performance			
Cost Estimates			
Cost Evaluation			
Fringe Benefits	B-227941	28	.D-59
Multi-Year Leases			
Use			
Communications Systems/Ser	vices		
Telephones	B-227850	21	.D-38
Specifications			
Brand Name/Equal Specification	9		
Equivalent Products	•		
Acceptance Criteria	B-227842	1	
	B-227842.2)		.D-14
			• - •
Salient Characteristics			
Descriptive Literature	B-228745	23	.D-45
Minimum Needs Standards			
Competitive Restrictions			
Allegation Substantiation	D 007040 V		
Evidence Sufficiency	B-227842		D 11
	B-227842.2)	0	• D - 14
Design Specifications			
Justification	B 228654	9	.D-20
Geographic Restrictions		_	
Justification	B-227850	21	.D-38

хx

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

Address Correction Requested

Special Fourth Class Rate Postage & Fees Paid GAO Permit No. G100